The Atg1-Tor pathway regulates yolk catabolism in Drosophila embryos
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ABSTRACT
Yolk provides an important source of nutrients during the early development of oviparous organisms. It is composed mainly of vitellogenin proteins packed into membrane-bound compartments called yolk platelets. Catabolism of yolk is initiated by acidification of the yolk platelet, leading to the activation of Cathepsin-like proteinases. This is known to be linked to the Tor pathway. Yolk catabolism initiates at cellularization in Drosophila melanogaster embryos. Using maternal shRNA technology we found that yolk catabolism depends on the Tor pathway by using a cathepsin-like proteinase. Yolk catabolism was not required for initiating yolk catabolism. We propose that the conserved Tor metabolic sensing pathway regulates yolk catabolism, similar to Tor-dependent metabolic regulation on the lysosome.
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INTRODUCTION
Oviparous (non-placental) embryos are closed systems that are provisioned with nutrients during oogenesis. Storage molecules supply energy and biosynthetic precursors required for early embryogenesis. These include protein in yolk platelets, as well as glycogen and lipid droplets (Gutzeit et al., 1994; Koch and Spitzer, 1982). In insects, amphibians and many other species, yolk platelets are membrane-bound compartments that contain a dense aggregate of specific yolk proteins, primarily vitellogenins, which form in the oocyte by endocytosis of these proteins from maternal supplies (Koch and Spitzer, 1982).

Regulation of yolk catabolism is not well understood. A leading hypothesis is that yolk degradation is triggered by decreasing pH in yolk platelets (Fagotto, 1995). Supporting this, yolk platelets become acidic during early development in Xenopus laevis (Fagotto and Maxfield, 1994). In Ornithodoros moubata (tick) eggs, Cathepsin-like proteinases within yolk platelets are activated in an acid-dependent manner (Fagotto, 1990a,b). Similarly, in Drosophila melanogaster, activation of a Cathepsin B protease correlates with catabolism of yolk platelets during embryogenesis (Medina et al., 1988). Although these studies revealed that embryogenesis is subject to enzymatic regulation, upstream cell signaling factors that regulate yolk catabolism during embryogenesis have not yet been identified.

The Tor pathway is a potential candidate for regulating yolk catabolism during embryogenesis because it is known to maintain nutrient level homeostasis through regulation of metabolic pathways in many systems (Kim et al., 2013b). Tor is a serine-threonine kinase regulated by amino acid abundance, the AMP/ATP sensor AMPK, and other growth signaling pathways (Bolster et al., 2002; Garami et al., 2003; Hara et al., 1998). In turn, Tor controls a number of processes in order to adjust metabolic activity to match available nutrient supplies, including protein translation, glucose import and autophagy (Buller et al., 2008; Hara et al., 1998; Kanazawa et al., 2004). Under nutrient-rich conditions, Tor localizes to the outer surfaces of lysosomes (Dibble et al., 2012). This recruitment is initiated by amino acids, which recruit Tor to the lysosome through Rag GTPases and regulate its kinase activity (Sancak et al., 2008).

An important downstream target of Tor is Atg1, which Tor phosphorylates and inhibits under nutrient-rich conditions. Atg1, known as ULK1 in humans, is a serine-threonine kinase whose activation triggers formation of autophagosomes (Matsuura et al., 1997). Atg1/ULK1 is thought to initiate autophagosome formation through phosphorylation of a number of autophagy pathway proteins including the AMBRA1–PIK3C3 complex and membrane-recruiting protein Atg9 (Di Bartolomeo et al., 2010; Papinski et al., 2014). Additionally, Atg1/ULK1 negatively regulates Tor through phosphorylation, whereby mutual inhibition between Tor and Atg1 creates a negative feedback loop (Dunlop et al., 2011). Atg1/ULK1 has also been implicated in potentially non-autophagic roles. In Caenorhabditis elegans, mutation of the gene encoding the Atg1 homolog UNC-51 causes defects in axonal elongation and accumulation of membrane and vesicles (Ogura, 2006). Similarly, mutation of Atg1 in Drosophila causes defects in vesicular transport along neurons (Mochizuki et al., 2011).

Here, we report the requirement of Tor for activation of the Cathepsin-like proteinase that promotes yolk catabolism in D. melanogaster embryos. Additionally, we reveal that catabolism depends on Atg1, but is independent of autophagy. These findings shed light on how a conserved metabolic sensing pathway has been opted to regulate metabolite provision in early embryos, which are closed to nutrient import from the environment.

RESULTS
The Tor pathway regulates yolk catabolism in early Drosophila embryogenesis

In Drosophila, three vitellogenins make up ~20% of the total protein in the early embryo (Bownes and Hames, 1977, Warren and Mahowald, 1979). To measure vitellogenins, we subjected total embryonic extracts from ten embryos to SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Blue. We found that vitellogenin levels, measured by densitometry of bands running at 45, 46, and 47 kDa, decreased starting at 2-3 h post fertilization (cellular blastoderm stage) (Fig. 1A). This finding is in agreement with previous reports of the timing of vitellogenin catabolism and activation of a yolk-bound Cathepsin B-like proteinase in Drosophila embryos (Bownes and Hames, 1977; Medina et al., 1988). Measurement of total
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vitellogenin in this manner is only semi-quantitative, because it is sensitive to loss of protein during sample preparation and variability in embryo size. To more quantitatively assess yolk catabolism we measured total Cathepsin B-like proteinase enzymatic activity with a standard fluorogenic peptide substrate. This activity was shown to coincide with yolk degradation in Drosophila (Medina et al., 1988). Cathepsins are activated at low pH, and have a variable optimum pH range for proteinase activity. We determined that Cathepsin B-like proteinase enzymatic activity in Drosophila embryonic extract exhibits maximal activation when pre-treated at pH 3.5, and has an optimal activity range of pH 4.5-5.5 (Fig. S1). These values are similar to those previously reported by Fagotto (1990b). A previous study by Medina et al. (1988) reported that 93% of Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity was in the insoluble yolk fraction during this developmental time window.

To measure the extent to which yolk catabolism has been activated, we measured Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity in embryonic lysate without acid pre-treatment at pH 3.5, and normalized it to activity after pre-activation. This procedure, which was devised by Fagotto in tick embryos, measures fractional activation and also corrects for variability in embryo size and lysate preparation (Fagotto, 1990b). The fraction of Cathepsin B-like proteinase that was activated was measured over the first 5 h of development. In control embryos, 50% of total Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity was already activated over the first 5 h of development. In control embryos, 50% of total Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity was already activated over the first 5 h of development. Thus, Tor is necessary for regulating yolk catabolism. Tor is part of a complex, Torc1, which includes Raptor, LST8 and Pras40 (Loomith et al., 2002). In shRNA-raptor embryos, we observed similar, high and unchanging, Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity to that of shRNA-Tor embryos (Fig. 2A), Torc1 activity also requires the GTPase, Rheb (Inoki et al., 2003; Tee et al., 2003). In Rheb-depleted embryos, similar to shRNA-Tor and shRNA-raptor embryos, Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity was prematurely elevated and does not significantly increase further post-cellularization (Fig. 2A). Depletion of the GTPase-activating protein RagA-B, required for recruitment of Tor to the lysosome, did not affect the kinetics of Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity (Fig. 2A). Knockdown of Rag A-B or its heterodimer partner RagC-D was shown to only decrease Tor activity by 50% in Drosophila S2 cells (Demetriades et al., 2014), and so it is possible that co-depletion of other Rags together would have a stronger effect.

To determine whether Tor was involved in yolk catabolism, we generated Tor deficient embryos using the maternal-Gal4/UAS-shRNA system to generate females loaded with maternal short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) targeting Tor (Ni et al., 2011; Sopko et al., 2014), referred to as shRNA-Tor embryos. Knockdown efficiency of all shRNA lines used in this paper were quantified by RT-PCR, as reported in Table S1. Note that Tor activity in the germ line is essential for growth and survival (LaFever et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2010). However, by using a maternal Gal4 driver that induces shRNA expression outside the germline stem cell compartment during stage 1 of oogenesis, we were able to bypass the early germline defects (Fig. S2D) (Yan et al., 2014). shRNA-Tor embryos were smaller than control shRNA embryos and showed significant DNA fragmentation post-cellularization, which to our knowledge has not previously been reported as a consequence of Tor mutation or chemical inhibition (Fig. 2B,C; Fig. S2A). Phospho (Thr398) S6k was undetectable in both shRNA-control embryos and shRNA-Tor embryos by immunoblotting, suggesting that the normal regulation of translation through S6k by Tor might not occur in embryos during this early period when they are maternally loaded with ribosomes (Fig. S2B). By introducing an EGFP-tagged Histone-2Av (His2Av-EGFP) into the shRNA-Tor line we were able to visualize the clumping and misorganization of DNA following syncytial divisions, which was not seen in shRNA-control embryos (Movies 1, 2). The same phenotype was observed using two shRNAs targeting different regions of the Tor transcript, reducing the possibility that the shRNA-Tor phenotype is a result of off-target effects (Fig. 2B). TUNEL staining of shRNA-Tor embryos was positive for nicked DNA after celluarization, suggesting that the fragmented DNA might be apoptosis-related (Fig. S3).

Most Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity was already activated in 0-2.5 h shRNA-Tor embryos and did not change significantly between 0-2.5 and 2.5-5 h (Fig. 2A, Fig. S2C). Thus, Tor is necessary for regulating yolk catabolism. Tor is part of a complex, Torc1, which includes Raptor, LST8 and Pras40 (Loomith et al., 2002). In shRNA-raptor embryos, we observed similar, high and unchanging, Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity to that of shRNA-Tor embryos (Fig. 2A), Torc1 activity also requires the GTPase, Rheb (Inoki et al., 2003; Tee et al., 2003). In Rheb-depleted embryos, similar to shRNA-Tor and shRNA-raptor embryos, Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity was prematurely elevated and does not significantly increase further post-cellularization (Fig. 2A). Depletion of the GTPase-activating protein RagA-B, required for recruitment of Tor to the lysosome, did not affect the kinetics of Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity (Fig. 2A). Knockdown of Rag A-B or its heterodimer partner RagC-D was shown to only decrease Or activity by 50% in Drosophila S2 cells (Demetriades et al., 2014), and so it is possible that co-depletion of other Rags together would have a stronger effect.

To determine whether Tor-depleted embryos showed altered yolk platelet morphology, we examined them by thin section electron microscopy (EM). Prior to cellularization the shRNA-Tor embryos exhibited normal yolk morphology (Fig. S4A). However, during and after cellularization, shRNA-Tor embryos displayed abnormal yolk morphologies, specifically, decondensation of the vitellogenin mass as compared with control embryos, and
appearance of electron-lucent areas within this mass (Fig. 3A-D). The diameter of the vitellogenin mass was also significantly larger than in control embryos (Fig. 3E). This morphology, combined with early activation of Cathepsin B-like proteinase, might indicate premature initiation of yolk catabolism.

EM also confirmed more general organizational defects seen at the light level. In control embryos, we observed normal membrane ingression around the nuclei after cellularization, whereas in shRNA-Tor embryos we observed clumping of nuclei around the periphery of the embryos with no clear cellularization (Fig. 2C). Additionally, swaths of multivesicular, endocytic-like compartments and potential autophagosomes were present throughout the disorganized cytoplasm (Fig. S4B). We conclude that Tor, the Torc1 complex member Raptor, and the lysosomal activating GTPase Rheb are required for normal yolk catabolism, and that Tor is also required for normal cytoplasmic morphology.

**Atg1 promotes spatially regulated autophagy**

One important role of Tor is to regulate autophagy, which serves a major role in catabolizing macromolecules to provide nutrients to cells during starvation. Autophagy has been shown to be involved in degradation of whole organelles including peroxisomes, mitochondria and lipid droplets (Hutchins et al., 1999; Kissova et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2009). We therefore suspected an involved role of autophagy in yolk catabolism. We started by characterizing autophagy during early development in shRNA-control embryos. In Drosophila, autophagy has been studied in specific tissues later in development, for instance in the developing fat body (Scott et al., 2004), but its activity during early developmental stages has not been characterized. By EM, we failed to observe autophagosomes during the syncytial divisions. Shortly after cellularization (by stage 7), abundant autophagosomes appeared, which were characterized by double bilayered compartments, 0.5-1 µm in diameter, often wrapped around mitochondria or lipid droplets (Fig. 4A-D). We carefully inspected micrographs for the presence of ribosomes on these membranes, to distinguish rough ER from autophagosome membranes. Multivesicular vacuoles that might represent late stage autolysosomes appeared at the same time (Fig. 4D). We also imaged the autophagosome marker, mCherry-Atg8a by immunofluorescence and observed formation of abundant puncta consistent with autophagosomes appearing shortly after the onset of cellularization (Fig. S5). Both EM and immunofluorescence revealed that autophagy is subject to tight spatial regulation in early Drosophila embryos. Autophagosomes formed primarily within a thin border, 5-7 µm wide, on both sides of the ingressing cellularization front, which was also observed with the mCherry-Atg8a reporter (Fig. 4F; Fig. S5B). Very few autophagosomes were observed in the central and apical regions of the blastomeres, or within the yolk mass.

To test if the double bilayer-bound structures were indeed autophagosomes, and also evaluate the role of the autophagy pathway in their formation, we used EM to examine Atg1-deficient embryos generated by maternally expressing shRNA. We observed a drastic reduction in formation of autophagosomes at cellularization in these embryos (Fig. 5A,C). This was also observed using the UAS-mCherry-GFP-Atg8a reporter combined with an shRNA against Atg1 (Fig. S6). Additionally, when we knocked down a downstream component of the autophagy pathway, Atg2, autophagosomes at cellularization were also absent (Fig. 5B,C; Fig. S7). Interestingly, shRNA-Atg1 embryos also exhibited disorganization of organelles. In control embryos and shRNA-Atg2 embryos a layer of lipids and mitochondria forms...
between nuclei and yolk. In shRNA-Atg1 embryos this was not present (Fig. 5D). However, based on staining with the cellularization marker anillin, shRNA-Atg1 embryos appear to cellularize normally (Fig. S8). We conclude that autophagy is activated at the cellular blastoderm stage, that it triggers formation of abundant autophagosomes in a selective region spanning the cellularization front, and Atg1 also controls organelle patterning in an autophagy-independent manner.

**Atg1, but not autophagy, is required for normal yolk catabolism**

Given that both yolk catabolism and autophagy are initiated during the cellular blastoderm stage, and both are Tor-regulated, we next investigated whether autophagy is required for yolk catabolism. We measured Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity in embryos expressing shRNA targeting Atg1 and Atg2, which by EM were both deficient in autophagosomes (Fig. 5C). Surprisingly, Atg1, but not Atg2, was necessary for timely activation of Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity (Fig. 6A). Additional shRNAs against other autophagy proteins, Atg4a, Atg5 and Atg10, also had no effect on Cathepsin B-like proteinase enzyme activity compared with control embryos. We also tested Fip200<sup>355/3F5</sup> (Atg17) mutant embryos. Fip200 forms a complex with Atg1 and is required for autophagy (Hara et al., 2008). Fip200 homozygous mutants survive until the postnatal (P) 15 pupal stage, but die before eclosion (Kim et al., 2013a). Fip200<sup>355/3F5</sup> embryos showed similar Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity to shRNA-Atg1 embryos, demonstrating that the Atg1 complex, not just Atg1 kinase, is required for yolk catabolism. Interestingly, the Cathepsin B-like proteinase basal activity of shRNA-Atg1 and Fip200<sup>355/3F5</sup> embryos was higher than control shRNA embryos, but not as high as the basal activity of shRNA-Tor embryos. When both pre- and post-cellularization activity were plotted on a 2D graph, embryos deficient for Atg1 complex components (Atg1 and Fip200) show different activation levels compared with both the control embryos and Tor pathway knockdowns (Fig. 6B). Coomassie staining of shRNA-Atg1 compared with control showed no change in yolk vitellogenins between 0 and 2.5 hours post-fertilization (HPF) and 2.5-5 HPF.
similar to shRNA-Tor embryos (Fig. S9). However, based on this staining we can only measure relative levels over time, we cannot determine whether total yolk levels differ. Based on these findings, we determined that the Atg1 complex is necessary for yolk catabolism, but autophagy is not required. Additionally, Atg1 knockdown affects yolk catabolism in a distinctly different manner from knockdown of components in the Tor pathway. Atg1 knockdown rescues shRNA-Tor-expressing embryos

Atg1 and Tor have previously been shown to mutually inhibit each other (Dunlop et al., 2011), so we hypothesized that knocking down Tor leads to over-activation of Atg1, and vice versa. To test this, we constructed a line simultaneously targeting Atg1 and Tor (shRNA-Tor; shRNA-Atg1). Strikingly, depletion of Atg1 rescued both the morphology and hatch rate defects of shRNA-Tor embryos (Fig. 6C; Fig. S10A). The shRNA-Atg1; shRNA-Tor double knockdown embryos were compared with single shRNA-Tor and shRNA-Atg1 knockdown combined with control shRNAs to control for any effects of combining multiple shRNAs (Table S1). Additionally, when we overexpressed Atg1 we observed a similar phenotype to shRNA-Tor embryos, including positive TUNEL staining (Fig. 6D; Fig. S10B). To determine whether the rescue was a result of a decrease in autophagy or an alternate activity of Atg1, we looked at the effect of knocking down Atg2. Expression of shRNA-Atg2 failed to rescue shRNA-Tor (Fig. 6C). Altogether, the double shRNA knockdowns demonstrates that Tor and Atg1 interact in a manner
DISCUSSION

Our study revealed new aspects of Tor/Atg1 biology, as well as providing progress on how yolk catabolism is regulated. The dramatic Tor knockdown phenotype, with profound disorganization of the embryo, and its nearly complete rescue by Atg1 knockdown, are novel results from our study. Several controls, including measurement of mRNA levels, use of multiple shRNAs, and failure to rescue Tor depletion by Atg2 knockdown, attest to the specificity of these effects. These findings complement and extend previous studies on Tor and Atg1 function in later Drosophila development that relied on somatic mutations, where perdurance of maternally loaded protein complicates analysis (Lee et al., 2007; Scott et al., 2004). Previous studies examining rescue of Tor mutants by knocking down or mutating Atg1 reported mixed results: Scott et al. (2004) observed that a zygotic Tor\(^{-/-}\); Atg1\(^{-/-}\) mutant was less viable than the zygotic Tor\(^{-/-}\) mutant. However, Lee et al. (2007) found that homozygous Tor\(^{-/-}\) larvae, which usually die at second/early third instar larval stage, developed through mid-late third instar larval stage in a heterozygous Atg1 mutant background. Given that Atg1 and Tor are in a negative feedback loop, one possibility is that simultaneously decreasing the activity of Tor and Atg1 prevents over-activation of either kinase. The remaining amount of Tor and Atg1 protein still present might then be able to elicit a normal phenotype. Both knockdown of Tor and overexpression of Atg1 resulted in positive TUNEL staining, suggesting apoptotic-related cell death (Figs S3, S10). Previous work overexpressing Atg1 in the wing imaginal disks and fat body also reported cell death and positive TUNEL staining (Scott et al., 2007). Interestingly, the work by Scott et al. (2007) was able to reduce Atg1-induced cell death through inhibition of autophagy. Our study found that shRNA-Tor embryos can be rescued through inhibition of Atg1, but we were not able to rescue shRNA-Tor embryos by inhibiting another downstream autophagy gene, Atg2, suggesting that Atg1 and Tor might have additional functions in the early embryo (Fig. 6). Particularly, Atg1 has multiple roles that include initiating autophagy and promoting yolk catabolism. Both turn on dramatically at the end of cellularization, but depend on different downstream components as autophagy, but not yolk catabolism, are blocked by knockdown of Atg2.

An interesting finding of our work is the timing and spatial regulation of autophagy during cellularization. In exploring the role of Atg1 in promoting autophagy in the early embryo, we documented formation of abundant autophagosomes spanning the basal region of blastomeres at the end of cellularization, coinciding with the initiation of zygotic transcription. In mouse embryos, autophagosomes also form around the period of zygotic transcription, after the first cleavage division (Tsukamoto et al., 2008). Given this timing, autophagosomes might play a role in degradation of maternal proteins during the mid-blastula transition, and/or in providing nutrients to the developing embryo by catabolism of cytosol, organelles, lipid droplets and glycogen granules. Consistent with a metabolic role, we frequently observed mitochondria and lipid droplets inside autophagosomes by EM (Fig. 4C,D). Autophagosomes were only formed within a narrow 6 µm-wide zone spanning the basal region of blastomeres (Fig. 4F). The significance of the high degree of spatial regulation is unclear. It might serve to specifically degrade molecules that would otherwise impede gastrulation, or to protect apically

**Fig. 5. Atg1 is necessary for autophagy after cellularization.** (A-C) EM of stage 7 shRNA-Atg1 (A) and shRNA-Atg2 (B) embryos, and quantification of the percent autophagosomes per µm\(^2\) in shRNA-control versus shRNA-Atg1 and shRNA-Atg2 stage 7 embryos (C) reveals a drastic reduction in formation of autophagosomes at cellularization in shRNA-Atg1 and shRNA-Atg2 embryos. Data are represented as the s.d. of three biological replicates. (D) Stage 5 embryos in shRNA-control, shRNA-Atg1 and shRNA-Atg2 embryos show a layer of lipids and mitochondria forming between nuclei and yolk in control embryos and shRNA-Atg2 embryos (double-headed arrow) that is not present in shRNA-Atg1 embryos. Arrows indicate displaced organelles in shRNA-Atg1 embryos. Scale bars: 10 µm in D; 2 µm in A,B.
localized factors such as mRNAs. More detailed analysis of maternal proteins could potentially address these questions. We additionally observe the misorganization of organelles in shRNA-Atg1 embryos that is not seen in shRNA-control or other autophagy-deficient embryos. Previous studies have shown that Atg1 phosphorylates a myosin light chain kinase, which could potentially affect organelle distribution through disrupting actin-associated myosin II (Sopko et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2011).

A major finding of our work concerns regulation of yolk catabolism by the Tor pathway and Atg1. First a caveat; for molecular analysis we used activity levels of Cathepsin-B like proteinase enzyme activity as a surrogate for measuring catabolism itself. In repeated experiments we found direct measurement of vitellogenin was inaccurate, mainly because it was hard to normalize, and thus did not take into account variation in embryo size. For Cathepsin B-like proteinase activity we normalized measured activity to maximal activity following an acid treatment. This approach was developed by Fagotto (1990a,b), and we found it gave consistent measurements. This is the first molecular clue as to how yolk catabolism is triggered, and also an important new function for Tor and Atg1. Studies from a variety of organisms suggest that yolk platelets already include Cathepsin-like proteinases that are capable of catabolizing vitellogenins, but these are stored as pro-enzymes, and must be activated by acidification of the yolk platelet from a resting pH of ∼5.5 to ∼4.5 to trigger catabolism in oocytes (Fagotto and Maxfield, 1994; Medina et al., 1988). This process is controlled throughout development such that each lineage in the embryo can trigger yolk catabolism at the appropriate time, and thus maintain the appropriate amount of nutrients prior to hatching and feeding (Jorgensen et al., 2009). How the embryo regulates the timing of yolk catabolism had not been elucidated, and it has been unclear if degradation is triggered as a developmental event, or in response to nutrient demand in different lineages. Given that the Tor pathway measures metabolism and regulates catabolism in response in other systems, we speculate that Tor inactivation triggers yolk catabolism in response to nutrient demand, though we cannot rule out developmental regulation of the Tor-Atg1 circuit. Atg1 integrates input from the energy sensor AMPK to activate downstream autophagy (Kim et al., 2011). Given that autophagy and yolk catabolism occur at similar times in the Drosophila embryo, Atg1 might potentially act as a hub to coordinate autophagy and yolk...
catabolism simultaneously. Previous work has also demonstrated that Atg1 can inhibit activity of the MAP kinase ERK in neurons, and that this activity is required for protein composition at the synapses (Wairkar et al., 2009). Therefore, Atg1 could also affect yolk catabolism indirectly through additional downstream signaling pathways.

Our finding of an essential role of Tor in promoting yolk catabolism opens the door to molecular analysis. Given that Rho2 is also required for yolk catabolism, a lack of amino acids might activate Tor on the surface of yolk platelets, as it does on the surface of lysosomes in somatic cells. Proteomics of yolk platelets from Xenopus laevis identified Rho in the yolk membrane, supporting the hypothesis that Tor and Rho2 might be localized to the yolk platelet membrane similar to their localization to the lysosome membrane (Jorgensen et al., 2009). Based on Fagotto’s ‘sleepy lysosome’ hypothesis, we propose that the Atg1-Tor signaling axis detects amino acid demand (and/or is subject to developmental regulation) and then acts to decrease the pH of yolk platelets, which in turn activates a Cathepsin protease (Fig. 7) (Fagotto, 1995). An important link connecting Atg1-Tor signaling and regulation of lysosomal pH might be the regulation of H^+-ATPase on the lysosome membrane. It has been demonstrated that H^+-ATPase interacts with both the Regulator and the RAGs, and that the interactions are strengthened by amino acid starvation and weakened by amino acid stimulation (Bar-Peled et al., 2012; Zoncu et al., 2011). There is also evidence for Tor affecting lysosome pH, namely that suppression of Tor activity through starvation or Tor-specific catalytic inhibitors leads to a drop in pH, and consequently lysosome activation (Zhou et al., 2013). However, regulation of H^+-ATPases is generally a poorly understood phenomena. In yeast, the vacuolar H^+-ATPase can reversibly disassemble and reassemble the V0 and V1 complex in order to regulate activity.

Fig. 7. Model of yolk catabolism. Atg1 is necessary for both autophagy, via downstream Atg proteins, and yolk catabolism. Its role in yolk catabolism could be via direct regulation of Tor. Tor, its Tor1 complex member Raptor, and its activating GTPase Rheb are also required for yolk catabolism, suggesting that they might be localized on the yolk platelet membrane similar to how they localize to the lysosome membrane. An important missing link might be regulation of the H^+-ATPase by the Tor-Atg1 circuit.
imaged on a Tecnai G² Spirit BioTWIN. Autophagosomes were quantified by dividing the total sum of the area of double membrane structures that were fully enclosed per total area (∼25,000 µm²) of the section. Sections from three embryos were counted for each shRNA line and developmental time point. For the shRNA-control stage 7 embryos, ∼50–75 autophagosomes were measured for each replicate embryo.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) Total RNA was isolated from ∼400 embryos collected from 0–4 h eggs from Mat-Gal4/shRNA females using Ambion Purelink RNA Mini Kit following manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was generated from 500 ng of purified RNA with the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). Samples were measured in triplicate using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real Time PCR machine. Transcripts were normalized using ribosomal protein L32, alpha-tubulin and Gapdh1 as reference genes, then compared with shRNA-white control embryos (Table S1). Primers were designed using Fly Primer Bank (Hu et al., 2013) (Table S2).

Yolk measurements For Coomassie Blue staining, embryos were collected in 1-h increments from 0.5 h. Embryos were staged using a dissecting microscope and ten embryos were frozen in triplicate for each line and time point. Embryos were flash-frozen and stored at −80°C until use. Samples were lysed in NuPage LDS loading buffer with 50 mM DTT and a plastic pestle. Samples were loaded onto Bis-Tris gels (Bio-Rad) and stained with Coomassie Blue. The Cathepsin B-like proteinase assay was followed as described by Fagotto (1990a,b). Briefly, samples (50-150 embryos) were lysed in 100 µl 10 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.5 and split into two tubes. The non-acid treated sample was diluted 1:10 in 400 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.5, 4 mM EDTA and 4 mM DTT. Samples were incubated for 1 min at 30°C. Acid-treated samples were diluted 1:10 in 100 mM sodium formate, pH 3.5, 2 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT, then incubated for 5 min at 30°C. A 20–50 µl aliquot of the samples was then added to the substrate assay buffer [100 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.5, 0.05% Brij, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 5 µM Z-Phz-Arg-AMC (Bachem)] and incubated for 5 min at 30°C. The reaction was stopped with 100 mM monocholoracetate and 100 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.5. The samples were measured on a Perkin-Elmer Plate Reader using 360 nm excitation and 460 nm emission filters.
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Fig. S1. Cathepsin B-like proteinase enzyme assay optimization. Embryo extracts from 0-2.5 HPF embryos were treated at pH 2.5-4.5 then assayed with a Cathepsin B-like proteinase reporter from pH 4.5-7.5. Cathepsin B-like proteinase is activated at a pH of 3.5 and has highest activity at a pH of 4.5-5.5. ~50-75 autophagosomes were measured for each replicate embryo.
Fig. S2. Characterization of shRNA-Tor embryos. A. Length measurements of control shRNA and shRNA-Tor embryos. B. Western blot for phospho (Thr398) p70 s6K in control shRNA embryos and shRNA-Tor embryos. A decrease in phospho (Thr398) p70 s6K is used to detect decreases in Tor activity, however no phospho (Thr398) p70 s6K was detected in control-shRNA embryos. Lysate from Drosophila KC167 cells was used as a positive control. C. Coomassie staining of shRNA-Tor compared to control shRNA embryos between 0-2.5 and 2.5-5 HPF. 10 embryos were loaded per lane. Two replicates are shown. D. Oocyte development in control embryos compared to embryos expressing shRNA against Tor transcripts expressed in the germline using the MTD-Gal4 driver. No germarium forms when shRNA-Tor is expressed, only the terminal filament remains.
Fig. S3. TUNEL staining of control shRNA and shRNA-Tor embryos at stage 4 (pre-cellularization) and stage 7 (post-cellularization). shRNA-Tor embryos showed positive TUNEL staining post-cellularization.
Fig. S4. EM of shRNA-Tor embryos pre-cellularization and post-cellularization multi-vesicular membranes. A. EM of (stage 4) control and shRNA-Tor embryos pre-cellularization. There are some mis-localized yolk platelets near nuclei in shRNA-Tor embryos (arrows). Scale bar = 10 μM. B. Multi-vesicular lakes of membrane observed by EM in shRNA-Tor embryos. Increasing magnification from left to right. Left scale bar = 10 μM. Middle and right scale bar = 500 nM.
Fig. S5. Autophagosome formation at cellularization visualized using a GFP-Mcherry-Atg8a reporter. A. Autophagosome formation at cellularization visualized using a UAS-GFP-Mcherry-Atg8a reporter. A. Confocal imaging of UAS-driven GFP-Mcherry-Atg8a reporter using a maternal Gal4 driver showing yellow puncta (autophagosomes) followed by red puncta (lyosomes). A’. Quantification of autophagosome and lysosome puncta in A. B. Live imaging resulted in high amounts of background and some photo-toxicity to the embryos not seen with fixed embryos expressing the reporter. A z-stack of a fixed embryo displays autophagosome puncta around the yolk-nuclei border.
Fig. S6. Autophagosome formation at in shRNA-Atg1 embryos visualized using a GFP-Mcherry-Atg8a reporter. A. Confocal imaging of UAS-driven GFP-Mcherry-Atg8a reporter using a maternal Gal4 driver. Some yellow puncta and red puncta appear but they do not change over time. A’. Quantification of puncta shown in A.
Fig. S7. Autophagosome formation at in shRNA-Atg2 embryos visualized using a GFP-Mcherry-Atg8a reporter. A. Confocal imaging of UAS-driven GFP-Mcherry-Atg8a reporter using a maternal Gal4 driver. A’. Quantification of puncta shown in A.
Fig. S8. Cellularization of shRNA-Atg1 and shRNA-Atg2 embryos. Immunofluorescence imaging of Atg1 and Atg2 depleted embryos during cellularization shows normal membrane ingression as monitored by staining for the contractile ring marker anillin.
Fig. S9. Coomassie staining of *shRNA-Atg1* compared to control shRNA embryos between 0-2.5 and 2.5-5 HPF. 10 embryos were loaded per lane. Two replicates are shown.
Fig. S10. Characterization of shRNA-Tor; shRNA-Atg1 embryos and OE-Atg1 embryos. A. Anillin staining of shRNA-Tor; shRNA-Atg1 embryos shows normal morphology pre- and post-cellularization. B. TUNEL staining of OE-Atg1 embryos is positive for nicked DNA post-cellularization.
Movie 1 and Movie 2

Live imaging of control and *shRNA-Tor* embryos expressing His2Av-EGFP. Time-lapse imaging of (Movie 1) control shRNA and (Movie 2) *shRNA-Tor* embryos expressing His2Av-EGFP during the first 1-3 hours of development. Imaging was carried out using a Nikon A1R point scanning confocal. Embryos were imaged at 27°C using a Tokai- Hit stage-top incubation system.
**Table S1. Gal4 drivers and mRNA knockdown efficiencies.** shRNAs were generated by the Transgenic RNAi Project and obtained from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC). Gal4 lines include maternal triple-driver MTD-Gal4 (MTD), a dual copy Maternal-tubulin-Gal4 driver (2xMat-Gal4), and a single copy Maternal-tubulin-Gal4 driver (1xMat-Gal4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>shRNA target</th>
<th>Hairpin ID</th>
<th>BDSC Stock #</th>
<th>Gal4 driver</th>
<th>% mRNA remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atg1</td>
<td>GL00047</td>
<td>35177</td>
<td>2xMat-Gal4</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg1</td>
<td>HMS02750</td>
<td>44034</td>
<td>2xMat-Gal4</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg2</td>
<td>HMS01198</td>
<td>34719</td>
<td>MTD</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg4a</td>
<td>HMS01482</td>
<td>35740</td>
<td>MTD</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg5</td>
<td>HMS01244</td>
<td>34899</td>
<td>MTD</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg10</td>
<td>HMS02026</td>
<td>40859</td>
<td>MTD</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tor</td>
<td>HMS00904</td>
<td>33951</td>
<td>1xMat-Gal4</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tor</td>
<td>GL00156</td>
<td>35578</td>
<td>1xMat-Gal4</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>raptor</td>
<td>HMS00124</td>
<td>34814</td>
<td>2xMat-Gal4</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheb</td>
<td>HMS00923</td>
<td>33966</td>
<td>2xMat-Gal4</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RagA/B</td>
<td>HMS01064</td>
<td>34590</td>
<td>2xMat-Gal4</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Over-expression target**

OE-Atg1  | See Methods | 2xMat-Gal4 | 300%

**Double shRNA targets**

Tor; Atg1  | HMS00904; HMS02750 | 2xMat-Gal4 | Atg1: 6% Tor: 12%

Tor; Atg2  | HMS00904; HMS01198 | 2xMat-Gal4 | Few eggs

Tor; shRNA Control  | HMS00904; VALIUM22-EGFP.shRNA.4 41551 | 2xMat-Gal4 | Few eggs

shRNA control; Atg1  | VALIUM22-EGFP.shRNA.4 41550; HMS02750 | 2xMat-Gal4 | Atg1: 7%

shRNA control; Atg2  | VALIUM22-EGFP.shRNA.4 34719 | 2xMat-Gal4 | Atg2: 20%
Table S2. Primer sequences and efficiencies used for real-time quantitative PCR analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>shRNA target</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
<th>Primer Efficiency</th>
<th>R² Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atg1</td>
<td>CGTCAGCTGGTCATG</td>
<td>TAACGGTATCCTCG</td>
<td>112.4%</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AGTA</td>
<td>TGAGCG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg2</td>
<td>ATGCGCTGATGACC</td>
<td>CCGACGACCACA</td>
<td>93.7%</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AACGA</td>
<td>TGGACTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg4a</td>
<td>CTGTGGTCAGATGGT</td>
<td>TCAAAAACGGTTC</td>
<td>102.9%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TCTCGC</td>
<td>ACGATCTTTGAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg5</td>
<td>GCCGAACACCAGGA</td>
<td>AGCAGATCGTAT</td>
<td>96.8%</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TGGAG</td>
<td>AGGACACCAAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atg10</td>
<td>TCGGCTGAGTGTGTT</td>
<td>TTCCATCTGATCT</td>
<td>86.5%</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AGTGTG</td>
<td>GTGTGCCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tor</td>
<td>TTGAGGACAAAAAAC</td>
<td>ATAACGAGCAGCT</td>
<td>96.2%</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCTG</td>
<td>AATCAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>raptor</td>
<td>TGAACGACCTGGGT</td>
<td>AATGTCGGATATT</td>
<td>95.4%</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AAGGTGA</td>
<td>TGCTCGATGT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheb</td>
<td>AGTTCTGGGACTCCT</td>
<td>ACGATGTAGTCTTG</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATGACC</td>
<td>GACTTTAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RagA/B</td>
<td>TGGTGCTTAATCCTG</td>
<td>GGCTCTCCACATCA</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GACT</td>
<td>ACACATAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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