ABSTRACT
In the epithelial follicle stem cells (FSCs) of the Drosophila ovary, Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) signaling promotes self-renewal, whereas Notch signaling promotes differentiation of the prefollicle cell (pFC) daughters. We have identified two proteins, Six4 and Groucho (Gro), that link the activity of these two pathways to regulate the earliest cell fate decision in the FSC lineage. Our data indicate that Six4 and Gro promote differentiation towards the polar cell fate by promoting Notch pathway activity. This activity of Gro is antagonized by EGFR signaling, which inhibits Gro-dependent repression via p-ERK mediated phosphorylation. We have found that the phosphorylated form of Gro persists in newly formed pFCs, which may delay differentiation and provide these cells with a temporary memory of the EGFR signal. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that phosphorylated Gro labels a transition state in the FSC lineage and describe the interplay between Notch and EGFR signaling that governs the differentiation processes during this period.
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INTRODUCTION
Adult stem cells are defined by their ability to divide with an asymmetric outcome: one cell retains the stem cell fate, while the other differentiates into a functionally distinct cell type in the tissue. Although many types of stem cells segregate differentiation determinants on the timescale of a single cell division, there is growing evidence that the differentiation programs of epithelial stem cell lineages proceed gradually, over the course of several cell divisions (Barker, 2014; Franz and Riechmann, 2010; Jones et al., 2007; Kronen et al., 2014). Indeed, many epithelial stem cell lineages contain a transit-amplifying phase downstream from the stem cell division that is defined by incomplete differentiation. Both stem cells and transit-amplifying cells share similar morphology, remain mitotically active, and often express an overlapping set of molecular markers (Chang et al., 2013; Itzkovitz et al., 2011; Mascaré et al., 2012; Yan et al., 2012). Moreover, epithelial stem cells are regularly lost from the niche and replaced by the daughter of a neighboring stem cell during normal homeostasis (Clayton et al., 2007; de Navascués et al., 2012; Margolis and Spradling, 1995; Snippet et al., 2010), indicating that transit-amplifying cells retain the capacity to re-enter the niche and assume the stem cell fate. Thus, transit-amplifying cells must be able to respond to both self-renewal and differentiation factors present in their local environments.

In this study, we use the follicle stem cell (FSC) lineage in the Drosophila ovary (Losick et al., 2011; Sahai-Hernandez et al., 2012) to determine how the earliest differentiation decisions in the transit-amplifying population are controlled. The Drosophila ovary is composed of long strands of developing follicles, termed ovarioles, and a pair of FSCs resides in a structure at the anterior tip of each ovariole called the gerarium (Fig. 1A-C). Within the gerarium, a population of stromal inner germarial sheath cells (IGS cells, also known as escort cells) support germ cell development in regions 1 and 2a, and provide niche factors that anchor the FSCs at the region 2a/2b border to promote self-renewal. The niche that supports FSC self-renewal in this position has a very limited range, resulting in the activation of the Wingless (Wg) and EGFR pathways in the FSCs, but not in the immediately adjacent prefollicle cell (pFC) daughters (Castanieto et al., 2014; Sahai-Hernandez and Nystul, 2013). Wg and EGFR signaling are required for FSC self-renewal but do not appear to be required for pFC differentiation (Castanieto et al., 2014; Song and Xie, 2003). However, constitutive activation of either pathway inhibits pFC differentiation and, in the case of EGFR signaling, increases the propensity of mutant cells to occupy the FSC niche and self-renew (Castanieto et al., 2014; Song and Xie, 2003). These findings demonstrate that Wg and EGFR pathway activity are part of an FSC-specific program that is absent in the pFCs immediately downstream from the niche.

Newly produced pFCs either re-enter the niche to replace a resident FSC or, more commonly, move downstream from the FSC niche as they continue to divide, generating a pool of uncommitted transit-amplifying pFCs in region 2b. An orderly series of events directs the differentiation of pFCs into each of the three main follicle cell types: polar cells, stalk cells or main body follicle cells (Fig. 1A,B). First, approximately two or three divisions downstream from the FSC division, a subset of pFCs receive a Delta signal from the germline that activates Notch signaling and initiates differentiation towards the polar cell fate (Lopez-Schier and St Johnston, 2001; Nystul and Spradling, 2010). Next, these newly specified polar cells secrete the Jak-Stat ligand Unpaired (Upd) to initiate the differentiation of other pFCs into stalk cells (Assa-Kunik et al., 2007). It is unclear when the main body follicle cell fate is specified, but a single pFC division can produce one daughter cell that differentiates into a polar or stalk cell, and another daughter that
differentiates into a main body follicle cell, suggesting that pFCs do not commit to an exclusively main body follicle cell fate prior to polar cell specification (Chang et al., 2013; Nystul and Spradling, 2010). Much less is understood about the signaling that occurs after newly produced pFCs have exited the niche and prior to the initiation of Notch signaling. pFCs that have exited the niche do not receive self-renewal signals and yet retain the ability to re-enter the niche and assume the stem cell fate. Additionally, these cells contact the germline almost immediately after they exit the niche, yet Notch activation and polar cell differentiation is delayed.

In this report, we demonstrate that two transcriptional regulators, Six4 and Gro (Gro), promote differentiation in this early stage of the FSC lineage. We find that both are required for the activation of Notch signaling in pFCs, and that Gro is required for FSC self-renewal whereas Six4 is not. Conversely, loss of Six4 increases the rate at which pFCs replace wild-type FSCs, consistent with its role in promoting early pFC differentiation. These findings define a transition state of newly produced pFCs in which the cells are poised to differentiate but temporarily retain the capacity to re-enter the niche and re-acquire the stem cell fate.

RESULTS
Identification of new genes that regulate early prefollicle cell differentiation
Our previous finding that constitutively active EGFR signaling inhibits pFC differentiation (Castanieto et al., 2014) suggested that transcriptional targets of the EGFR pathway might be involved in early cell fate decisions. To investigate this possibility, we first expressed a constitutively active allele of EGFR (EGFRλtop) (Queenan et al., 1997), using 109-30-Gal4 (Hartman et al., 2010), which drives expression in posterior IGS cells and throughout the early follicle cell lineage, combined with tub-Gal80ts (referred to herein as 109-30ts) to restrict expression to adulthood. We then assayed for differentiation defects by staining germlaria for Castor (Cas) and Eyes absent (Eya) as readouts for differentiation status (Bai and Montell, 2002; Chang et al., 2013). In wild-type tissue, FSCs and undifferentiated follicle cells in the germarium are Cas+ Eya+, whereas main body body cells express only Eya and polar/stalk cells (arrowheads) express only Cas. (E) Follicle cells expressing EGFRλtop show an expansion of follicle cell staining for both Cas and Eya (solid line and arrowheads) express only Cas. (F,F′) Six4 staining is uniform in the follicle cells of regions 2b and 3 of the germarium (solid lines), nuclear in the main body follicle cells of budded follicles (dotted lines) and absent from stalk cells (arrowheads). Scale bars: 10 μm. DAPI is in blue.

Fig. 1. RNA-seq of follicle cells expressing constitutively active EGFR implicates the transcription factor Six4 in follicle cell differentiation. (A) Map of cell lineages in the follicle epithelium, including some of the known signaling inputs. Numbers at the bottom of the diagram indicate the approximate generation in the FSC lineage of each transition: the FSC division (generation 1) produces a pFC; pFCs divide 1–3 more times (generations 2–4) before committing to the polar cell fate; and differentiation towards the stalk and main body fates occurs over subsequent generations. EGFR promotes FSC self-renewal, Notch promotes the polar fate and Upd promotes the stalk fate. Expression of Cas or Eya is indicated on each cell type. (B) Schematic presentation of a germarium and the most anterior budded follicles, color coded to match the lineages of A. The regions of the germarium and stages of follicle development are indicated below. (C) Morphology of a wild-type germarium. Fas3 (red) staining outlines cell membranes in early follicle cells. Vasa (green) staining marks the germline cysts of the developing follicles. (D) Differentiation status of wild-type follicle cells, as monitored by staining for Cas (green) and Eya (red). Undifferentiated prefollicle cells express both Cas and Eya (solid line), whereas main body body cells express only Eya and polar/stalk cells (arrowheads) express only Cas. (E) Follicle cells expressing EGFRλtop show an expansion of follicle cell staining for both Cas and Eya (solid line and arrowheads). D′,E′ and D″,E″ show the Cas and Eya channels, respectively. (F,F′) Six4 staining is uniform in the follicle cells of regions 2b and 3 of the germarium (solid lines), nuclear in the main body follicle cells of budded follicles (dotted lines) and absent from stalk cells (arrowheads). Scale bars: 10 μm. DAPI is in blue.
differentiation, we found that most of the follicle cells remained Cas⁺ Eya⁺ and failed to acquire the morphological characteristics of main body follicle cells, polar cells or stalk cells (Fig. 1E).

In order to identify genes that regulate early follicle cell differentiation, we isolated follicle cells with 109-30ts driving the expression of mCD8::GFP either alone or in combination with EGFRlop, performed RNA-seq and compared the gene expression profiles of the two populations of cells. We identified 2286 genes with significant differences in expression (Table S1, P-adj<0.01, DESeq2 method). To identify genes that regulate pFC differentiation, we performed an RNAi screen using 109-30ts and RNAi lines from the TRiP collection (Ni et al., 2011) for 26 of the 40 transcription factors with the most statistically significant differences in gene expression (Fig. S1 and Table S2). Ovarioles were examined for gross disruption of the follicle epithelium, as monitored by staining for Fas3 to mark cell membranes of early follicle cells, and Vasa to mark germline cysts of the developing follicles. The most severe and highly penetrant follicle cell phenotype we observed was caused by knockdown of Six4.

Six4 is required for the specification of the polar and stalk cell lineages

Six4 is a well-conserved member of the SIX (sine oculus homebox) family of transcription factors (Kumar, 2009), which have a DNA-binding domain that provides target specificity and a protein interaction domain that mediates binding to transcriptional co-regulators. There are three known members of the SIX family in Drosophila, and two of them, optix and sine oculis, are required for eye development (Pignoni et al., 1997). By contrast, Six4 does not seem to be important for eye development (Clark et al., 2006; Kirby et al., 2001) but is required for mesoderm development and in follicle cells at late stages of oogenesis (Borghese et al., 2006; Clark et al., 2006, 2007; Kirby et al., 2001).

To determine the expression pattern of Six4 in wild-type tissue, we performed immunofluorescence staining with an anti-Six4 antibody (Hwang and Rulifson, 2011). We detected uniform staining in all follicle cells of the germarium, nuclear staining in the main body follicle cells of later stages, and no signal in stalk cells (Fig. 1F). This signal was absent in follicle cell clones expressing Six4 RNAi; which confirms that the antibody is specific for Six4 and that RNAi knockdown is efficient (Fig. S2A). Our RNA-seq data indicated a 3.2-fold increase in Six4 transcript levels in the population of follicle cells expressing EGFRlop (Fig. S1 and Table S1). However, Six4 may not be a direct target of EGFR signaling, as we do not observe elevated Six4 staining in FSCs where p-ERK is detected (Castanieto et al., 2014). Instead, because constitutive activation of EGFR signaling blocks pFC differentiation (Fig. 1E) and mature stalk cells downregulate Six4 (Fig. 1F), the relative increase in Six4 transcript levels may be due to the lack of mature stalk cells in the EGFRlop-expressing population.

We found that RNAi knockdown of Six4 using 109-30ts prevents stalks from forming, resulting in partially fused egg chambers (Fig. 2A and Fig. S3). In addition, we consistently observed Cas⁺ Eya⁺ dual-positive cells located outside of the germarium, typically near the interface between adjacent follicles (Fig. 2C), which we never see in wild-type ovarioles. Notably, consistent with studies of Six4 in follicle cells at later stages of oogenesis (Borghese et al., 2006), we noticed that knockdown of Six4 increased the levels of Eya in the cytoplasm (compare Fig. 2C with Fig. 1D). We next used CRISPR (Bassett and Liu, 2014; Gratz et al., 2014) to induce a frameshift mutation after the first 36 codons (position 108) of Six4 in a stock containing FRT2A. This new allele, Six4108, is
budded follicles (Fig. 3A and Fig. S4). Knockdown of two to four cells between the cysts in regions 2b and 3 of the germarium and is then restricted to pairs of mature polar cells of early follicles in stages 2-5 (Fig. 3D). At stage 6, a separate wave of Notch signaling activates NRE-GFP expression in all follicle cells (Lopez-Schier and St Johnston, 2001). We found that the effect of Six4 knockdown or overexpression on NRE-GFP expression closely paralleled the effects we observed on neur-LacZ expression. Specifically, upon RNAi knockdown of Six4, the NRE-GFP signal was undetectable in follicle cells throughout the germarium, and in the polar cell regions of most stage 2-5 follicles (Fig. 3E), whereas overexpression of Six4 caused an expansion in the region of Notch-responsive cells at the poles of each follicle (Fig. 3F). These results indicate that Six4 is necessary and sufficient to promote Notch signaling in the pFCs of the germarium and in the subset of follicle cells at the poles of early follicles. To determine where in the Notch signaling pathway Six4 exerts its influence, we established an epistatic relationship by co-expressing Six4 RNAi and N

The global co-repressor Groucho promotes prefollicle cell differentiation

Our finding that Six4 promotes Notch signaling and polar cell differentiation prompted us to search for other genes that may participate in the process. Although little is known about Six4, the founding SIX family member sine oculis has been extensively studied (Kumar, 2009). During retinal development Sine oculis forms a complex with Eya to promote transcription or with Gro to inhibit transcription (Silver et al., 2003). Gro was of interest in our study because it is a highly conserved and broadly expressed transcriptional repressor that is an effector of many signaling pathways, including EGFR and Notch signaling (Hasson et al., 2005). In wing and notal bristle patterning, Gro functions as a positive effector of Notch signaling by cooperating with Enhancer-of-split proteins, and is antagonised by ERK-mediated phosphorylation of Gro (Hasson et al., 2005).

To examine potential roles for Gro in the germarium, we first stained wild-type ovarioles using an anti-Gro antibody (Delidakis et al., 1991). We found that Gro is expressed strongly in all follicle cells of the germarium and more weakly in germ cells and IGS cells (Fig. 4A). Knockdown of gro in follicle cells produced a phenotype that strongly resembles the phenotype caused by overexpression of EGFR<sub>top</sub>. Specifically, upon expression of gro RNAi with 109-30ts, we observed an accumulation of follicle cells in the germarium and in the subset of follicle cells at the poles of each follicle (Fig. 4B) in 100% (n=64) of ovarioles. In addition, as with overexpression of EGFR<sub>top</sub>, follicle cells remained Cas+ Eya+ beyond the germarium (Fig. 4D). In combination with previous studies (Hasson et al., 2005; Helman et al., 2011), these observations suggest that the inhibition of follicle cell differentiation caused by ectopic activation of EGFR signaling in pFCs may be due to ERK-mediated phosphorylation and inhibition of Gro.

To investigate the role of Gro phosphorylation in FSCs and early pFC differentiation, we examined the phenotypes caused by overexpression of either wild-type gro or alleles of gro with point mutations at the ERK target sites. We found that overexpression of an allele of gro (gro<sup>L4</sup>) that is refractory to ERK inhibition (Helman et al., 2011) caused excessive differentiation towards the polar/stalk lineage, resulting in elongated and multilayered stalks with extra Cas+
Eya cells in 78±11% of germaria (Fig. 4C,E and Fig. S5). By contrast, overexpression of wild-type gro produced less severe phenotypes with lower penetrance (15±2% of ovarioles had at least one elongated or multilayered stalk, Fig. S5). Overexpression of phosphomimetic gro (groDD), which behaves as if it is constitutively repressed by ERK-mediated phosphorylation, produced these phenotypes with a similar low penetrance (10±8%, Fig. S5). These results indicate that the ERK target sites of Gro are important for its function in promoting polar and stalk cell differentiation, and suggest that overexpression of EGFRtop in ovarioles expressing groAA could restore polar/stalk cell differentiation in ovarioles expressing EGFRtop alone (Figs 1E and 4F,G).

Next, we examined NRE-GFP expression to test whether gro regulates Notch pathway activity. Indeed, we found that RNAi knockdown of gro eliminated NRE-GFP activity in the germarium and early-stage egg chambers (Fig. 5A), whereas overexpression of groDD ectopically activated NRE-GFP activity throughout the FSC lineage in the germarium, including the FSCs (Fig. 5B). Follicle cells expressing groAA also exhibit ectopic expression of the polar cell reporter neur-lacZ in cells typically positioned to become stalk cells (Fig. 5C and Fig. S4). Since Six4 and Gro both promote Notch signaling in pFCs, we investigated whether either gene is required for the expression of fringe (fng), which encodes a secreted protein that potentiates Notch signaling and has been shown to be regulated by EGFR signaling in late-stage egg chambers (Zhao et al., 2000). We found that fng is strongly expressed in region 1 of wild-type germaria and then substantially downregulated at subsequent stages (Fig. S6A,B). However, we did not detect any decrease in fng...
expression in FSCs and pFCs upon RNAi knockdown of either Six4 or Gro (Fig. S6C-F), suggesting that fng expression in the FSC lineage does not depend on either Six4 or Gro. Collectively, these results indicate that Gro promotes Notch signaling and polar cell differentiation, and that, as in other tissues, Gro function is antagonized by ERK-mediated phosphorylation of Gro at ERK target sites.

**Groucho phosphorylation is enriched in the FSC niche**

We hypothesized that, if Gro undergoes phosphorylation in response to EGFR signaling as the above results suggest, then it should be detected in its phosphorylated state in cells with active EGFR signaling. To visualize the pattern of Gro phosphorylation in the FSC lineage, we performed immunofluorescence with an antibody that specifically recognizes Gro protein that has been phosphorylated at the ERK target sites (Helman et al., 2011). Interestingly, we found that phosphorylated Gro (p-Gro) is found at high levels not only in FSCs and IGS cells, both of which have active EGFR signaling, but also in newly produced pFCs, located within approximately three cell diameters from the FSCs (Fig. 5D,E). Beyond this stage, p-Gro staining is still detectable in follicle cells but at substantially lower levels in the germarium, with a return to higher levels outside the germarium, where EGFR signaling becomes active again. We confirmed this result using a staining protocol that allows for simultaneous and mutually exclusive detection of the phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated forms of Gro (Cinnamon et al., 2008). This co-staining revealed very low Gro signal in the p-Gro⁺ FSCs and pFCs (Fig. 5F), suggesting that the majority of Gro protein is phosphorylated in these cells. To confirm that Gro phosphorylation depends on EGFR signaling, we generated MARCM clones homozygous for EGFRF2, a null allele, and stained for p-Gro. These clones were rapidly lost from the niche, as we have reported previously (Castanieto et al., 2014), and thus clones that included cells at the region 2a/2b border were very rare. However, the p-Gro signal was clearly reduced in large EGFRF2 clones outside the germarium (Fig. S7), demonstrating that EGFR is required for Gro phosphorylation in follicle cells.

**Gro is required for FSC maintenance whereas Six4 loss induces hypercompetition**

Our findings thus far demonstrate a clear role for Six4 and gro in promoting differentiation of pFCs toward the polar cell fate. To test whether either of these transcriptional regulators are also part of the programs that promote FSC self-renewal and occupancy of the niche, we performed an FSC competition assay (Kronen et al., 2014). This assay compares the fitness of a mutant FSC lineage to a wild-type FSC lineage in the same germarium. Mutations that disrupt a function required for FSC self-renewal or niche occupancy cause ‘hypocompetition’ in which the mutant FSCs are lost at an increased rate and replaced by daughters of the wild-type FSC lineage. Conversely, other mutations cause ‘hypercompetition’ in which the mutant FSC lineage expands at the expense of the wild-type FSC lineage. The causes of hypercompetition are not fully understood but, in the FSC lineage, the phenotype is associated with mutations that delay pFC differentiation (Kronen et al., 2014).

We measured the proportion of germaria containing 0 (unlabeled), 1 (single-labeled) or 2 (double-labeled) GFP⁺ FSC clones at 7, 14, and 21 days post-clone induction (Fig. 6A-C and Tables S3,S4). In germaria that are single labeled at the time of clone induction, the replacement of one FSC by a daughter cell of the other FSC results in a decrease in the proportion of single-labeled germaria with a concomitant increase in either the unlabeled or double-labeled population. Thus, the changes in the proportion of germaria with 0,
or homozygosity for contrasts, overexpression of is not sufficient to cause FSCs to differentiate prematurely. By differentiation, overexpression of competitive for the niche. Despite the essential role for Six4 in pFC differentiation, as mutant pFCs that fail to differentiate may be more for FSC self-renewal and is consistent with a role for Six4 in pFC niche competition phenotype (hypocompetition phenotype (b = 50±23% and 52±30%, respectively; P<0.05 for the null hypothesis that b=0). This indicates that Six4 is not necessary for FSC self-renewal and is consistent with a role for Six4 in pFC differentiation, as mutant pFCs that fail to differentiate may be more competitive for the niche. Despite the essential role for Six4 in pFC differentiation, overexpression of Six4 did not result in a significant niche competition phenotype (b=-9±5%, P=0.73), indicating that it is not sufficient to cause FSCs to differentiate prematurely. By contrast, overexpression of groAA caused a severe hypocompetition phenotype (b=-100±0; P<0.001), indicating that phosphorylation of Gro at ERK target sites is essential for FSC self-renewal. Surprisingly, RNAi knockdown of gro also caused a severe hypocompetition phenotype (b=-100±0; P<0.001), suggesting that either a small amount of Gro remains unphosphorylated in FSCs and is required for self-renewal, or the phosphorylated form of Gro has an unexpected function that promotes self-renewal.

Fig. 5. Phosphorylation of Gro prevents Notch signaling and polar cell specification in early pFCs. (A A') Expression of gro RNAi causes loss of NRE-GFP activity in early stage follicles (arrowheads). A separate wave of Notch activation occurs surrounding stage 6 follicles (solid line), which is beyond the range of 109-30 expression. (B B') Expression of groAA, which is refractory to ERK-mediated phosphorylation, causes ectopic Notch activity throughout the early follicle cell lineage, including FSCs (arrowhead). (C C') Expression of groAA causes ectopic activation of neur-lacZ in stalk cells (arrowheads). (D-E') Phosphorylated Gro (p-Gro) is observed only in FSCs and pFCs within three cell diameters of the niche (arrowheads). (F-F') Co-staining for Gro (green) and p-Gro (red) indicates that FSCs and early pFCs are p-Gro+, Gro- (arrowheads), whereas later pFCs are p-Gro-, Gro+ (arrows). Scale bars: 10 μm. DAPI is in blue.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have demonstrated that two transcriptional regulators, Six4 and gro, initiate differentiation of pFCs toward the polar cell fate by promoting Notch signaling. Overall, our findings support a model (Fig. 6D) in which EGFR signaling inhibits FSC differentiation within the niche by inhibiting the repressive function of Gro via p-ERK-mediated phosphorylation. Outside the niche, newly produced pFCs enter a transition state that is defined by the lack of exposure to self-renewal cues, such as active Wg and EGFR signaling (Castanieto et al., 2014; Sahai-Hernandez and Nystul, 2013), and by a dependence on continued Gro inhibition to resist differentiation. During embryonic development, the perdurance of p-Gro in the neuroectoderm allows the effects of transiently active signaling from receptor tyrosine kinases such as EGFR to persist beyond the window of pathway activation (Helman et al., 2011). Likewise, the perdurance of p-Gro may provide early pFCs with a molecular memory of the niche signaling, thus delaying differentiation and allowing these cells to participate in stem cell replacement or to increase in number before committing to a cell fate choice. Following a prolonged absence of EGFR signaling, p-Gro is replaced by an active unphosphorylated form of Gro that is able to promote Notch signaling in some pFCs, causing them to differentiate into polar cells. The possibility that p-ERK represses Gro activity in FSCs by directly phosphorylating it is consistent with previous findings that p-ERK is detectable in FSCs (Castanieto et al., 2014), that Gro is a direct substrate of p-ERK, and that p-ERK phosphorylation...
inhibits the activity of Gro (Cinnamon et al., 2008; Hasson et al., 2005; Helman et al., 2011). This possibility is also supported by data from this study. First, we found that the phosphorylated form of Gro predominates in FSCs (Fig. 5D-F) where p-ERK levels are high and that the p-Gro signal in follicle cells is largely eliminated by loss of EGFR (Fig. S7). Notably, we could not directly assay p-Gro signal in FSCs lacking EGFR, and p-Gro was still detectable in some EGFRF2 follicle cells, so we cannot exclude the possibility that other serine-threonine kinases also phosphorylate Gro and regulate its activity. Second, we found that overexpression of groAA partially suppressed the polar/stalk cell differentiation phenotype caused by overexpression of EGFR’top (Fig. 4F). Last, the hypocompetition phenotype (Fig. 6A-C) caused by groAA overexpression demonstrates the importance of phosphorylation at these sites for promoting FSC self-renewal and repressing early pFC differentiation, which are two functions that are associated with EGFR signaling in the early FSC lineage.

Previous studies have found that a subset of pFCs in region 2b activate Notch signaling in response to a spatially and temporally restricted Delta signal from the germline (Lopez-Schier and St Johnston, 2001; Nystul and Spradling, 2010). Our observations that loss of gro or Six4 attenuated Notch pathway activation and polar cell specification while overexpression of groAA or Six4 had the opposite effect, strongly suggest that both genes promote Notch-mediated differentiation of pFCs towards the polar cell fate. In addition, groDD had a much weaker effect on pFC differentiation than overexpression of groAA, indicating that loss of phosphorylation at these sites is important for the pro-differentiation function of Gro. Our observation that overexpression of groWT produced a mild phenotype similar to the effect of groDD overexpression suggests that, as with endogenously expressed Gro, the overexpressed wildtype Gro protein is also predominantly phosphorylated in FSCs and early pFCs, and thus is functionally equivalent to groDD at these stages. Interestingly, our finding that NRE-GFP is active in FSCs expressing groAA (Fig. 5B) but not in wild-type FSCs (Fig. 3D) suggests that FSCs are also exposed to Notch ligand and capable of activating Notch signaling, but the pathway activity is suppressed by the phosphorylation of Gro. The activation of Notch signaling in FSCs expressing groAA may cause premature differentiation, which is
consistent with the finding that $N_{\text{aura}}$ increases the rate of FSC loss (Vied and Kalderon, 2009), and would account for the hypomorphic phenotype caused by groAA overexpression.

In many cell types, Gro functions downstream of Notch target gene expression by interacting with primary Notch targets such as Enhancer of Split [E(spl)] to carry out the effects of Notch pathway activation (Bailey and Posakony, 1995; Fisher et al., 1996; Lecourtois and Schweigswilth, 1995; Parouch et al., 1994). It is unclear whether this occurs in the FSC lineage because E(spl) is not required for follicle cell differentiation (Lopez-Schier and St Johnston, 2001). Instead, our NRE-GFP data suggest that both Gro and Six4 function upstream of primary Notch target gene expression in pFCs.

Niche signals are commonly thought to promote stem cell self-renewal in part by antagonizing the signals that promote differentiation. In support of this idea, studies of the epithelial stem cell lineages in the mammalian hair follicle bulge and the intestine found that the stem cell self-renewal and differentiation cues are activated in opposing gradients (Barker, 2014; Rompolas and Greco, 2014; Sato et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2015), but the mechanisms of interaction between the opposing cues in these tissues are unclear. Our findings suggest that Gro may balance the decision between self-renewal and differentiation in the FSC lineage in a manner that is similar to the mechanisms that operate in the Drosophila male and female germline stem cell niches (de Cuevas and Matunis, 2011; Losick et al., 2011; Xie, 2013). Specifically, just as EFRG signaling inhibits Gro in the FSC niche, BMP signaling in the germline stem cell niches inhibits two repressors that promote germ cell differentiation, Gro in the FSC niche, BMP signaling in the germline stem cell niche of the ovary (Watt et al., 2008). Thus, it will be interesting to determine whether similar Gro-mediated transition state exists in other epithelial tissues.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly stocks

Fly stocks were maintained on standard molasses food. Fly stocks were maintained on standard molasses food.

In vitro assays

The following genotypes were used for the isolation of pFCs for RNA-seq: (1) wild type 40A; hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT40A/FRT40A; (2) wild type 2A: hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 2A/FRT 2A; (3) Six4 RNAi: hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 40A/FRT 40A; (4) Six4 RNAi: +; tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 2A/FRT 2A; (5) Six4 RNAi: hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 40A/FRT 40A; (6) gro RNAi: hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 40A/FRT 40A; and (7) groAA RNAi, hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 40A/FRT 40A.

The following genotypes were used to generate MARCM clones: (1) wild type 40A; hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT40A/FRT40A; (2) wild type 2A: hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 2A/FRT 2A; (3) Six4 RNAi: hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 40A/FRT 40A; (4) Six4 RNAi: +; tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 2A/FRT 2A; (5) Six4 RNAi: hsFlp, tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; tub-Gal80, FRT 40A/FRT 40A.

Immunofluorescence

Adult flies were fed wet yeast for at least 2 days prior to dissection to ensure plump ovaries. Ovaries were dissected in Schneider’s Insect Medium, fixed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) +4% parafformaldehyde for 15 min. Tissue was rinsed in PBS+0.1% Triton X-100 (0.1% PBT) twice for 1 min per rinse prior to incubation with blocking solution (0.1% PBT+0.5% bovine serum albumin) for 15 min. Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking solution and incubated with tissue overnight at 4°C, while rocking on a nutator. Tissue was rinsed twice for 1 min per rinse then washed for 1 h in 0.1% PBT. Secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking solution and incubated with tissue for 2 h at room temperature, while rocking on a nutator. Tissue was rinsed twice for 1 min per rinse and washed for 1 h in PBS, then mounted on a glass slide using Hard Set Vectashield plus DAPI mounting medium (Vector Labs).

All images were acquired using a Zeiss M2 Axioimager with Apotome unit or Nikon C1si Spectral Confocal microscope. For multicolor fluorescence images, each channel was acquired separately. Post-acquisition processing, such as image rotation, cropping, brightness or contrast adjustment, stitching of two overlapping fields (in Fig. 3F) (Preibisch et al., 2009) and z-projections, were performed using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). Comparable staging of follicles between samples was accomplished by counterstaining for Fas3 or Vasa to identify the region 2a/b border in the gerarium. The size and shape of each cyst were recorded using (as guides) the number of germline cysts posterior to region 2a/b border and, in the case of budded follicles, their position relative to the gerarium.

The following primary antibodies were used: ms α-b-gal (1:1000, Promega Z3781), rb α-Cas (1:5000, a gift from Ward Odenwald, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) (Kambadur et al., 1998), ms α-Eya (1:1000, DSHB 10H6) (Bonini et al., 1993), ms α-Fr55 (1:1000, DSHB 7G10) (Patel et al., 1987), goat α-EGFR (1:1000, Synaptic Systems 132005), ms α-Gro (1:1000, DSHB anti-Gro) (Delidakis et al., 1991), rb α-Gro (1:1000) (Cinnamon et al., 2008), rt α-opl (1:1000, a gift from Eric Rulifson, UC San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA) (Hwang and Rulifson, 2011), goat α-fringe (1:500, Santa Cruz sc-15782) and rb α-Vasa (1:1000, Santa Cruz sc-30210). The following secondary antibodies were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific and used at 1:1000: goat α-gal 488 (A-11073), goat α-b-gal 488 (A-11008), goat α-opl 555 (A-21428), goat α-mas 488 (A-11029), goat α-ms 555 (A-21424) and goat α-tet 555 (A-21434).

For the co-staining of Gro and p-Gro in Fig. 4H, ovaries were dissected in PBS and fixed in PBS+5% formaldehyde for 20 min. Ovaries were rinsed twice in PBS containing 1% Triton X-100 (1% PBT) wash, washed in 1% PBT for 10 min, then washed again with 1% PBT for 1 h. Ovaries were blocked in PBS+0.3% Triton X-100+1% BSA (PBTT) for 1 h, then incubated with mouse anti-Gro (1:1000) and rabbit anti-p-Gro (1:1000) antibodies in PBTT overnight at 4°C. Ovaries were washed in PBTT twice for 30 min per wash.
then blocked with PBTB+5% normal goat serum (NGS, Sigma) for 1 h. Secondary antibodies were donkey α-ms 488 and donkey α-αβ Rhodamine Red-X (Jackson Laboratories) diluted 1:500 in PBTB+5% NGS. Following 2 h incubation with the secondary antibodies, ovaries were washed in 0.3% PBT three times for 30 min per wash, rinsed twice in PBS, incubated in PBS +DAPI (1:1000) for 5 min, then washed twice in PBS. Finally, ovaries were mounted using Vectashield mounting medium. Images were acquired using a LSM710 confocal microscope.

Clone induction
Flies of the appropriate genotype were cultured and fed wet yeast for 2 days prior to clone induction. Heat shock was performed by transferring flies to empty plastic vials and immersing these vials in a 37°C water bath for 1 h. Flies were then allowed to recover at 25°C in vials containing supplemental wet yeast. This process was repeated twice daily for 2 days for a total of four 1 h heat shocks. Control flies were always simultaneously subjected to the same heat-shock regimen as experimental flies. Flies were then maintained at 25°C and fed wet yeast daily until dissection.

FSC competition assay
In this assay, MARCM clones of various genotypes were induced and the frequency of germaria containing zero, one or two labeled stem cells was measured at 7, 14 or 21 days after clone induction. Stem cell labeling counts were analyzed as described previously (Kronen et al., 2014). In brief, replacement events can be measured within the subset of germaria that have one stem cell labeled at the initial time point. Over time, single-labeled germaria can become unlabeled or double-labeled, indicating the replacement has occurred. (Germaria containing zero or two labeled stem cells at the earliest timepoint are already homogenous and replacement cannot be detected.) An increase in the proportion of double-labeled germaria is related to the rate of clone expansion, whereas an increase in the proportion of unlabeled germaria is related to the rate of clone extinction. Under neutral competition, the rates of extinction and expansion should be equal. Unless otherwise stated, all confidence intervals in this paper are 95%.

RNA-seq of pFCs expressing EGFR

For each replicate, over 200 flies were dissected and collected in Schneider’s Insect Medium+10% FBS. Two replicates were collected for each genotype for a total of four samples. To maintain tissue health, the total dissection time was limited to 45 min, and the dissection dish and tissue were analyzed as described previously (Kronen et al., 2014). In brief, heat shock was performed by transferring flies to a preparation of wet yeast. This process was repeated twice daily for 2 days for a total of four 1 h heat shocks. Control flies were always simultaneously subjected to the same heat-shock regimen as experimental flies. Flies were then maintained at 25°C and fed wet yeast daily until dissection.

CRISPR generation of the Six4<sup>108</sup> allele

The following primers were used to generate a chimeric RNA for the CRISPR Cas9 system: sense, CCTCGAGGACTGAGTTGCTG1GA; and anti AAATCTAGGCAATCTCAGTCGC. These primers were annealed and inserted into pU6-BbsI-gRNA according to the U6-gRNA (chirRNA) protocol available at flyCRISPR (Bassett and Liu, 2014; Gratz et al., 2014). This construct was co-injected into flies containing FRT2A with Cas9 RNA expressing Transgenic Flies. Injected flies (P₀) were crossed to (w; Sp/CyO; TM2/TM6) to introduce balancers. Independent lines were established by backcrossing individual F₁ males to w; Sp/CyO; TM2/TM6. As previously described, Six₄ alleles are known to be recessive lethal (Clark et al., 2007), so lines were screened for lethality. Lines containing a lethal mutation were sequenced by first PCR amplifying the region of Six₄ targeted for mutation, then sequencing this PCR product using the following primers: forward, GACAAGTAGAAGTGCATTGTG; reverse, AAATGTAATCTCCAGCACG; and sequencing, CTTCTGAGCTATTGCACCGA.

100+ lines screened, only one line with a Six₄ disruption was isolated. Based on the mixed sequencing peaks present, this line likely has a deletion of G108 relative to the ATG start. S.B.C. was acknowledged in this study. Authors declare no competing or financial interests.
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