Auxin-induced degradation dynamics set the pace for lateral root development
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ABSTRACT
Auxin elicits diverse cell behaviors through a simple nuclear signaling pathway initiated by degradation of Aux/IAA co-repressors. Our previous work revealed that members of the large Arabidopsis Aux/IAA family exhibit a range of degradation rates in synthetic contexts. However, it remained an unresolved issue whether differences in Aux/IAA turnover rates played a significant role in plant responses to auxin. Here, we use the well-established model of lateral root development to directly test the hypothesis that the rate of auxin-induced Aux/IAA turnover sets the pace for auxin-regulated developmental events. We did this by generating transgenic plants expressing degradation rate variants of IAA14, a crucial determinant of lateral root initiation. Progression through the well-established stages of lateral root development was strongly correlated with the engineered rates of IAA14 turnover, leading to the conclusion that Aux/IAAs are auxin-initiated timers that synchronize developmental transitions.

INTRODUCTION
The plant hormone auxin directs many developmental responses, including the elaboration of branching patterns in the root. Among the first steps in auxin signal transduction is the ubiquitylation and degradation of transcriptional co-repressor proteins called Aux/IAAs (Chapman and Estelle, 2009), which belong to a large gene family. Auxin induces a high-affinity interaction between an 13 amino acid degron sequence in the Aux/IAA (DII) and an F-box protein belonging to the TIR1/AFB family (Dharmasiri et al., 2005; Kepinski and Leyser, 2005; Tan et al., 2007). This allows de-repression of the AUXIN RESPONSE FACTORS (ARFs), which in turn activate auxin-responsive genes. Stabilizing degron mutations in different Aux/IAAs provoke distinct phenotypes, as do loss-of-function mutations in activator ARFs, suggesting functional specificity within these families (Lokerse and de Weijer, 2009). Additionally, stabilized versions of one Aux/IAA cannot fully recapitulate the phenotype of stabilized versions of a different family member, even when expressed from the same promoter (Muto et al., 2007; de Weijers et al., 2005). Divergence in Aux/IAA function is likely multifaceted, including potential differences in affinity for the receptor-hormone complex, turnover rates and interaction strength with ARFs (Pierre-Jerome et al., 2013).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
IAA14 degradation rate and auxin sensitivity can be tuned by point mutations
We engineered a library of full-length IAA14 degradation rate variants by mutating the DII domain, using either point mutations or domain swaps (Fig. 1B; supplementary material Fig. S1A). Point mutations were guided by previously identified variants that slowed or abolished turnover of DII-luciferase fusions in plants (Ramos et al., 2001). Chimeras were designed by replacing a DII-containing region of IAA14 with that of a slower-degrading Aux/IAA. In a synthetic yeast degradation assay (Havens et al., 2012), the engineered IAA14 variants exhibited a range of auxin-induced degradation dynamics (Fig. 1B,C; supplementary material Fig. S1A-C). For simplicity of interpretation, we focused our analysis on the point mutants that spanned the range of observed degradation rates. We designated the variants as wild-type (14), fast (F), medium (M), slow (S) or insensitive (I). The I-variant protein harbors the identical mutation as wild-type (14), fast (F), medium (M), slow (S) or insensitive (I). The I-variant protein harbors the identical mutation in vitro auxin-binding assays (Fig. 1D). Auxin dose response assays provided additional evidence that auxin sensitivity of the IAA14 variants correlated well with observed degradation rates (supplementary material Fig. S1D).
To test whether observed degradation rate differences among the IAA14 variants in yeast were maintained in their native context, we developed a fluorescent Aux/IAA degradation assay in plants (Fig. 1E,F). Expression levels of Aux/IAA proteins are generally low, so we employed a previously characterized heat-shock-inducible promoter to provide detectable levels of initial fluorescence (Gray et al., 2001). Heat-shock treatment led to largely equal induction of all VENUS-IAA fusion proteins across plant lines (Fig. 1F). Time-lapse quantification of fluorescence following auxin treatment led to a relative rate of degradation that was strikingly similar to that observed in yeast (Fig. 1E).

Lateral root density is quantitatively decreased by slowing IAA14 degradation

Next, we analyzed root architecture of transgenic plants expressing 14-, F-, M-, S- or I-variants from the native IAA14 promoter. Relative stability of the IAA14 variants was inversely proportional to lateral root density (Fig. 2A). Expressing additional copies of wild-type IAA14 had no effect on root development (supplementary material Fig. S2A), and expression of IAA14 was similar across variant lines (supplementary material Fig. S2B). Complete stabilization of IAA14 in the I-variant inhibited lateral root development, as in slr-1 mutants. With intermediate degradation rate constructs, slower IAA14 degradation rate correlated well with the development of fewer lateral roots (Fig. 2A). This trend became clearer 14 days post-germination (dpg), when the densities of emerged roots of M- and S-variant plants were similar to the densities of 14- and M-variant plants at 7 dpg, respectively. These findings suggest that slowing the dynamics of IAA14 degradation translates into a quantitative reduction in lateral root emergence.

In addition to their lateral root defect, slr mutants lack root hairs (Fukaki et al., 2002). Root hair density was correlated with degradation rates in plants expressing IAA14 variants (supplementary material Fig. S2C). Also consistent with the slr mutant phenotype, hypocotyl elongation did not correlate with IAA14 stability (supplementary material Fig. S2D).

To further assess the effect of slowing IAA14 degradation rate, we analyzed the distribution of lateral root developmental stages (I-VII) among IAA14 variants (Fig. 2B). Across all I-variant plants analyzed, only one stage I primordia was observed, and none at any other stage. The density of primordia in S-variant lines was approximately one quarter of that observed in 14-variant plants, and all were in the earliest stages (I-IV). The distribution of primordia at stages III-VI was similar in plants expressing 14-, F- and M-variants. However, M-variant plants had significantly fewer stage II and stage VII primordia, consistent with a developmental delay leading to fewer emerged roots.

As treatment with higher auxin concentrations increases Aux/IAA degradation rates (supplementary material Fig. S1D) (Dreher et al., 2006; Havens et al., 2012), we assessed whether increased auxin levels could restore wild-type phenotypes in plants expressing the slower degrading variants. Although each variant exhibited more emerged lateral roots in response to auxin, the relative differences between variants remained similar (supplementary material Fig. S2E). Therefore, auxin availability alone cannot account for the observed differences in phenotype among the variants.
Developmental progression was further compromised in S- and I-variant plants. No primordia were detected in S-variant plants at 18 hpi, and by 66 hpi, only a subset of roots had stage I primordia. This is consistent with delayed induction of the auxin-responsive DR5-VENUS reporter (Brunoud et al., 2012) in these lines (Fig. 3C). Although a peak of reporter expression was detected in the outer bend of I-variant roots as early as 10 hpi, induction was delayed until 13 hpi in M-variant plants. Little to no induction of reporter expression was observed in S-variant plants as late as 24 hpi. As expected, no primordia were detected in any I-variant roots at any time (Fig. 3A). In addition, four LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN (LBD) genes that act downstream of IAA14 signaling show decreased auxin-induced expression in slower variant lines (supplementary material Fig. S3).

**Fig. 2.** Slowing IAA14 degradation rate quantitatively decreases lateral root density. (A) 14-control (14) and 14-Fast (F) lines had similar densities of lateral roots, whereas plants expressing 14-Medium (M) and 14-Slow (S) variants had fewer lateral roots. No emerged lateral roots were detected in 14-Insensitive (I) lines. Averages calculated from three independent experiments with 6-10 seedlings per experiment. N.D., not detectable. (B) The density of lateral root primordia per developmental stage I-VII showed a similar trend to emerged roots. Medium variants had significantly fewer stage II and stage VII primordia than 14-control plants. All stages were significantly reduced in Slow and Insensitive lines. Averages calculated from 7 dpge seedlings from three independent experiments with six seedlings per experiment. Asterisks indicate significant differences (P<0.05) between variants and the 14-control line using a Student's t-test with a Hommel P-value correction for multiple testing. In B, no asterisks appear where variants had zero primordia at a given stage, but primordia absence at these stages was significant.

**IAA14 degradation dynamics determine the dynamics of lateral root development**

To assess developmental dynamics directly, we used gravistimulation to synchronize the location and timing of primordia initiation (De Smet et al., 2007; Lucas et al., 2008; Péret et al., 2012). When roots reorient after turning the plate 90°, auxin concentration increases and a new root primordium is induced on the outer side of the bend (Laskowski et al., 2008). By 18 hours post-induction (hpi), all 14-variant plants had initiated a new primordium at the expected position (Fig. 3A). In M-variant plants, initiation was delayed with several roots lacking primordia altogether at 18 hpi. This delay became even more apparent over time. In addition, many late stage primordia in M-variant plants exhibited an elongated and flattened shape, appearing to displace both the surrounding vasculature and endodermal layers (Fig. 3B). These phenotypes are reminiscent of those seen in mutants where primordia fail to emerge through the endodermis (Kumpf et al., 2013; Lucas et al., 2013; Vermeer et al., 2014). These defects suggest that early developmental delays might disrupt coordination between primordia and overlaying cell layers, and support a role for IAA14 in lateral root emergence (Kumpf et al., 2013; Swarup et al., 2008).

**Materials and Methods**

**Yeast transformation and strain construction**

Yeast cells (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) were grown on Yeast Peptone Dextrose (YPD) and Synthetic Complete (SC) medium supplemented with 80 mg/ml adenine and made according to standard protocols. Transformations were performed using a standard lithium acetate protocol (Gietz and Woods, 2002) into MATa W303-1A or MATα W814-29B, a gift from D. Gottschling (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA). Amino acid substitutions were introduced into the IAA14-coding region in pDONR entry vectors via Gibson cloning (Gibson et al., 2009). These coding regions were then cloned into pGP4GY-ccdB destination vectors (Havens et al., 2012) using LR clonase. Resulting constructs were transformed into MATa cells, and mated with MATα cells containing pGP56-TIR1 or AFB2 constructs using standard procedures.

**Yeast degradation assays**

Cell cultures were prepared for degradation assays as described previously (Havens et al., 2012). For each strain, one replicate was mock treated (95% [v/v] ethanol) and one replicate was treated with 10 μM indole-3-acetic acid. Time 0 readings were taken immediately after auxin addition. Subsequent readings were taken at 10 min intervals over 2 h. Control experiments were measured every hour.
Auxin-binding assays
Radioligand binding assays were performed as previously described (Calderón Villalobos et al., 2012). In brief, recombinant TIR1-ASK1 protein complex and N-terminal GST-tagged IAA14 variant proteins were purified to high purity. Duplicate samples containing both proteins, radiolabeled indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and cold competitor (unlabeled IAA) were incubated for 1 h on ice, subsequently filter immobilized, and washed with binding buffer. Filters were incubated overnight and retained radiolabeled auxin was measured via scintillation counting. Nonspecific binding was determined using a 10,000-fold excess of cold IAA with respect to [3H]-IAA. Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5 software. $K_d$ values were obtained applying One-site binding (hyperbola) model. Specific binding was calculated as the difference of average total binding and nonspecific binding. Samples for total and nonspecific binding were in duplicates. Experiments were repeated twice either as saturation or nonspecific binding and calculated as the difference of average total binding and nonspecific binding. Percentages were calculated from 18-20 seedlings per independent line per time-point. (B) Late stage primordia in 14-Medium plants frequently exhibited aberrant morphology. Yellow line, outer layer of lateral root primordium; red line, vascular tissue. (C) Expression of the auxin-responsive reporter DR5::VENUS is rapidly induced by root bending in IAA14-control lines, but delayed in 14-Medium and 14-Slow lines. The outer bend, where the primordia initiate, is towards the right. Two individuals are shown for each time-point post-induction to illustrate the observed range of DR5 expression levels within a line. Gray lines indicate outer root boundaries.

Plant growth
Seeds were sown on 0.5× LS, 1.8% agar plates, stratified at 4°C for 2 days, and grown in continuous light conditions for 7 or 14 dpg. For lateral root induction assays, plants were rotated 90° after 4 dpg. Plants were cleared and imaged 18, 42 and 66 h after rotation. For auxin-treatment assays, 7 dpg seedlings were transplanted to plates containing 1 µM IAA and grown for 7 additional days.

Transgenic plant lines
DR5::VENUS-N7 seeds were provided by T. Vernoux. A 2 kb IAA14 promoter fragment (Fukaki et al., 2002) was cloned into pGREEN vectors, and IAA14 variant CDSs were cloned downstream. IAA14 variants were crossed with DR5::VENUS-N7 plants. HS::VENUS-IAA-NLS constructs were generated by fusing IAA14 CDSs to an N-terminal VENUS and C-terminal SV40 NLS repeat using Gly-Ala linkers via Gibson cloning. The resulting fragments were cloned into pGREEN vectors containing the soybean heat-shock promoter HS6871 (Gray et al., 2001). All constructs were transformed into Col-0 wild-type plants using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998).

Time-lapse fluorescence detection following heat-shock
Plates were placed on a slide warmer set at 37°C for 2 h to induce expression. Seedlings were arranged on agar blocks containing 5 µM IAA or mock treatment, and sprayed with liquid 0.5× LS containing 5 µM IAA or vehicle. A coverslip was placed over agar blocks. Plants were imaged at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 60 m post-treatment.

Histology and microscopy
IAA14 variant plants were cleared and prepared for phenotyping as described previously (Malamy and Benfey, 1997). Plants were imaged using a Leica DMI 3000B microscope fitted with a Leica long working 40× HCX PL FLUORTAR objective. Images were captured using Leica LAS AF version 2.6.0 software and a Leica DFC 345FX camera. For fluorescent images, Fiji software was used to quantify fluorescence in a region of interest for each image. Non-fluorescent siblings were used to calculate and subtract background. Fluorescence was then normalized to initial values.

RNA isolation and qPCR
Roots from 7 dpg plants were excised and flash frozen at −80°C. RNA was extracted using an Illumina kit (GE Healthcare), and 1 µg of complementary DNA was prepared using iScript kit (Bio-Rad). qPCR was performed using SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and the CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad). Expression for each gene was calculated using the formula:

$$E_{\text{target}} = \frac{E_{\text{target}} - \Delta C_{\text{target(control-sample)}}}{E_{\text{ref}} - \Delta C_{\text{ref(control-sample)}}}$$

and normalized to reference gene At1g13320 (Pfaffl, 2001).

Root hair density and hypocotyl measurements
Vertically grown 7 dpg plants were imaged on a Leica dissection microscope (S8APO, Leica Microsystems) and camera (DFC290, Leica Microsystems) for root hairs or scanned for hypocotyl measurement. Root hair number and hypocotyl length was determined using ImageJ. Two replicates were quantified, with four to six plants per replicate for root hairs and eight to ten plants for hypocotyls.
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