Escargot controls the sequential specification of two tracheal tip cell types by suppressing FGF signaling in Drosophila
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ABSTRACT
Extrinsic branching factors promote the elongation and migration of tubular organs. In the Drosophila tracheal system, Branchless (Drosophila FGF) stimulates the branching program by specifying tip cells that acquire motility and lead branch migration to a specific destination. Tip cells have two alternative cell fates: the terminal cell (TC), which produces long cytoplasmic extensions with intracellular lumen, and the fusion cell (FC), which mediates branch connections to form tubular networks. How Branchless controls this specification of cells with distinct shapes and behaviors is unknown. Here we report that this cell type diversification involves the modulation of FGF signaling by the zinc-finger protein Escargot (Esg), which is expressed in the FC and is essential for its specification. The dorsal branch begins elongation with a pair of tip cells with high FGF signaling. When the branch tip reaches its final destination, one of the tip cells becomes an FC and expresses Esg. FCs and TCs differ in their response to FGF: TCs are attracted by FGF, whereas FCs are repelled. Esg suppresses ERK signaling in FCs to control this differential migratory behavior.
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INTRODUCTION
Tubular organs allow for circulation through blood vessels and promote the exchange of gases in the mammalian lung and insect trachea, thereby increasing the oxygen uptake efficiency in large animals that have a high volume to surface ratio and are otherwise incapable of effective respiration. The development of tubular organs is triggered by the expression of secreted signaling molecules. The expression pattern of these molecules, either singly or in combination, acts as a branching signal by instructing signal-receiving tubule cells to extend and migrate toward a specific destination. In angiogenesis the major branching signal is Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF); in the respiratory system, Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling is crucial in both mammalian lung and insect trachea development (Cardoso and Llimargas, 1999). In each case, branching signals activate a receptor tyrosine kinase, which transduces the Ras-ERK signaling cassette and promotes cell proliferation and cell motility (Koch and Claesson-Welsh, 2012; Metzger and Krasnow, 1999; Morrisey and Hogan, 2010). FGF signaling is also crucial for guiding cell migration during vertebrate and invertebrate gastrulation. FGF4 and FGF8 in the early mouse and chick gastrula direct the migration of epiblast cells out of the primitive streak (Ciruna and Rossant, 2001; Yang et al., 2002).

In angiogenesis, branching signals immediately induce the specification of endothelial tip cells that lead the sprouting and migration of new vessel branches (Phng and Gerhardt, 2009). Tip cells, which are located at the leading edge of extending sprouts, are characterized by extensive filopodia, by their migratory activity, and by the expression of Dll4, the transmembrane ligand for Notch signaling. The activation of cells adjacent to the tip cells activates Notch signaling; these cells adopt the fate of stalk cells (SCs), which have fewer filopodia, are less motile, and follow the tip cells in the sprouting process (Hellström et al., 2007). Through a lateral inhibition mechanism, each sprout is led by a single tip cell that is followed by SCs.

Branching morphogenesis of the Drosophila tracheal system is governed by FGF signaling (Ghabrial et al., 2003; Sutherland et al., 1996). Tracheal primordia are specified in each side of the T2 to A8 segments as a cluster of 60-80 cells. After invagination, the tracheal primordial cells start expressing the FGF receptor (FGFR) Breathless (Btl) (Klambt et al., 1992). Branchless (Bnl; Drosophila FGF), which is expressed at specific locations of the mesodermal and ectodermal tissues surrounding each tracheal primordium, activates FGF signaling in a subset of tracheal cells that form the primary branches (Klambt et al., 1992; Sutherland et al., 1996). Delta and an active phosphorylated form of ERK (dpERK) are strongly expressed at the tip of each primary branch (Gabay et al., 1997; Ikeya and Hayashi, 1999). Through lateral inhibition, Delta-positive cells converge into a single cell in each branch, and this cell has numerous filopodia and strong migratory activity (Klambt et al., 1992; Llimargas, 1999).

Two types of cells differentiate from the tip of migrating tracheal branches at later embryonic stages. Fusion cells (FCs) form anastomoses in the dorsal trunk, lateral trunk, dorsal branch, cephalic branch and ventral branch by adhering in a pairwise manner and converting into a torus shape to connect the lumen (Caviglia and Luschnig, 2014; Gervais et al., 2012; Samakovlis et al., 1996b; Tanaka-Matakatsu et al., 1996). Terminal cells (TCs) differentiate to extend long cytoplasmic extensions (terminal branches) that cover target tissues and exchange air with the intracellular lumen (Guillemin et al., 1996; Samakovlis et al., 1996a). After the primary branches are specified and have navigated toward their specific destinations, FGF signaling performs a second tracheal function, that of promoting TC differentiation (Gervais and Casanova, 2011; Lee et al., 1996; Reichman-Fried and Shilo, 1995) and navigation (Miao and Hayashi, 2015). Some tracheal branches develop both an FC and a TC and extend the terminal branch from the...
tube connection point. Although some mechanisms that suppress the emergence of tip cells have been elucidated (Caviglia and Luschnig, 2013; Chen et al., 1998), how the two types of tip cells are selected from the pool of FGF-activated, migration-competent branch tip cells after Notch-induced lateral inhibition is not understood.

Here we addressed the cell type diversification of FGF-activated branch tip cells. We show that the early FC marker Escargot (Esg) plays a central role in tip cell diversification by promoting the expression of another FC gene, dysfusion (dys; dysf – FlyBase), and suppressing expression of the TC gene Drosophila serum response factor (DSRF; blistered – FlyBase). In addition, Esg suppresses FGF signaling partly by downregulating the FGF signal transducer Downstream of FGF (Dof; Stumps – FlyBase). Therefore, the fusion competence of specific tracheal branches is acquired through suppression of the default TC fate by Esg.

RESULTS
Dorsal branch development in Drosophila
To investigate the mechanism of divergent cell fate determination under FGF signaling, we focused on the dorsal branch (DB), which migrates dorsally and fuses with another DB from the contralateral side at the dorsal midline (Kato et al., 2004; Samakovlis et al., 1996b). At stage 15, the DB tips have reached the dorsal margin of the dorsal epidermis (DE) and remain fixed to the same location in the DE (Kato et al., 2004). DB tips are brought to the dorsal midline by the dorsal closure movement (Kato et al., 2004, 2016). At this stage, three cell types are distinguished by their specific shapes and marker gene expression (Fig. 1I): the FC (green), which is located in the dosralmost position and mediates branch fusion; the TC (red), which is located on the anterior side of the DB tip and sprouts a long terminal branch ventrally along the compartment boundary; and the SCs (blue), which are tandemly aligned behind the FC (Fig. 1I). The FC and TC are marked by expression of the transcription factors Esg and DSRF, respectively (Fig. 1F,H,J) (Guillemin et al., 1996; Tanaka-Matakatsu et al., 1996). At early stage 14, the DB tip consists of a pair of cells, the anteriormost of which expresses Esg (Fig. 1G,I,J) but not DSRF at any detectable level (Fig. 1E–F*).

To elucidate the role of FGF signaling in DB development, we examined the expression of Dof (Imam et al., 1999; Michelson et al., 1998; Vincent et al., 1998), an intracellular protein that acts downstream of Btl (FGFR) and upstream of Ras. Dof is specifically expressed in cells expressing either Btl or Heartless (Htl), and is needed for the activation of MAPK signaling via FGF signaling (Imam et al., 1999; Michelson et al., 1998; Vincent et al., 1998). Dof is first expressed in all tracheal cells during stages 10 to 12, and is then strongly expressed in the tip cells at stage 13 (Fig. S1). Dof expression in the trachea is similar to that of dof and btl RNA (Oshiro and Saigo, 1997; Vincent et al., 1998). At stage 14, Dof expression was concentrated in the TC, although it was still expressed in the FC and, though weakly, also in SCs (Fig. 1A,A’). After stage 15, when the TC extends long terminal branches, Dof was expressed only in the TC (Fig. 1B,B’), which also activated ERK (Fig. 1C,D). These observations suggested that Dof can be used as a marker to trace FGF signaling in tracheal cells.

Differential roles of Esg and Dys in FC specification
Our data indicated that the onset of Esg expression coincides with FC specification. Dys is a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH)-PAS transcription factor that is expressed in FCs (Jiang and Crews, 2003, 2006). Although both Esg and Dys are required for DB fusion, whether their roles in the fusion process overlap has been not addressed. We used live imaging to compare the phenotypes of esg and dys mutant embryos. In control embryos at late stage 15, FCs from each side extend numerous filopodia and contact each other at the dorsal midline, establish new cell adhesion interfaces, and change into a compact torus shape with a very short lumen to connect the two DBs (Fig. 2A–B*, Movie 1) (Gervais et al., 2012; Samakovlis et al., 1996b; Tanaka-Matakatsu et al., 1996). In dys mutant embryos, FCS reached the dorsal midline and contacted each other but failed to establish new adhesion interfaces; they instead continued to elongate into an extensively winding form with what appeared to be a TC-like internal lumen (Fig. 2C–D*, Movie 2). This live imaging analysis confirmed a previous observation, based on fixed preparations, that dys mutant FCS acquire a TC-like character (Samakovlis et al., 1996b; Tanaka-Matakatsu et al., 1996). In dys mutant embryos, the FCS also failed to complete fusion (Jiang and Crews, 2006).

High-resolution live imaging revealed differences in the FC phenotypes between the dys and esg mutants. The FCS in dys mutants, as visualized with btl-RFP-moe, formed fewer filopodia than controls and esg mutants labeled with the same marker, and migration of FCS in the dys mutant was retarded: in 54% of the metameres (22 out of 41 fusion points, 11 embryos) the FCS failed to reach the dorsal midline or to contact other FCS (Fig. 2E–F*, Fig. S2, Movie 3; contact failure rate in control was 0.02%/1/59 fusion points, 3 embryos). No TC-like behavior or luminal structures were observed in dys mutant FCS (Fig. 2F*). These observations indicate that esg and dys play distinct roles in tracheal branch fusion.

Epistatic relationship of esg, dys and DSRF
To understand the relationship between esg and dys, it was important to clarify their epistatic relationship. Esg expression in DB FCS was unaltered in the dys mutant background (Fig. 3Aa,b), consistent with a previous report (Jiang and Crews, 2003) that an esg-lacZ reporter remains expressed in embryos injected with double-stranded dys transcripts. Jiang and Crews (2003) reported that Dys expression is lost in FCS of the DB, the lateral trunk, and the first three ganglionic branches in esg mutant embryos, but is maintained in the dorsal trunk (DT). Downregulation of Dys expression in the FC of DBs was confirmed (Fig. 3Bc). However, the immunostaining experiment was insufficient to verify whether Dys expression was totally lost in esg mutants. We therefore used the more sensitive method of monitoring the activity of the dys FC-specific enhancer (Jiang et al., 2010; Jenett et al., 2012), which we found remained active in DB and DT of esg mutant trachea (Fig. 3D,E). We concluded that esg-dependent and esg-independent pathways coordinately regulate the FC-specific expression of dys.

We next studied the expression of the TC marker DSRF. In dys mutants, DSRF-positive cells were duplicated in 94% of the DBs (66 out of 70 DBs, 11 embryos; Fig. 3Cb, Fig. 4B). Esg and Dys expression was unaltered in dys mutants (Fig. 3Ad,Bd), suggesting that Esg regulates Dys expression. Dys expression was totally lost in dys mutants (Fig. 3Cb, Fig. 4B). Dys expression was totally lost in dys mutants (Fig. 3Cb, Fig. 4B). Esg and Dys expression was unaltered in dys mutants (Fig. 3Ad,Bd), suggesting that Esg regulates Dys expression. Dys expression was totally lost in dys mutants (Fig. 3Cb, Fig. 4B).
we called this combination class 2A. This finding implied that one of the tip cells, once the esg enhancer was activated, expressed DSRF. In 20% of the cases, both tip cells were of the mixed type (class 2B, Fig. 4A,B,Cc; 14 out of 70 DBs, 10 embryos). In dys embryos, the majority of the DB tip cells (93%) were class 1, with a minor fraction of other classes (42 out of 45 DBs, 7 embryos; Fig. 4B).

To further verify this epistasis model, we generated esg; dys double mutants. The double-mutant trachea showed a variety of defects, including the appearance of intracellular lumen in the leading cells (similar to the esg mutant) and delayed DB migration (similar to the dys mutant) (Fig. 2G,H", Movie 5). Class 2 tip cell phenotypes were seen in 36% of the double-mutant DBs (Fig. 4B; 17 out of 47 DBs, 5 embryos). In addition, we observed a novel phenotype in which the FCs were lost (class 3A and 3B, single tip cells of TC or mixed type; Fig. 4Cd,e). The remaining TCs sometimes bifurcated and extended dorsal and ventral protrusions (Fig. 2G,I-I", Movie 5). The single tip cell in this phenotype was characterized by DSRF expression, and was seen in 62% of the double-mutant DBs (class 3A and 3B, 29 out of 47 DBs, 5 embryos; Fig. 4B,Cd,e).

Based on these observations, we concluded that esg and dys have distinct roles in FC specification: esg specifies fusion competence by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dof</th>
<th>MAPK</th>
<th>DSRF</th>
<th>Esg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 14</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 15</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
promoting E-cadherin expression (Tanaka-Matakatsu et al., 1996) and suppressing TC differentiation (Samakovlis et al., 1996b), whereas dys specifies migratory competence by promoting filopodia formation (Jiang and Crews, 2006). The simultaneous loss of esg and dys caused FC mis-specification, resulting in transformation of the cells into TCs (class 2, 36%) or a loss of mutant FCs (class 3, 62%). In either case, the DB dorsal extension was delayed.

**Esg suppresses FGF signaling in tracheal tip cells**

As shown above, Esg, but not Dys, suppresses DSRF expression in FCs (Fig. 3Cb,c). Since DSRF expression depends on FGF (Bnl) signaling (Sutherland et al., 1996), we examined whether Esg regulates FGF signaling. We first characterized the regulation of FGF signaling in FCs by altering the expression of Btl (FGFR). When we reduced Btl expression using RNAi constructs driven by btl-Gal4, we found that the FGF signaling markers Dof, dpERK and DSRF were strongly inhibited (Fig. 5B,E, compare with Fig. 5A,D). Remarkably, Btl overexpression caused by an FC-specific dys-Gal4 increased Dof expression in FCs. This treatment, however, did not induce ectopic ERK activity or DSRF expression (Fig. 5C,F). The failure of ectopic Btl to increase FGF signaling might be due to the limited source of Bnl.

Next, we studied the effect of Esg on Dof, dpERK and DSRF. In esg mutants, Dof and dpERK were ectopically elevated in FCs...
tip cells. suppresses FGF signaling at the level of FGFR activation in tracheal DSRF in TCs (Fig. 5H,K). These results demonstrated that Esg overexpression strongly reduced Dof, dpERK and (Fig. 5G,J). This elevation of Dof and dpERK was due to overactivation of FGFR, since ectopic Dof and dpERK were partially reduced by simultaneous downregulation of btl (Fig. 5I,L). By contrast, Esg expression was partially reduced by simultaneous downregulation of (D,H′) and homomyocytes (E,E′). The boxed region in D,E is magnified in D′,E′ to show the DB. Arrowheads indicate Esg, Dys, DSRF or GFP. The anti-Dys antibody gave non-specific labeling of the terminal branch that persisted in dys mutant embryos. Brackets indicate the absence of Esg, Dys or DSRF expression. Chitin is in green (CBP). Scale bars: 10 µm.

The TC posterior-to-anterior position shift depends on esg
We noted that in control stage 14 DBs, when Esg expression was first detected, cells with high ERK activation and Dof expression were positioned posterior to the Esg+ tip cells (Fig. 1C,G,G′). Later, at stage 15, dpERK+ and Dof+ cells began expressing DSRF and were found anterior to Esg+ cells (Fig. 1D,H,H′). To clarify the reason for this positional shift, we monitored FC location relative to other tracheal cells. At the onset of expression of the esg enhancer-Gal4 at early stage 14, the FCs were found in direct contact with the epidermis, and prospective TCs were positioned on top of the FCs (Fig. S3A,A′, Movie 6). At late stage 14, prospective TCs moved anteriorly and made direct contact with the epidermis (Fig. S3B-B′). Based on these observations, we concluded that this shift in position involves the migration of prospective TCs with high FGFR signaling activity toward the anterior.

We next examined the role of esg in TC anterior migration. We counted cells expressing esg-lacZ and DSRF, and detected this TC positional shift in 85% of the control embryo DBs (24 out of 28) at stage 15. The TC position shifts occurred at a similarly high frequency in dys mutants (90%, 38 out of 42). We also counted the frequency of TC positional shifts in type 2A branches of esg mutants. We found that esg-lacZ+ DSRF+ cells (authentic TCs) were positioned anterior to esg-lacZ+ DSRF+ cells (prospective FCs transformed into TCs) in 56% of cases (29 out of 52). Therefore, the frequency of anterior positional shifts of prospective TCs was reduced to a near-random level. This suggested that the proper anterior-posterior (AP) positioning of TCs and FCs is established by TC migration that depends on esg.

Esg modulates Bnl-induced cell migration
TCs and FCs had contrasting migratory behaviors at stage 15. While TCs migrated from the posterior to the anterior of the DB tip and extended the terminal branch ventrally, FCs remained near the leading edge of the DE, became polarized dorsally, and extended filopodia to contact FCs coming from the contralateral side. The direction of terminal branch migration is controlled by a combination of Hedgehog and Dpp signaling (Kato et al., 2004). In addition, localized Bnl is an attractive cue for terminal branch migration (Miao and Hayashi, 2015). Since paired TCs and FCs are exposed to very similar levels of signaling ligands, their distinct migratory behaviors must reflect their cell type-specific interpretation of the signaling environment. To test this hypothesis, we compared the FC and TC responses to ectopic Bnl. We previously showed that TCs respond positively, extending the terminal branch toward cells that ectopically express Bnl from a heat-shock (HS) promoter construct induced by laser (Miao and Hayashi, 2015). We used IR-LEGO (Kamei et al., 2009) to induce Bnl ectopically in a prospective fusion position of early stage 14 embryos, and tracked the FC responses by live imaging (Fig. 6A′-D′, Movie 7). At stage 14, all of the FCs migrated normally (Fig. 6B,B′). At late stage 15, when the FCs in control branches migrated to the dorsal midline and fused, the migration of the FC adjacent to the ectopic Bnl was arrested (7 out of 10 cases; Fig. 6C-D′) or made a detour around the Bnl-expressing cells (Fig. S5). By contrast, HS-eGFP expression in the prospective fusion position did not affect FC migration and fusion (8 out of 8...
cases; Fig. S4, Movie 9). This suggested that FGF signaling inhibits FC migration, in contrast to its role as an attractant for TCs.

To investigate whether esg contributes to the inhibitory migratory response to Bnl, we repeated the experiment in esg mutant embryos. DB migration was indistinguishable in laser-treated and control segments (Fig. 6I-L′, Movie 8). The prospective FC extended a long terminal branch across the site of ectopic Bnl expression and reached the dorsal midline (5 out of 5 cases; Fig. 6K-L″). These findings indicated that Esg regulates the inhibitory migratory response of FCs to Bnl.

**DISCUSSION**

Esg coordinates the sequential specification of FCs and TCs

In the Drosophila tracheal system, the combined actions of Bnl and Wingless specify tip cell fate by stimulating ERK signaling and the expression of esg and the Notch ligand Delta (Chihara and Hayashi, 2000; Ikeya and Hayashi, 1999; Llimargas, 1999, 2000). Delta is broadly expressed in all tracheal cells and is upregulated in the tip region. Through lateral inhibition, the tip cell fate is restricted to single cells that begin expressing esg at stage 13 (Ikeya and Hayashi, 1999; Llimargas, 2000; Steneberg et al., 1999). The rest of the
tracheal cells activate Notch signaling and acquire the SC fate by suppressing FC marker genes and ERK signaling (Ikeya and Hayashi, 1999; Llimargas, 1999). After FC specification, the second mode of FGF (Bnl) signaling begins. Btl (FGFR) activation after this stage results in the specification of a TC expressing DSRF (Lee et al., 1996; Sutherland et al., 1996). Our findings show that the Esg-dependent suppression of FGF signaling makes the FC insensitive to Bnl, after which the adjacent tip cell increases its FGF signaling to the highest level found among DB cells and begins specification of the TC fate (Fig. 7A). At late stage 14, prospective TCs shift position to the anterior side and begin expression of the TC marker DSRF (Fig. 7B). Therefore, FCs and TCs are sequentially specified by Bnl, and Esg plays a central role in changing the target of FGF signaling from FCs to TCs.

Distinct roles of Esg and Dys

Our genetic epistasis analysis demonstrated that esg; dys double mutants display phenotypes that are more severe than those of the respective single mutants, indicating that esg and dys have unique functions. High Dys expression in DB FCs depends on esg (Jiang and Crews, 2003), but an esg-independent pathway through the dys FC enhancer stimulates low-level dys transcription in an esg mutant background. These pathways, together with dys-independent esg function, collectively specify the FC character. Since DSRF expression was unaltered in dys mutants, the suppression of FGF signaling was mainly ascribed to the dys-independent, esg-dependent pathway. dys plays a role in branch migration through stimulating filopodia formation (Jiang and Crews, 2006). Since esg lies upstream of dys expression, esg is placed as a primary determinant of FC fate. It should be noted that esg and dys functions are dispensable for the fusion of DT, where guidance of FGF-producing cells plays a major role (Wolf et al., 2002).

Differential control of tip cell migration

We found that the differential migratory behavior of DB tracheal tip cells occurs in two steps. The first is an anteroposterior positional shift in TCs and FCs that occurs at late stage 14 (Fig. 7). The second is a polarized cell protrusion extending along the dorsoventral axis at stage 15, when the FC sends filopodia toward the dorsal midline, and the TC sends the terminal branch ventrally. These differential
migratory behaviors can be explained by the sequential action of FGF signaling as follows: at stage 14, bnl mRNA is expressed in stripes in each epidermal segment, and the DB tips are associated with the basal surface of bnl-positive epidermal cells (Kato et al., 2004). FC differentiation begins just after Esg is expressed in the anterior cell of the tip cell pair (Fig. 7A). FGF signaling in FCs declines as Esg expression increases, and the posterior cell of the tip cell pair, which is the prospective TC, elevates its FGF signaling to

Fig. 6. Esg modulates Bnl-induced cell migration. (A-D″) Images of HS-eGFP, HS-bnl, dys>GFP-N and btl-RFP-moe embryos showing that the induction of ectopic Bnl inhibits the DB fusion process. (I-L″) Ectopic Bnl was induced in the fusion position in an esg mutant embryo. (A,A′,I,I′) Early stage 14 embryo. The strong green fluorescence is autofluorescence in yolk cells. (B,B′,J,J′) Late stage 14 embryo. (C,C′,K,K′) Stage 15 embryo. (D,D′,L,L′) Stage 16 embryo. (C″,D″,K″,L″) Magnified views of boxed regions in C,D,K,L. The eGFP-positive cell is outlined (dashed line). Arrowheads indicate HS-eGFP. Arrows indicate prospective fusion points. (E-H) Schematics of the DB fusion process, showing FCs (green), TCs (red) and SCs (blue). Asterisks indicate the heat-shock position. The blue line shows the leading edge. Scale bars: 10 µm.
Taken together, Esg acts as a central coordinator for tip cell specification in the fusion branch, first by modulating FGF signaling and second by controlling the FC-specific cell shape conversion (Kato et al., 2016). The robust maintenance of esg expression throughout fusion branch formation and fusion is central to the stereotyped branching pattern of the Drosophila trachea. An Esg-like tip cell regulator has not been identified in vertebrate blood vessels, which might explain the instability of tip cell fate in these blood vessels. The lack of a robust tip maintenance program might allow for the frequent conversion of tip cells and SCs that is essential for the flexibility seen in vessel remodeling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly stocks
The following fly stocks were used: btl-Gal4 (Shiga et al., 1996), UAS-GFP-moe (Chihara et al., 2003), HS-cgFP, HS-bnl (Miao and Hayashi, 2015), UAS-GFP-N-lacZ (Shiga et al., 1996), esgG66B/CyO (Whiteley et al., 1992), dys1, dys2 (Jiang and Crews, 2006) and hsGFP (Montagne et al., 1996). UAS-esg (Fuse et al., 1994), UAS-btl wr (Lee et al., 1996), UAS-btl RNAi (y[1] sc[1] y[1]; P[+t7.7] v[+t1.X]=TRIP.RM20.039M/antP2), UAS-dof/CyO (Vincent et al., 1998), btl-RFP-moe (a gift from Markus Affolter) and GRM13C07-Gal4 (dfs-Gal4) (Jennett et al., 2012) were described previously and were obtained from the authors or from BDSC.

The esg-FC-Gal4 driver containing the FC enhancer of the esg genomic region was described in Kato et al. (2016). These stocks were cultured at 25°C.

IR-LEGO
The IR-LEGO system (IR-LEGO-1000, Sigma-Koki Co., Ltd., Saitama, Japan) was combined with a confocal microscope (FV1000, Olympus) equipped with GaAsP detectors. The infrared (IR) laser was introduced through the lateral camera port of an inverted microscope (IX81, Olympus). The setting was as previously described (Miao and Hayashi, 2015).

Live imaging
Confocal images were acquired using a laser-scanning confocal microscope (FV1000, Olympus) equipped with a PlanApo 60× NA 1.40 oil-immersion IR lens; 512×512 pixel images of 1 µm thick sections were captured every 1, 2, 3 or 5 min for 2 or 3 h with a 1×, 2× or 3× zoom with GaAsP detectors. The images were denoised and projected using the in-house software Malma (Kagayaki Kato, National Institutes of Natural Sciences, Okazaki, Japan).

Immunofluorescence and antibodies
Primary antibodies were: mouse anti-dpERK (1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich, M9692, clone MAPK-YT); mouse anti-DSRF (1:1000; a gift from Michael Gillman); rat anti-Esg (1:1000) (Fuse et al., 1994); rabbit anti-Dys (1:800; a gift from Lan Jiang); rabbit anti-Dof (1:200; a gift from Maria Leptin); and rabbit anti-β-gal (1:1000; Cappel). The chitin-binding probe (CBP) (1:50) was prepared from a bacterial expression construct according to a protocol provided by Yinhua Zhang (New England Biolabs). Secondary antibodies were: anti-mouse DIG biotin-sp-conjugated (used to enhance dpERK staining; 1:500); Jackson Laboratory, cat # 200-062-156; detected with HRP-conjugated Streptavidin (1:500; Thermo Fisher, N100) and TSA-direct Cy3 (1:50; Perkin Elmer, NEL704A001KT); anti-mouse IgG Alexa 546 (1:500; Molecular Probes, A-11003), anti-mouse IgG Alexa 633 (1:500; Molecular Probes, A-21050), anti-rat IgG Cy3 (1:500; Jackson Laboratory, 112-165-143), anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 555 (1:500; Molecular Probes, A-21429) and anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 633 (1:500; Molecular Probes, A-21071).
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Supplementary Information

Supplementary Figures

Fig. S1. Dof expression patterns: Anti-Dof staining of embryos carrying btl> GFP-moe. (A) At stage 11, Dof is expressed in all cells in the tracheal primordia that have completed invagination. (B) At stage 12, Dof is expressed in nearly all tracheal cells undergoing Branchless/FGF-induced primary branching. (C) At stage 13, Dof is downregulated in the middle of the trachea, which is populated by stalk cells of the dorsal trunk and dorsal branch (DB). (D) At stage 14, strong Dof expression is maintained in the DB tip and the ventral side (ganglionic branch and lateral trunk). Scale bar: 10 µm.
Fig. S2. dys mutant phenotype. DB movement was monitored by btl-RFP-moe marker. The number of filopodia in FC was reduced in dys mutant (B, identical to Fig. 2F, F’, F’”), compared with control trachea that showed abundant filopodia (A). This figure is presented to show control image of btl-RFP-moe. Scale bar: 10µm.
Fig. S3. Antero-posterior positional change of terminal cells at stage 14.

Snapshots from live images of the DB8 tip in an embryo carrying the fusion-cell (FC) marker *esg_FC>GFP-moe* and the pan-tracheal marker *btl-RFP-moe*, which labels terminal cells (TC) and stalk cells (SCs). The x-z and y-z views show sections of the regions marked by yellow lines. (A) When GFP was first detected in the FC at early stage 14, the FC was closely associated with the epidermis (white dotted line) and the RFP single-positive TC was positioned below the FC. (B) Still at stage 14, 30 minutes later: the TC had completed anterior migration and the tip cells were positioned side-by-side next to the epidermis. Orientation of anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral axis is indicated below A”. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Fig. S4. HS-eGFP did not affect dorsal branch fusion. Snapshots from HS-eGFP, dys>GFP-N, btl-RFP-moe embryo show induction of eGFP did not inhibit the fusion process of dorsal branch. Asterisk: the heat-shock position. The strong green fluorescence is auto-fluorescence in yolk cells and vitelline membrane. Yellow arrowheads: HS-eGFP. Yellow arrows: prospective fusion points. Scale bar: 10µm.
Fig. S5. Detour of FC around Bnl expressing cells. Snapshots from HS-eGFP, HS-Bnl, btl-RFP-moe embryo. Cells at the heat-shocked position (asterisk) were split into two clusters expressing HS-eGFP (arrowhead in C). Protrusions of the pair of FCs in DB7 navigated through the channel between the two GFP positive cells and contacted with each other. Arrowheads: HS-eGFP positive cells. Scale bar: 10µm.
Movies

Movie S1.

Dorsal branch migration and fusion in a control btl>GFP-moe embryo; 10 confocal sections (1-µm intervals) were taken every 59 sec for 1 hour. Scale bar: 10 µm. Imaging conditions are the same for all movies unless otherwise stated.
Movie S2.

An \( \text{esg}^{G668} \) homozygote embryo.
Movie S3.

A dys^2 / dys^3 embryo carrying btl-RFP-moe.
Movie S4.

An $\text{esg}^{G66B} / \text{esg}^{G66B}$; $\text{dys}^2 / \text{dys}^3$ embryo carrying $\text{btl}>\text{GFP-moe}$, showing the duplication of the terminal-cell (TC) phenotype (left-side pair, class 2) and single TC phenotype (central pair, class 3). Interval: 172 sec.
Movie S5.

A second example of an $\text{esg}^{G66B}/\text{esg}^{G66B}; \text{dys}^2/\text{dys}^3$ embryo, showing the bifurcation of a single terminal cell.
Movie S6.mov
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x-z view
Movie S6.

The anterior migration of terminal cells (TCs) at stage 14. The TC (magenta) is labeled with *btl-RFP-moe*. Fusion cells were labeled with *esg.FC>GFP-moe*. Anterior, left; dorsal, up. Images were taken every 124 sec.
Movie S7.

Ectopic Branchless (Bnl)/FGF expression arrests fusion-cell (FC) migration. Local IR illumination was directed immediately dorsal to the dorsal-branch tip (asterisk at 0:00:00) in HS-GFP/dys-Gal4; UAS-GFPN-lacZ / HS-Bnl, and btl-RFP-moe embryos. Images were taken every 295 sec. Arrowhead: GFP (and Bnl/FGF). Arrow: the affected FC. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Movie S8.

Branchless (Bnl)/FGF’s inhibition of fusion-cell (FC) migration requires esg. The laser heat-shock experiment was repeated on esg^{G66B} / esg^{G66B}; HS-eGFP / HS-Bnl and btl-RFP-moe embryos. FCs that had transformed into terminal cells were not arrested by ectopic Bnl/FGF. Interval: 176 sec.
Movie S9.

Control experiment without Ectopic Bnl. HS-GFP/dys-Gal4; UAS-GFPN-lacZ / btl-RFP-moe embryo received local IR illumination at the position immediately dorsal to the DB tip (asterisk in time 0:00:00) and time lapse images were taken every 313 sec. FC migration and fusion was not affected by HS-eGFP expression.