Live imaging of X chromosome reactivation dynamics in early mouse development can discriminate naïve from primed pluripotent stem cells
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ABSTRACT

Pluripotent stem cells can be classified into two distinct states, naïve and primed, which show different degrees of potency. One difficulty in stem cell research is the inability to distinguish these states in live cells. Studies on female mice have shown that reactivation of inactive X chromosomes occurs in the naïve state, while one of the X chromosomes is inactivated in the primed state. Therefore, we aimed to distinguish the two states by monitoring X chromosome reactivation. Thus far, X chromosome reactivation has been analysed using fixed cells; here, we inserted different fluorescent reporter gene cassettes (mCherry and eGFP) into each X chromosome. Using these knock-in ‘Momiji’ mice, we detected X chromosome reactivation accurately in live embryos, and confirmed that the pluripotent states of embryos were stable ex vivo, as represented by embryonic and epiblast stem cells in terms of X chromosome reactivation. Thus, Momiji mice provide a simple and accurate method for identifying stem cell status based on X chromosome reactivation.
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INTRODUCTION

Silencing of one of the two X chromosomes in female mammals is an epigenetic gene regulatory mechanism known as X chromosome inactivation (XCI). The patterns of XCI change dynamically during early mouse development (Augui et al., 2011; Jeon et al., 2012). From the 4-cell stage on, the paternally derived X chromosome (Xp) is inactivated, referred to as an imprinted XCI. This is carried through to the trophectoderm of blastocysts, and is maintained during trophoblastic differentiation and in the placenta. In the inner cell mass (ICM) of blastocysts, this imprinted XCI is erased, and both X chromosomes become activated, referred to as X chromosome reactivation (XCR). After implantation, either the maternally derived (Xm) or Xp chromosome is silenced in the epiblast, referred to as random XCI. If this mechanism is disturbed, both X chromosomes become transcriptionally active in female embryos, leading to embryonic death after implantation. Therefore, it is believed that XCI occurs in all differentiated cells. However, pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) such as the ICM of blastocysts and embryonic stem cells (ESCs), in addition to primordial germ cells, show reactivation of X chromosomes, resulting in two active ones. Such XCR is also observed during the genomic reprogramming of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) derived from differentiated cells. Thus, inactivation and reactivation of the X chromosomes are closely linked to the loss and gain of pluripotency, respectively (Pasque and Plath, 2015).

PSCs are useful for studying development and provide important material for therapy because of their ability for self-renewal and differentiation into any cell type. PSCs can be classified into two distinct states: naïve and primed (Nichols and Smith, 2009). These are thought to represent consecutive snap-shots of changes in pluripotency during embryogenesis. In mice, ESCs established from preimplantation embryos represent the naïve state and epiblast stem cells (EpiSCs) established from post-implantation embryos represent the primed state (Nichols and Smith, 2009). These two cell types show different states of pluripotency. When injected into preimplantation embryos, ESCs contribute to form chimeras but EpiSCs do not. However, both types show expression of central pluripotent markers such as the transcription factors Oct4 and Sox2 at similar levels, making it difficult to distinguish them. In contrast, these two stem cell types show different epigenetic states of X chromosomes; XCR occurs in ESCs, whereas XCI occurs in EpiSCs. Thus, reactivation of X chromosomes is used as an indicator of naïve-state PSCs (Ohhata and Wutz, 2013; Pasque and Plath, 2015). However, currently there is no means of monitoring XCR in living cells.

Here, we developed a live-cell imaging technique to monitor XCR and examined whether we could accurately detect the dynamics of XCI and XCR changes in early developing embryos. We also tested whether the epigenetic status of the naïve and primed states of pre- and post-implantation embryos were stabilized ex vivo, represented by ESCs and EpiSCs in terms of XCR. Finally, we successfully distinguished the two different PSC states using this method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Live-cell imaging of XCR

To monitor the epigenetic states of both X chromosomes simultaneously in live cells, we inserted CAG promoter-driven reporter gene cassettes encoding two different fluorescent proteins, mCherry and enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP), into specific loci (Pgk1 and Hprt) in the X chromosomes (Fig. 1A,B). A recent study has suggested that XCI processes are affected by
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chromosomal topology and the nuclear locality, and are not established uniformly throughout chromosomes (Cerase et al., 2015). Therefore, we expected that different insertion sites of the two reporter genes might provide different monitoring results depending on the time of development and tissues involved. We generated four knock-in (KI) mouse lines with two different insertion sites in combination with two different fluorescent gene markers (Fig. 1B). The \(Pgfkl\) and \(Hprt\) X-linked loci were chosen to be targets for the reporter genes, because they obey XCI.

As shown in Fig. 2A, a single copy of a CAG promoter driving an \(eGFP-NLS\) reporter cassette was inserted downstream of the \(Pgfkl\) gene using conventional gene-targeting methods. Recombination was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Southern blot analyses (Fig. 2B; Fig. S2A,C). The offspring were genotyped by PCR (Fig. 2C). Female mice heterozygous for the \(Pgfkl^{GFP}\) allele were mated with transgenic male mice expressing FLP recombinase to remove the Neo cassette (Fig. 2D). To target the \(Hprt\) locus, we used a previously reported insertion site (Farhadi et al., 2003); Fig. 2E-G shows the strategy used in generating the \(Hprt^{GFP}\) allele. Single-copy insertion was also confirmed at this locus (Fig. S2B,C). The same targeting strategies were used to generate \(CAG-mCherry-NLS\) reporter cassettes inserted into the \(Pgfkl\) (\(Pgfkl^{RED}\)), and \(Hprt\) loci (\(Hprt^{RED}\)), except that we used a Puromycin selection cassette instead of a Neomycin cassette (Fig. S1A-G and Fig. S2A-C).

**Observation of the epigenetic dynamics of both X chromosomes during mouse embryogenesis**

To discriminate different types of stem cells, we investigated whether our KI mice were able to display the inactive as well as the active X chromosomes in embryos *in vivo*. We commenced with \(Hprt\) locus-KI mice to check their status. MCherry-expressing female mice (\(Hprt^{RED}/+\)) were mated with \(eGFP\)-expressing male mice (\(Hprt^{GFP}/Y\)) and embryonic day (E) 2.5 embryos were recovered from oviducts. These were subjected to time-lapse imaging experiments (Fig. 3A; Movie 1). In early compacted morulae (~16 cells), MCherry signals were barely detectable (E2.5 in Fig. 3A). This indicated that any marker proteins carried over from unfertilized eggs had little effect on subsequent observations. During development from morulae to blastocysts, MCherry signals expressed from the X\(_m\) chromosome became apparent but \(eGFP\) signals from X\(_p\) were barely detected (E2.5 to E4.5 in Fig. 3A). These results were consistent with the reported imprinted XCI pattern. It should be noted that some cells in morulae, as well as trophectoderm cells of blastocysts showed yellowish heterogeneous signals. This suggests that silencing of \(X_p\) does not occur in all cells at preimplantation stages, and imprinted XCI was incomplete. The same results were obtained from later embryos recovered from uteri, excluding the possibility of artefacts from the culture conditions (Fig. 3B; morulae and blastocysts). Fig. 3C shows the signal intensities of single cells in a representative embryo corresponding to each developmental stage, suggesting the incompleteness of imprinted XCI in the preimplantation stages. The signal intensity increased as embryos grew to the hatched blastocyst stage and yellowish heterogeneous signals appeared (Fig. 3B,C; morula, mid-stage and hatched blastocysts). We also measured fluorescence in the epiblast of E4.5 embryos (Fig. S3A,B) and confirmed the presence of yellowish cells. However, the reactivation in these cells was not marked compared with the double signals in cells in the epiblast of E5.5 (Fig. 3C; \(Hprt^{RED}/Hprt^{GFP}\_E5.5\)), suggesting that XCR proceeded during the E4.5 to E5.5 stages.

These results were consistent with previous observations in whole embryos using fluorescence *in situ* hybridization to measure X-linked gene expression (Huynh and Lee, 2003; Patrat et al., 2009). In contrast to these conventional methods, our KI mice had the advantage of allowing single-cell resolution. At post-implantation stages on E5.5, cells in the extra-embryonic lineages, including the visceral endoderm (VE) and the extra-embryonic ectoderm (EXE), showed MCherry signals derived from X\(_m\) but no \(eGFP\) signals from X\(_p\), indicating accurate monitoring of imprinted XCI in these tissues (Fig. 3B, E5.5; Fig. 3C, \(Hprt^{RED}/Hprt^{GFP}\_E5.5\)). On the other hand, epiblast cells at E5.5 showed cells with double signals, implying that XCR was complete by this stage and that the establishment of random XCI was under way (Fig. 3B, E5.5; Fig. 3C, \(Hprt^{RED}/Hprt^{GFP}\_E5.5\)). At E6.5, these cells had disappeared, and either MCherry or \(eGFP\) signals were detected in every cell in the epiblasts, indicating that either \(X_p\) or \(X_m\) had been inactivated randomly. These data show that random XCI was complete by E6.5 (Fig. 3B, E6.5; Fig. 3C, \(Hprt^{RED}/Hprt^{GFP}\_E6.5\)). Consistent with this timing, the \(Hprt\) gene was reported to be silenced in E6.5 embryos as measured by enzymatic activity (Monk and Harper, 1979). We obtained similar results in the reciprocal crosses between \(Hprt^{GFP}/+\) and \(Hprt^{RED}/Y\) mice.

---

**Fig. 1. System of live-cell imaging of X-chromosome reactivation.** (A) Two different fluorescent protein reporter genes (mCherry and eGFP) were inserted into X chromosomes. (B) Two X-linked gene loci (\(Hprt\) and \(Pgfkl\)) were used for the insertion of reporter gene cassettes encoding the fluorescent protein markers.
Fig. S3C,D), and also in crosses using the Pgk1 locus-KI mice (Fig. S3E-J). However, in the Pgk1 KI mice, random XCI was slightly delayed at E6.5 (Fig. 3C, Pgk1RED/Pgk1GFP_E6.5; Fig. S3J). This delay was also observed in assays using enzymatic activity (McMahon and Monk, 1983). Thus, our live imaging methods successfully detected the positional effects of X-linked genes during XCI in vivo. At preimplantation stages, we could not rule out the possibility that incomplete imprinted XCI might be ascribed to the nature of the transgene driven by heterologous CAG, which is known to be a strong promoter. However, the results were consistent with reported XCI patterns (Huynh and Lee, 2003; McMahon and Monk, 1983; Monk and Harper, 1979; Patrat et al., 2009). These KI mice helped us to investigate the dynamics of XCI as well as XCR at a single-cell level. We named this mouse strain ‘Momiji’ after the leaves of the Japanese maple, which are tinged with red, green and yellow in autumn.

**Distinguishing naïve from primed PSCs using Momiji mice**

Next, we tried to distinguish naïve from primed stem cells using Momiji HprtRED/HprtGFP female mice. First, we established ESCs from blastocysts using a standard protocol (Ying et al., 2008).
Established ESCs showed double fluorescence, indicating that both of the X chromosomes were active, and that these cells represented XCR in blastocysts (Fig. 4A). Next, we established EpiSCs from E6.5 embryos using N2B27-based medium supplemented with activin A, basic fibroblast growth factor and the Wnt signalling inhibitor, XAV939 (Sumi et al., 2013) and confirmed that the established cells were in a primed state by examining several markers; alkaline phosphatase staining confirmed that signals in EpiSCs were weaker than in ESCs (Fig. S4A). Immunostaining revealed that EpiSCs exhibited a differentiated epigenetic feature, namely the staining of one X chromosome with the silencing mark H3K27 trimethylation (Fig. S4B, red) and Xist (Fig. 4A). Microarray analysis confirmed that these EpiSCs expressed the central pluripotency factors Oct4, Sox2 and Nanog at similar levels to ESCs, but EpiSCs had lower levels of many pluripotency-associated transcription factors than did ES cells (e.g. Rex1, Tbx3 and Fbxo15), and they showed upregulation of early lineage specification markers such as Fibroblast growth factor 5 (Fgf5) (Fig. S4C). All these data indicate that our EpiSCs were in a primed state of pluripotency.

As expected, the established EpiSCs showed either mCherry or eGFP fluorescence, indicating that random XCI had occurred (Fig. 3B, E6.5 embryonic outgrowth; Fig. 4A, EpiSC). Clearly, our Momiji mice could display the epigenetic status of naïve and...
primed PSCs from their XCR state, corresponding to pre- and post-implantation stage embryos.

**Advantages and future research perspectives for Momiji mice**

There have been several trials monitoring XCI in live cells. XCI has also been studied using transgenic mice carrying X chromosomes tagged with randomly inserted eGFP reporters (Hadjantonakis et al., 2001; Kobayashi et al., 2010, 2006, 2013; Nakanishi et al., 2002; Okabe et al., 1997). This mouse strain has an advantage in that one can observe XCI noninvasively and it can be used to monitor the activity of only one of the X chromosomes. However, it cannot be used to detect the activities of both X chromosomes, simultaneously. Therefore, it does not allow researchers to observe XCR in ICMs at the blastocyst stage as well as in naïve-state pluripotent ESCs, or random XCI affecting either the Xp or Xm in post-implantation embryos. Mice expressing GFP and tdTomato have been used to monitor random XCI (Wu et al., 2014). However, there are no reports that monitor XCR in live cells. Here, we succeeded in tracking XCR in living embryos using our Momiji mice and demonstrated that this strain is useful for distinguishing naïve from primed pluripotent stem cells.

Momiji mice offer advantages in stem cell research, including studies on genomic reprogramming, as well as in developmental biology. In terms of the reprogramming of iPSCs, a combination of chemical and genetic manipulations can enable EpiSCs to be converted to naïve iPSCs (Guo et al., 2009; Hanna et al., 2009). XCR occurred during these reprogramming events, but the mechanisms involved have not been clarified. Our data suggest that the Momiji mouse strain will provide a powerful tool for tracing the reprogramming involved in establishing iPSCs (EpiSCs → iPSCs) and will help to clarify how XCR participates in this process. Clarification of the reprogramming processes, from a primed to a naïve state, will help in the effective establishment of naïve human iPSCs from primed ESCs (Dodsworth et al., 2015; Pera, 2014).

![Fig. 4. The epigenetic state of XCR and XCI in mouse development is faithfully reflected in stem cell lines. (A) Two distinct stem cell lines, ESCs and EpiSCs are established from Momiji embryos (HprtRED/HprtGFP). The panels on the right show confirmation of Xist expression using RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Xist, white; DAPI, blue. (B) Momiji mice can be used to monitor the dynamics of X chromosome activity in mouse development and in stem cell lines established from corresponding embryonic stages of development.](image-url)
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
All experiments were performed according to the guidelines of the Committee on the Use of Live Animals in Teaching and Research of Tokyo Medical and Dental University.

Hprt locus Ki mice
The NLS derived from the human MBD1 protein (Ueda et al., 2014) was fused to the N-termini of eGFP and mCherry proteins to generate reporter fluorescent protein cassettes (CAG-mCherry-NLS, and CAG-eGFP-NLS). These were transferred into a vector targeting Hprt (Celtikci et al., 2008). The targeting constructs were then transfected into BPEs11 cells and hypoxanthine/aminopterin/thymidine-resistant clones were recovered. To generate chimeras, selected ES cells were injected into 8-cell mouse embryos. Ki mice were maintained by crossing to C57BL/6N (Clea, Japan).

Pgk1 locus Ki mice
The targeting vector was constructed to insert the reporter cassette into a 380 bp sequence downstream of the Pgk1 gene. The targeting vectors (pNT1.1) (Tokuhori et al., 2012) were linearized and electroporated into C57BL/6N ES cells (EGR-G101) (Fujihara et al., 2013). Selected ES cells were subsequently injected into 8-cell stage Slc:ICR strain embryos to generate chimeric mice. Ki mice were maintained by crossing to C57BL/6N (Clea, Japan). All PCR primers used in this study are listed in Table S1. These Ki mice are available from RIKEN BioResource Center.

Embryo collection
Eight to 16-week-old HprtRED/Y female mice were mated with Hprt+/Y male mice (Hprt+/Y) for embryo collection. Embryos were collected from the oviducts or uteri and were staged on the basis of their morphology (Downs and Davies, 1993). Pgk1RED/Y female mice and Pgk+/Y/Y male mice were used for mating. In experiments using reciprocal crosses, eGFP-expressing female mice were mated with mCherry-expressing male mice.

Time-lapse motion imaging of preimplantation embryos
Live-cell imaging of preimplantation embryos was performed as described previously (Yamagata and Ueda, 2013).

Derivation and characterization of EpiSCs and ESCs
EpiSC lines were established from E6.5 epiblasts and ESCs from E3.5 blastocysts using standard methods as detailed in the supplementary Materials and Methods.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)
Xist RNA FISH was performed as described previously (Soma et al., 2014).

Signal quantification
Observation and quantification of fluorescence signals from embryos and stem cells was performed using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope. Images were processed using Zeiss ZEN software as described in the supplementary Materials and Methods.

Immunocytochemistry
Alkaline phosphatase activity was detected using a Blue alkaline phosphatase substrate kit (Vector Laboratories). For immunofluorescence staining, cultured cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline at 4°C for 15 min and blocked in 1% BSA at room temperature for 1 h. The cells were stained with goat anti-mouse IgC/4 antibody (Santa Cruz, sc-8628, 1:300) and rabbit anti-mouse H3K27me3 antibody (Upstate, 07-499, 1:1000) at 4°C overnight. Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG and Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes, A11055, A10042, respectively; both 1:1000) were used as the secondary antibodies. Subsequently, the cells were counterstained with DAPI.

Microarray analysis
Total RNA was isolated from ~2×10⁶ cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen). Analysis was performed using Mouse GE microarray kits (4×44K v.2, Agilent Technologies). Gene expression levels of EpiSCs were normalized against the total expression levels of all the autosomal genes in the control cell lines. NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) data for mESCs (GSM1132971 and GSM1132972) and EpiSCs (GSM1962980 and GSM1962981) were used in this study.
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