ABSTRACT

During embryonic development, undifferentiated progenitor cells balance the generation of additional progenitor cells with differentiation. Within the developing limb, cartilage cells differentiate from mesodermal progenitors in an ordered process that results in the specification of the correct number of appropriately sized skeletal elements. The internal pathways by which these cells maintain an undifferentiated state while preserving their capacity to differentiate is unknown. Here, we report that the arginine methyltransferase PRMT5 has a crucial role in maintaining progenitor cells. Mouse embryonic buds lacking PRMT5 have severely truncated bones with wispy digits lacking joints. This novel phenotype is caused by widespread cell death that includes mesodermal progenitor cells that have begun to precociously differentiate into cartilage cells. We propose that PRMT5 maintains progenitor cells through its regulation of Bmp4. Intriguingly, adult and embryonic stem cells also require PRMT5 for maintaining pluripotency, suggesting that similar mechanisms might regulate lineage-restricted progenitor cells during organogenesis.
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INTRODUCTION

Differentiating cartilage elements are specified from a highly proliferative mesodermal progenitor population that is specified early in limb development. After specification, progenitor cells coalesce into condensates, activate Sox9, exit the cell cycle, and subsequently acquire the distinct morphology of chondrocytes (Akiyama et al., 2005; Barna and Niswander, 2007; Benazet et al., 2012; Boehm et al., 2010; Dudley et al., 2002; Pizette and Niswander, 2000). Early condensate growth is not well characterized but includes both localized chondocyte proliferation and the recruitment of progenitor cells onto their distal ends (Shubin and Alberch, 1986; Stark and Sears, 1973; ten Berge et al., 2008; Thorogood and Hinchliffe, 1975).

Mesodermal progenitor cells are regulated by multiple extrinsic signals. For example, fibroblast growth factors (FGFs), in addition to acting as specification agents, survival factors and motility agents, work in concert with WNT proteins to inhibit differentiation in the distal limb (Cooper et al., 2011; Gros et al., 2010; Lewandowski et al., 2014; Mariani et al., 2008; ten Berge et al., 2008). By contrast, bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) drive chondrogenic differentiation and shape the differentiated limb by promoting apoptosis in the anterior and posterior margins as well as within the inter-digit mesenchyme. (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006; Barna and Niswander, 2007; Capdevila et al., 1999; Kaltcheva et al., 2016; Lopez-Rios et al., 2012; Macias et al., 1997; Pajni-Underwood et al., 2007; Pizette et al., 2001).

In contrast to the known roles for secreted signaling pathways in regulating limb differentiation, the internal pathways by which mesodermal cells maintain a progenitor state are not understood. This process, which appears to involve a timing mechanism regulating histone acetylation, must balance renewal and differentiation in a manner that controls the expansion of differentiated skeletal elements (Rosello-Diez et al., 2014; Saiz-Lopez et al., 2015; Suzuki et al., 2008). Although stem cells differ from progenitor cells in multiple aspects, they both maintain relatively undifferentiated states. With this in mind, we hypothesized that limb bud mesodermal cells maintain progenitor states through a stem cell-like pluripotency pathway. Prmt5, which we find is expressed at high levels in the distal limb, is essential for maintaining mouse embryonic and adult stem cell pluripotency and is also required in vivo for mouse inner cell mass and primordial germ cell development (Ancelin et al., 2006; Tee et al., 2010).

Here, we show that Prmt5 is expressed in mesodermal progenitor cells in the limb bud and is essential for their maintenance. Embryos lacking Prmt5 in their limb buds develop striking defects in skeletal morphogenesis that are caused by early defects in cartilage formation. These defects are caused by widespread apoptosis and precocious differentiation of mesodermal progenitor cells as a consequence of elevated BMP activity. These findings establish an intrinsic mechanism for regulating chondrogenic progenitor cells in...
the embryo. As Prmt5 is essential for stem cell pluripotency, our results suggest intriguing similarities between the regulation of tissue-specific progenitor cells and stem cells.

RESULTS

To gain insight into the effects of Prmt5 on limb development, we first examined its expression pattern in developing mouse embryos. At E11.5, Prmt5 is dynamically expressed, with high levels of expression in the presomitic mesoderm, craniofacial primordia and, prominently, the limb buds (Fig. 1A). Prmt5 is uniformly expressed throughout the forelimb and hindlimb buds at E10.5 (Fig. 1B,E) but is much higher in the distal mesenchyme at E11.5 (Fig. 1C,F). By E12.5, Prmt5 is primarily detected in the distal tip of the developing digit rays (Fig. 1D arrowheads, G), in a region corresponding to the proposed phalanx-forming region (PFR) that provides a progenitor population for the elongating digit condensates (Montero et al., 2008; Suzuki et al., 2008). The progressive distalization of Prmt5 expression and its absence from chondrogenic condensates indicates that Prmt5 is largely restricted to undifferentiated limb bud mesoderm. We noted a similar trend for the expression domain of Mep50 (Wdr77), a co-factor that regulates the function of PRMT5 (Antonysamy et al., 2012; Burgos et al., 2015; Saha et al., 2016) (Fig. S1).

Prmt5 conditional mutants have truncated skeletal elements and abnormal digits

Because Prmt5 null embryos fail to develop past the blastocyst stage (Tee et al., 2010), we conditionally knocked out Prmt5 in limb buds using a floxed allele along with the limb bud-specific PrxlCre (Prxl is also known as Prx1) (Logan et al., 2002). There was a substantial reduction in Prmt5 protein levels in E11.5 limb buds (Fig. S2), as well as a reduction in proteins with symmetrically dimethylated arginine modifications in Prmt5 conditional knockout forelimbs (Fig. 1H) (Dhar et al., 2013). Whereas PrxlCre+/−; Prmt5+/− littermates appeared normal, PrxlCre−/−; Prmt5−/− mice (hereafter referred to as Prmt5 cKO) had severe, distinctive forelimb defects (Fig. 1I,J), suggesting an essential role for Prmt5 during limb development.

At E18.5, Prmt5 cKOs had severely truncated forelimbs (5/5 embryos), with the radius, ulna and humerus each significantly shorter than those of control littermates (Fig. 2A-C). The posterior bone of the forearm contained the olecranon process that is indicative of the ulna, while this process was appropriately absent from the anterior bone (5/5 embryos), suggesting that there were no gross anterior-posterior defects. The deltoid tuberosity was missing from the humerus (4/5 embryos) and the forelimb autopods had substantially reduced numbers of wrist bones (two or three in total; Fig. 2H,H′; n=5/5). The digits of the Prmt5 cKO were irregular, wispy and short, with little or no ossification and a complete absence of joints (Fig. 2G-K). Most Prmt5 cKO limbs contained four severely truncated digits (4/5 embryos). Based on the size and position of the remaining digits, the missing digit is likely to correspond to digit 1 (the thumb). Digit 1 is also the last condensate to form in limb buds (Zhu et al., 2008) and therefore the most vulnerable to apoptosis. Additionally, the remaining digits are fused in pairs at the base of the digits. In contrast to the severe defects in the forelimbs, Prmt5 cKO hindlimbs are patterned normally, although the tibia, fibula and femur were all significantly shorter than in control siblings (Fig. 2D-F). The hindlimb autopods were also truncated and most digits were significantly shorter than in the control (Fig. 2L-N). Therefore, in both the forelimb and the hindlimb, Prmt5 is essential for proper limb outgrowth.

Loss of Prmt5 results in truncated digit condensates that lack joints

Skeletal truncations can be caused by defects in either chondrogenesis or in subsequent bone formation. To determine the onset of the Prmt5 cKO phenotype, we analyzed digit formation at E11.5 by in situ hybridization for Sox9, the earliest known marker of chondrocytes (Akiyama et al., 2005; Bi et al., 1999; Lefèvre and Smits, 2005; Wright, 1995). At this stage, at least two distinct Sox9-positive digit rays could be resolved in

---

**Fig. 1.** Prmt5 is dynamically expressed in undifferentiated limb buds and is essential for their development. (A) Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization for Prmt5 in an E11.5 mouse embryo showing dynamic regional expression. (B-G) *In situ* hybridization for Prmt5 in (B-D) forelimbs and (E-G) hindlimbs. Note the distal expression at the tip of the digit condensates at E12.5 (D, arrowheads). (H) Western blot with an SDMA antibody that recognizes proteins that contain symmetrically dimethylated arginines, showing expression in forelimbs of E11.5 control (CTR) and Prmt5 cKO embryos. (I,J) Skeletal preparations of control and Prmt5 cKO mice at P21. Scale bars: 500 µm in A,E; 1 cm in J.
control forelimb buds, whereas Prmt5 cKO limb buds were slightly smaller, with no resolvable digit rays and a significant increase in the domain of undifferentiated, Sox9-negative mesenchyme at the distal tip of the limb bud (Fig. 3A-C,I). Despite the unresolved digit rays and the increase in Sox9-negative area, overall SOX9 levels were unchanged (Fig. 3F,G). By E12.5, control embryos have five distinct Sox9-expressing digit rays, whereas Prmt5 cKO limbs are much smaller, with truncated, poorly resolved digit rays (Fig. 3D,E,I).

We also examined the expression of the early joint marker Gdf5 (Merino et al., 1999b; Storm and Kingsley, 1999). In the control at E12.5, Gdf5 expression surrounded each digit ray, with additional expression traversing the digit rays, corresponding to the future joints of digits 3 and 4 (Fig. 3H). The Prmt5 cKO forelimbs had reduced domains of Gdf5 that did not extend as far distally as in the control (Fig. 3I). As GDF5 is essential for the formation of many joints (Storm and Kingsley, 1999), the reduction might underlie the absence of joints in Prmt5 cKO forelimbs (Fig. 3I).

We next examined expression of Col2a1, a marker of differentiating chondrocytes (Bi et al., 1999). At E13.5, Prmt5 cKO forelimbs had a significant reduction in digit length, with either four (n=1/3) or five (n=2/3) small, wispy digit outgrowths that tapered distally and lacked any joints (Fig. 3K-M). In all three cases, digit 2 was fused to digit 3, and in one case, digit 4 was fused to digit 5. Digit 1, if present, was an extremely small single piece of cartilage articulated to the anterior side of the wrist. In the forelimbs with only four digits, it appeared that the anterior articulation was absent. By contrast, and consistent with the much milder phenotype at E18.5, Prmt5 cKO hindlimbs appeared relatively normal (Fig. 3N,O). Although the digits were on average shorter than control digits, the difference was not statistically significant at this stage (Fig. 3P). We conclude that the phenotypes seen in Prmt5 cKO limb buds are the result of primary defects in early cartilage specification.

**Genomic analysis of Prmt5 cKO limbs**

To obtain insight into the genetic pathways affected by PRMT5, we performed RNA-seq on E11.5 control and Prmt5 cKO forelimbs (Fig. 4A) and identified 208 differentially expressed genes using stringent conditions (fold-change >2, average FPKM >1 and q-value <0.01; Table S1). We then identified enriched categories using gene ontology (GO) terms (Wang et al., 2013). Top categories included upregulation of apoptotic and BMP signaling genes and the downregulation of genes involved in chondrogenic differentiation (Table S2). In addition, several of the significantly downregulated genes are known to cause human syndromes with short digits (brachydactyly), including Gdf5, Bmpr1b and noggin (Nog) (AI-Qattan et al., 2015; Byrnes et al., 2010; Lehmann et al., 2007, 2003; Ploger et al., 2008).

As PRMT5 can regulate the formation of the spliceosomal complex (Chari et al., 2008; Meister and Fischer, 2002) we also examined the frequency of intron retention in transcripts to determine if introns were retained. We applied a logistic regression model of the ratio of intron to exon counts for genes in control and Prmt5 cKOs and estimated the odds ratio between the groups. Genes in Prmt5 cKOs have 1.3-fold higher odds of a read coming from an intron than for the same genes in the control, indicating that the number of retained introns is significantly elevated compared with controls (Fig. 4B). Consistent with this result, there is also a difference in the probability distribution of the intron retention rate (Fig. 4C). Because the differences are small, we believe that the biological significance of this effect is likely to be
minimal. Moreover, the transient temporal requirement for PRMT5 in limb bud formation (see proposed model in the Discussion) is not consistent with a significant role in RNA splicing, which would presumably result in a prolonged requirement for PRMT5 during limb formation.

The SHH-FGF loop is unaffected in Prmt5 cKOs
Owing to the severe limb truncations and high levels of apoptosis observed in limb buds deficient in SHH or apical ectodermal ridge (AER)-derived FGFs (Chiang et al., 2001; Sun et al., 2002; Zhu et al., 2008), we first considered the breakdown of the SHH-FGF feedback loop as a potential cause of the Prmt5 cKO phenotype. However, several lines of evidence suggest that neither of these pathways is reduced in Prmt5 cKO limb buds. Shh, Fgfl8, Spry4 and Hoxd13 expression levels were either unchanged or slightly upregulated in Prmt5 cKO forelimbs, both in the RNA-seq data and in independent qRT-PCR experiments (Fig. 4A,D). Moreover, their spatial expression domains are also unaltered (Fig. S3), suggesting that there are no changes in anterior-posterior polarity. Finally, limb buds lacking expression of SHH or FGF4/8 have greater reductions in digit number than Prmt5 cKO forelimbs (Chiang et al., 2001; Kraus et al., 2001; Sun et al., 2002; Zhu et al., 2008). We conclude that the SHH-FGF loop is intact and largely unaltered in Prmt5 cKOs.

PRMT5 prevents apoptosis in the distal limb bud
As Prmt5 cKO limbs had a significant increase in the expression of genes involved in apoptosis (Table S2), we examined the extent of apoptosis in the developing limb. There were no changes in cell death at E10.5, but there was a substantial increase in the number of apoptotic cells in E11.5 Prmt5 cKO forelimbs (Fig. 5A,D, Figs S4, S5), confirming the RNA-seq results. High levels of apoptosis were sustained at least through E12.5 (Fig. S5G,J). The hindlimb showed no increase in apoptosis at E11.5, and only a slight increase in apoptosis at E12.5 (Fig. S6C,H), consistent with the milder phenotype.

To determine which cells were dying in the forelimb, we examined sections co-stained for cleaved caspase 3 and Sox9 (Fig. 5B,E). Consistent with the spatial expression data (Fig. 3A-C), the Sox9 domain was truncated proximally in Prmt5 cKOs. The expanded region of distal mesenchyme contained the majority of apoptotic cells located outside the Sox9-expressing cartilage condensates, even extending into the more proximal limb (Fig. 5C,F, Fig. S5). Control embryos rarely expressed Sox9 in the distal limb (an average of four cells per section; n=3 embryos; Fig. 5G-I,M), whereas the large majority of apoptotic cells in Prmt5 cKOs expressed low levels of Sox9 (Fig. 5J-N, Figs S4, S7). These cells did not express COL2, a marker of maturing chondrocytes, suggesting that these cells are in the early stages of chondrogenesis (Fig. S8). The presence of Sox9 in apoptotic cells lying outside the normal condensate domain suggests that the mesodermal progenitor
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Prmt5 cKOs have increased non-canonical BMP signaling

The most upregulated limb patterning gene in the Prmt5 cKO forelimbs was Bmp4, whereas Bmp2 and Bmp7 were not significantly altered (Fig. 4D). Consistent with the increased expression levels indicated by RNA-seq and qRT-PCR, there was also a substantial increase in the spatial domain of Bmp4 in Prmt5 cKO forelimbs, and later also in hindlimbs (Fig. 6A-D, Fig. S6C,I). Msx2, a target of canonical BMP signaling (Lallemand, 2005; Pizette and Niswander, 1999), although slightly upregulated as assessed by independent qRT-PCR (Fig. 4D), was unchanged in RNA-seq analysis and its spatial expression was unaltered (Fig. 6E,F). Moreover, the spatial domain and overall levels of phosphorylated SMAD1,5,8, a direct readout of canonical BMP signaling, were unchanged (Fig. 6G,H, Fig. S6J, Fig. S9). Together, these results suggest that there are no substantial alterations in responses to canonical BMP signaling in Prmt5 cKOs.

As BMP4 activity is regulated on several levels, including negative transcriptional feedback by GREM1 and proteolytic processing of the pro-protein, the increased Bmp4 expression does not imply an increase in BMP activity (Benazet et al., 2009; Bragdon et al., 2011; Capdevila et al., 1999; Michos et al., 2004; Norrie et al., 2014). To establish if there is a biologically relevant increase in BMP activity in Prmt5 cKO hindlimbs, we crossed Prmt5 cKOs into RosaGremelin/+ , a Cre-inducible line that activates Grem1. GREM1 binds to and sequesters BMP ligands, resulting in a reduction in overall BMP activity by over 50% (Hsu et al., 1998; Norrie et al., 2014). As a consequence of reduced BMP activity, there is an early failure of forelimb initiation, while the hindlimbs have fully penetrant polydactyly with decreased apoptosis (Norrie et al., 2014). We reasoned that if BMP4 activity was increased in Prmt5 cKO hindlimbs, it might rescue the hindlimb polydactyly caused by reduced BMP levels. We did not expect to rescue the forelimb outgrowth defect in Prx1Cre+/−; RosaGremelin/+ embryos, as this is caused by an early failure in limb outgrowth that would precede Prmt5 inactivation (Norrie et al., 2014). As expected, control and Prmt5 cKO littersmates had five hindlimb digits, whereas RosaGremelin−/− embryos had polydactyly with an average of 6.8 digits. Compared with Prx1Cre−/+; RosaGremelin−/− embryos, Prx1Cre+/−; RosaGremelin−/− Prmt5 cKO embryos had a significant rescue in digit number (5.5 digits, n=6; Fig. 6M). We conclude that the increased Bmp4 expression results in increased BMP4 activity that can offset hindlimb polydactyly caused by reductions in BMP activity.

BMP signaling has well-established roles in driving apoptosis in the inter-digit mesenchyme and, consequently, the increased BMP activity in Prmt5 cKO limbs is likely to drive apoptosis (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006; Buckland et al., 1998; Ganan et al., 1996; Underwood et al., 1997). Prior to inter-digit apoptosis, the application of BMP-containing beads to earlier limb buds causes cells undergoing apoptosis to be in the process of precociously differentiating into chondrocytes.
widespread apoptosis in uncondensed mesoderm but does not affect condensed digit rays (Ganan et al., 1996; Macias et al., 1997). Consistent with this, the majority of apoptosis in Prmt5 cKOs occurs outside the differentiating chondrocyte domain. As canonical BMP signaling was not noticeably upregulated, we analyzed levels of phosphorylated p38 (MAPK14), which mediates a branch of non-canonical BMP signaling (Derynck and Zhang, 2003; Kondo et al., 2014; Kuroyanagi et al., 2015). There was a significant upregulation of phospho-p38 in Prmt5 cKO forelimbs but not hindlimbs (Fig. 6N,O, Fig. S6D,E), suggesting that the upregulation of BMP4 activates non-canonical p38 signaling. Although the mechanisms underlying the activation of p38 by non-canonical BMPs are not completely understood, the non-canonical and canonical pathways are mutually antagonistic and, in osteoblasts, can toggle between pathways (Feng and Derynck, 2005; Kimura et al., 2000; Kua et al., 2012; Lo et al., 2001; Sapkota et al., 2007). This mutual antagonism provides an explanation for the observed lack of upregulated pSMAD signaling in embryos with upregulated p38. To determine the effect of phospho-p38 on limb differentiation, we added the p38 inhibitor SB203580 (Barancík et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2016; Engel et al., 2005; Hirose et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2016; Tong et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2012) to micromass cultures. After 48 h, control cells had formed nodules, an early step in chondrogenesis that precedes Alcian Blue staining (Barna and Niswander, 2007; Paulsen and Solursh, 1988), whereas the inhibitor-treated cells failed to do so (n=4/4; Fig. S10). This result, which is consistent with previous studies on p38 signaling in chondrogenesis, indicates that p38 signaling is important for...
chondrogenesis, and thus the upregulation of phospho-p38 in the Prmt5 cKO might play a role in the precocious differentiation of the distal mesenchyme (Braem et al., 2012; Nakamura et al., 1999).

**DISCUSSION**

The conditional deletion of Prmt5 confers a striking limb phenotype. All skeletal elements in the forelimbs are truncated, with wispy digits that lack joints, whereas the hindlimbs are less severely affected. Underlying the phenotype is widespread apoptosis throughout the undifferentiated mesenchyme that occurs without obvious perturbations to the SHH-FGF loop. The majority of the apoptotic cells are located distal to the SOX9-expressing cartilage condensates but nonetheless precociously express low levels of SOX9. This suggests that they have begun to prematurely differentiate into chondrocytes, perhaps because of heightened BMP activity. We conclude that PRMT5 has an intrinsic role in maintaining progenitor cells in the limb bud.

**Enhanced, non-canonical BMP activity in Prmt5 cKOs**

As Bmp4 expression is negatively regulated by BMP activity, the observed increase in gene expression does not in itself imply enhanced activity (Khokha et al., 2003; Michos et al., 2004; Norrie et al., 14). The BMP target gene Msx2 (Lallemand et al., 2005; Pizette and Niswander, 1999), although slightly upregulated in independent qRT-PCR experiments (Fig. 4D), was unchanged in the RNA-seq analysis and does not show consistently expanded spatial expression (Fig. 6E,F). In addition, there was no detectable increase in pSMAD1,5,8 levels, either quantitatively or spatially (Fig. 6G,H, Fig. S7; see below for discussion on SOX9 induction). Nonetheless, Prmt5 cKOs are able to rescue the hindlimb polydactyly phenotype present in a Rosa<sup>Cre</sup> background that is caused by extracellular sequestration of BMP ligands. Blocking BMP ligand activity will result in inhibition of both canonical and non-canonical BMP signaling. As forelimbs of Rosa<sup>Cre</sup> embryos have an early defect in AER formation that results in a near complete block to limb outgrowth (Norrie et al., 2014), the later BMP4 upregulation in Prmt5 cKO forelimbs would occur after the early defect and would therefore be incapable of rescuing the early phenotype.

The p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway, a mediator of the non-canonical BMP response, is substantially elevated in Prmt5 cKOs. Inhibition of p38 activity inhibits the formation of nodules in micromass cultures (Fig. S10). This is consistent with previous reports (Braem et al., 2012; Nakamura et al., 1999) suggesting that p38-mediated signaling regulates an early step in the chondrogenic pathway. In several other contexts, BMPs can activate apoptosis through the p38 pathway (Cuadrado et al., 2007; Fukuda et al., 2006; Hay et al., 2001; Kendall et al.,
2005; Kondo et al., 2014; Kuroyanagi et al., 2015; Lafont et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2012). A recent study found that BMPs directly regulate apoptosis in inter-digit limb mesenchyme through an unidentified non-canonical pathway (Kaltcheva et al., 2016). Based on these findings, it is possible that p38 also mediates apoptosis in Prmt5 cKOs.

**PRMT5 is essential for the maintenance of chondrocyte progenitor cells**

The majority of apoptotic cells in Prmt5 cKOs lie within undifferentiated, undecoded mesoderm that is distal to the normal SOX9-expressing domains (Fig. 5D-F). In control embryos, there is a near complete absence of cells in this region that express SOX9 above background levels. In contrast to their neighboring non-apoptotic cells, the apoptotic cells express low but distinct levels of SOX9 (Fig. 5J-L). The abnormal expression of SOX9 suggests that the undecoded mesenchymal cells are undergoing precocious differentiation. As low levels of BMP signaling are essential for activating chondrogenesis and Sox9 in the distal mesoderm (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006; Benazet et al., 2012; Norrie et al., 2014; Pizette and Niswander, 2000), the elevated levels of BMP activity in Prmt5 cKOs could result in the activation of precocious Sox9 in a subset of cells that either concomitantly or subsequently undergo apoptosis. A caveat to this interpretation is that Sox9 induction in this region of the limb bud requires the canonical BMP signaling component SMAD4 (Benazet et al., 2012). Although we do not detect elevated pSMAD levels that are indicative of enhanced canonical BMP signaling, we speculate that Prmt5 cKO limb buds nonetheless express mild and/or transient increases in canonical BMP activity that were not detectable in our experiments. Consistent with this possibility, the activation of Sox9 occurs even in conditions that substantially reduce BMP signaling activity in limb buds (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006; Norrie et al., 2014). Finally, the role of p38 in chondrogenic differentiation remains uncharacterized, and it remains possible that it could activate Sox9 in a parallel pathway to that of canonical BMP signaling.

We propose the following model for PRMT5-directed maintenance of progenitor cells in the forelimb. In normal conditions, PRMT5 inhibits Bmp4, thereby promoting survival and continued proliferation of progenitor cells while inhibiting their differentiation into chondrocytes. In the absence of PRMT5, an upregulation of Bmp4 triggers apoptosis through non-canonical BMP signaling. Sustained high levels of apoptosis in the progenitor population deplete progenitor cells, resulting in fewer chondrocytes, which will eventually condense and differentiate into severely truncated limbs and often lead to a reduction in digits (Fig. 7). Despite clear defects, Prmt5 cKOs have an extensive degree of forelimb development, a result that initially seems at odds with an absolute requirement for PRMT5 in maintaining limb progenitor cells. The comparatively normal hindlimbs provide an explanation for this, as the Prx1Cre transgene has a delayed onset of activity in the hindlimb compared with the forelimb (Logan et al., 2002), resulting in the prolonged expression of PRMT5 in the hindlimb. The difference in timing suggests that an early pulse of PRMT5 activity in the forelimb is sufficient for a considerable degree of limb development, while a slightly more prolonged exposure results in a nearly normally patterned hindlimb. This is consistent with the notion that PRMT5 has a fundamental role in maintaining the transient population of limb progenitors.

The expression of Prmt5 becomes progressively restricted to undifferentiated limb mesoderm, and is finally expressed in the undecoded mesenchyme to the digit ray (arrowheads in Fig. 1D). This region corresponds to the PFR, an undecoded progenitor region expressing SOX9 that gives rise to progressively more distal digit elements (Montero et al., 2008; Suzuki et al., 2008; Witt et al., 2010). The loss of this region could contribute to the truncated, unarticulated digits in Prmt5 cKOs. Digit truncation could be occurring analogously to that in Nog−/− embryos, in which BMP levels are also elevated (Brunet et al., 1998). Both Prmt5 cKO and Nog−/− embryos have truncated digits that lack joints. However, Nog−/− embryos have normal numbers of digits (and even occasionally ectopic cartilage elements) that are thick and stubby, contrasting with the wispy digits in Prmt5 cKOs. It is presently unknown whether Nog−/− autopods undergo apoptosis, and future studies will be required to clarify whether the truncated digits are primarily caused by an inability to form articulated phalanges or by the depletion of a progenitor pool.
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**Fig. 7. PRMT5 is essential for maintaining chondrocyte progenitor cells.** During normal limb development (top), undifferentiated progenitor cells express PRMT5, which inhibits Bmp4, thereby promoting cell survival and limiting differentiation. Prmt5-expressing cells are progressively restricted to the distal tip of the developing digit rays, where they probably continue to serve as a progenitor population to the digits. In the absence of PRMT5 (bottom), widespread apoptosis depletes the pool of chondrocyte progenitor cells, resulting in truncated skeletal elements. Without Prmt5, an upregulation of Bmp4 drives precocious differentiation and apoptosis.
PRMT5-mediated mechanisms for maintaining progenitor cells

How is Prmt5 itself regulated? Co-administration of FGF8 and WNT3A is sufficient to maintain cultured limb bud mesenchyme in a distal, undifferentiated state, and this is likely to reflect the endogenous role of these proteins (Cooper et al., 2011; ten Berge et al., 2008). It is tempting to speculate that they could maintain the expression of Prmt5, which would then act as a downstream effector. We note that Prmt5 is expressed in the mesenchyme underlying Fgf8 expression in the AER, which also becomes restricted to the distal tips of the digit rays during later development (Lu, 2006). Alternatively, differentiation factors might repress Prmt5, restricting its expression and subsequent activity to progenitor cell populations. Although we favor the first model, additional experiments will be necessary to determine if and how external signaling factors regulate Prmt5.

PRMT5 maintains chondrocyte progenitor cells at least in part by repressing BMP4-mediated differentiation. PRMT5 directly interacts with several repressors, including SKI and BLIMP1 (Ancelin et al., 2006; Bedford and Clarke, 2009). Although Blimp1 (Prdm1) is required for development of the posterior limb bud, the mutant phenotype is different from that of Prmt5 cKOs (Robertson et al., 2007), implying a different mechanism of regulation. In several stem cell populations, PRMT5 helps maintain pluripotency by inhibiting differentiation factors (Ancelin et al., 2006; Nagamatsu et al., 2011; Tee et al., 2010), suggesting that a similar mechanism could be regulating both stem cells and progenitor cells within the limb bud during development. Interestingly, an in vivo population of Grem1-expressing mesenchymal skeletal stem cells was recently identified that has the potential to give rise to bone and cartilage in postnatal animals (Worthy et al., 2015). Although this population is not active in embryos, it highlights a central role for BMP suppression in both embryonic and adult progenitor populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mouse strains and crosses

Experiments involving mice were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Texas at Austin (protocol AUP-2013-00,168).

A Prmt5 conditional mouse line, Prmt5tm2c(EUCOMM)/Wtsi (referred to as Prmt5c), was crossed with Prx1Cre1+/− females (Logan et al., 2002) to generate Prx1Cre1+/−; Prmt5c/c males. These were crossed with Prmt5fl/c females to generate Prx1Cre1+/−; Prmt5fl/c embryos (Prmt5 cKOs). Prmt5 alleles were detected with primers 5′-TGGAACTGCAGGCATATGCC-3′ and 5′-TCTTGGCCTCATGGGGGAA-3′, generating a 465 bp fragment for the conditional allele and a 247 bp fragment for the wild-type allele. Prmt5fl/c females were crossed with Gr(Rosa)26Sortm1(Grem1)Svok (referred to as RosaGrem1) to determine if Prmt5c/c mice are viable and fertile. Prmt5c/c; RosaGrem1 mice were subsequently crossed to Prx1Cre1+/−; Prmt5 fl/c (Prmt5flox/flox) and RosaGrem1 mice to generate Prx1Cre1+/−; Prmt5flox/flox; RosaGrem1 embryos.

Embryonic manipulations

Skeletal preparations were performed as previously described (Allen et al., 2011). Limb skeletal elements were imaged with a Canon EOS Rebel T2i with macro lens or on a Leica M165 stereo microscope and quantified using ImageJ (NIH). Lysotracker Red (Invitrogen L7528) staining on whole-mount limb buds was used as previously described (Fogel et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2008), with an adjusted Lysotracker Red incubation time of 5 min. Limbs were visualized after clearing in 1:2 benzyl alcohol:benzyl benzoate.

Micromass culture

The effect of phospho-p38 on limb differentiation was assessed in micromass cultures as described in the supplementary Materials and Methods.

Western blots and immunostaining

Limb buds were homogenized in RIPA buffer (5 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100) containing complete mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor and PhosphoSTOP (Roche). Approximately 15-20 µg lysate was resolved on 8% (or 15% for anti-SMAD) SDS-PAGE gels, transferred to PVDF membranes (or nitrocellulose for anti-pSMAD1,5,8) and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody. Primary antibodies include: anti-PRMT5 (1:500, 07-405 Cell Signaling Technology), anti-pSMAD1,5,8 (1:500, 9511 Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p38 (1:250, ab17009 Abcam), anti-phospho-p38 (1:1000, 4511 Cell Signaling Technology), anti-GAPDH (1:1000, 2118 Cell Signaling Technology), anti-actin (1:2000, A2066 Sigma) and anti-SMAD (2C3D6, 1:500) (Dhar et al., 2013). Membranes were then incubated in donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:2000, 711-035-152 Jackson Immunoresearch) for 1 h at room temperature and developed using ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Healthcare). Band intensities were quantified using ImageJ.

Prior to immunostaining, limb buds were fixed for 20 min at room temperature in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Cryosections (16 µm) were stained with anti-cleaved caspase 3 (1:250, 9664 Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at 4°C followed by anti-SOX9 Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate (1:250, AB5535-AF488 Millipore) for 1 h at room temperature. TUNEL staining was performed using the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit, Fluorescein (1164795910 Roche), incubating sections for 1 h at room temperature. They were then incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-SOX9 (1:200, AB5535 Millipore). Sections were then incubated with Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:250, A11036 Life Technologies) for 1 h at room temperature, and DAPI (300 nM, Life Technologies).

With the exception of pSMAD1,5,8 (see below), limb sections were imaged on a Zeiss 710 confocal microscope collected as tile scans with a 20× objective using a total of four z-stacks over a 6 µm range. Higher magnification was acquired on a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal at 63× magnification, stitched and processed to indicate maximum intensity projections.

Embryos for pSMAD1,5,8 immunostaining were fixed in 4% PFA for 3 h at room temperature then transferred to 70% ethanol. Samples were subsequently Vibratome sectioned (100 µm), incubated with primary antibody (as above, 1:100) overnight at 4°C, and then incubated with Alexa Fluor 594 secondary antibody (1:250, A11007 Invitrogen) for 4 h at room temperature. Images were then incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-SOX9 (1:200, AB5535 Millipore). Sections were then incubated with Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:250, A11036 Life Technologies) for 1 h at room temperature, and DAPI (300 nM, Life Technologies).

With the exception of pSMAD1,5,8 (see below), limb sections were imaged on a Zeiss 710 confocal microscope collected as tile scans with a 20× objective using a total of four z-stacks over a 6 µm range. Higher magnification was acquired on a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal at 63× magnification, stitched and processed to indicate maximum intensity projections.

COL2 immunostaining of sections is described in the supplementary Materials and Methods.

Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (15596-026 Life Technologies) and DNase treated. 500 ng RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (18064-014 Invitrogen) with random hexamers. qRT-PCR was performed using SensisFast SYBR Lo-Rox (Qiagen) or qPCR Mastermix Plus (Bioline) on a ViiA 7 (ABI) platform. Values were normalized to β-actin. Fold-change was calculated using the 2−ΔΔCT method. qRT-PCR primers are listed in Table S3.

RNA-seq and intron analysis

RNA from three control and two Prmt5 cKO forelimbs were pair-end sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform. On average we obtained over 26 million aligned pairs per sample. Reads were analyzed using FastQC (Babraham Bioinformatics) and sequences were trimmed to 100 bp using the FASTX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit). Trimmed sequences were aligned to the mouse mm10 genome using TopHat 2.0.10 (with Bowtie 2.1.0) (Trapnell et al., 2012). Aligned reads were assembled, merged, and tested for differential expression using Cufflinks 2.1.1 (Trapnell et al., 2012). Differential expression data were analyzed in R using CummerRfund (www.rstudio.com; Trapnell et al., 2012). We chose genes with fold-change >2, average FPKM >1 and q-value <0.01 (Storey and Tibshirani, 2003) in expression between control and Prmt5 cKO (208

to identify retained introns, we first identified genes with two or more exons and mapped sequencing reads to their defined exonic and intronic regions using mouse mm10 ‘TxDb.Musculus.UCSC.mm10.knownGene’ (R package version 3.2.2). For each gene, we assumed that the read count of intron X followed a binomial distribution with parameters n and p. We then calculated X̄−B(n,p), where n is the total read count of the gene and p is the probability that a read came from an intron. We fit this to a logistic regression model to estimate the coefficient \( \beta_i \) by \( \log(p/(1-p)) = \beta_0 + \beta_i \cdot g \), where group is 0 for control and 1 for Prmt5 cKO. Based on this model, changing the genetic background from control to Prmt5 cKO would increase the odds of a read coming from an intron by \( e^{\beta_i} \)-fold.
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