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Wnt proteins contribute to neuromuscular junction formation through distinct signaling pathways
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ABSTRACT

Understanding the developmental steps that shape formation of the neuromuscular junction (NMJ) connecting motoneurons to skeletal muscle fibers is crucial. Wnt morphogens are key players in the formation of this specialized peripheral synapse, but their individual and collaborative functions and downstream pathways remain poorly understood at the NMJ. Here, we demonstrate through Wnt4 and Wnt11 gain-of-function studies in cell culture or in mice that Wnts enhance acetylcholine receptor (AChR) clustering and motor axon outgrowth. By contrast, loss of Wnt11 or Wnt-dependent signaling in vivo decreases AChR clustering and motor nerve terminal branching. Both Wnt4 and Wnt11 stimulate AChR mRNA levels and AChR clustering downstream of activation of the β-catenin pathway. Strikingly, Wnt4 and Wnt11 co-immunoprecipitate with Vangl2, a core component of the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway, which accumulates at embryonic NMJs. Moreover, mice bearing a Vangl2 loss-of-function mutation (loop-tail) exhibit fewer AChR clusters and overgrowth of motor axons bypassing AChR clusters. Together, our results provide genetic and biochemical evidence that Wnt4 and Wnt11 cooperatively contribute to mammalian NMJ formation through activation of both the canonical and Vangl2-dependent core PCP pathways.

KEY WORDS: Neuromuscular junction, β-catenin signaling, Planar cell polarity, Wnt, Vangl2, Mouse

INTRODUCTION

Formation of the vertebrate neuromuscular junction (NMJ), a peripheral cholinergic synapse between motoneurons and skeletal muscle fibers, relies on the accurate recognition and apposition of presynaptic motoneurons on postsynaptic muscle targets, a process achieved by a variety of organizing signals from both partners (Tintignac et al., 2015). Growing evidence in several vertebrate species, using both in vitro and in vivo models, suggests that Wnt morphogens act as regulators of NMJ initiation and/or formation (Gordon et al., 2012; Messéant et al., 2012; Henriquez et al., 2008; Jing et al., 2009; Messéant et al., 2015; Packard et al., 2002; Strochlic et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012). Yet, Wnt function and the molecular mechanisms through which Wnts collaborate at the mammalian NMJ remain elusive and controversial.

Wnts are known to activate a canonical signaling pathway that is β-catenin (Ctnnb1) dependent, as well as several non-canonical pathways such as the core planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway (Nusse, 2012). At the vertebrate NMJ, Wnt ligands transduce their signals through activation of the receptor complex formed by the muscle-specific tyrosine kinase MuSK and low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 4 (Lrp4) and through the activation of classical Frizzled (Fzd) receptors (Avilés et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2012; Strochlic et al., 2012; Gordon et al., 2012; Messéant et al., 2015). The MuSK-Lrp4 complex constitutes the central scaffold for the formation of the neuromuscular synapse (DeChian et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2008; Weatherbee et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2008). Activation of this complex is required for: (1) the early, nerve-independent muscle prepatternning, as characterized by acetylcholine receptor (AChR) aggregation in the prospective synaptic region of the muscle surface that helps to guide growing motor axons towards their final target; and (2) the late, nerve-dependent differentiation and maturation of the synapse (Tintignac et al., 2015). This later step is orchestrated by the release of a nerve secreted isoform of agrin, which binds to muscle Lrp4 leading to activation of MuSK and AChR clustering in the postsynaptic membrane (Kim et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008, 2011; Zong et al., 2012).

Among the 19 Wnts currently identified in mammals, Wnt2, 3a, 4, 6, 7b, 9a and 11 directly interact with MuSK but only Wnt4, 9a and 11 enhance AChR clustering in muscle cells (Barik et al., 2014; Strochlic et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012). In zebrafish, both Wnt4a and Wnt11r initiate muscle prepatternning, probably by stimulating PCP-dependent MuSK endocytosis in muscle cells (Gordon et al., 2012; Jing et al., 2009). In mice, although recent data have challenged the role of Wnts at the NMJ (Remédo et al., 2016; discussed below), we have demonstrated that Wnt4 contributes to muscle prepatternning (Strochlic et al., 2012). Wnt signaling is also required for later steps of vertebrate NMJ differentiation. For example, dishevelled 1 (Dvl1), a hub for Wnt signaling, interacts with MuSK and plays several roles during NMJ formation (Henriquez et al., 2008; Jing et al., 2009; Luo et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2014). Wnt3 expressed by motoneurons enhances AChR clustering in the developing chicken wing and agrin-induced AChR clustering in cultured myotubes through a non-canonical signaling pathway (Henriquez et al., 2008). By contrast, Wnt3a disperses agrin-induced AChR clusters by downregulating rapsyn expression in a β-catenin-dependent manner in muscle cell culture (Wang et al., 2008). In addition, muscle β-catenin gain-or loss-of-function in mice revealed its role in pre- and postsynaptic differentiation consistent with a critical level of β-catenin expression being required for the proper formation of the NMJ (Li et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2012; Wang and Luo, 2008; Wu et al., 2012a, 2015).
Here, we have used a set of mutant mice, as well as newly designed in vivo tools and biochemical assays, to identify the signaling pathways activated by Wnt/receptor interaction and their function in pre- and postsynaptic differentiation of mammalian NMJs. We show that Wnt11 cooperates with Wnt4 to enhance AChR subunit mRNA levels and aneural AChR clustering in cultured muscle cells, in part through activation of β-catenin signaling. In addition, in vivo application of both Wnt4 and Wnt11 before NMJs begin to form enhances AChR clustering and motor nerve terminal arborization. By contrast, lack of Wnt11 or inhibition of all Wnt-dependent signaling in vivo decreases AChR clustering and nerve terminal arborization. Specific inhibition of the Wnt canonical pathway similarly affects AChR distribution but not axonal branching, suggesting that distinct branches of Wnt signaling regulate nerve terminal arborization. Interestingly, a significant number of axons fail to terminate at AChR clusters and grow exuberantly beyond the prepatterned region of the muscle. Finally, we show that: (1) both Wnt11 and Wnt4 co-immunoprecipitate with Vangl2, a key component of the core PCP pathway; (2) Vangl2 accumulates at embryonic NMJs; and (3) mice bearing the Vangl2 loss-of-function mutation loop-tail (Vangl2\(^{2\text{f}}\)) exhibit disrupted AChR clusters and axon outgrowth that bypasses AChR clusters. Taken together, our results provide compelling evidence that the coordinate action of both the canonical and Vangl2-dependent PCP pathways.

RESULTS

Wnt4 and Wnt11 cooperatively enhance AChR clustering

In view of the complex and still debated role of Wnt4 and Wnt11 in vertebrate NMJ formation (Gordon et al., 2012; Jing et al., 2009; Messèant et al., 2015; Remédio et al., 2016; Strochlic et al., 2012), we investigated events downstream of Wnt4 and Wnt11 and whether they cooperate to induce AChR clustering. Wnt11 alone is known to enhance AChR clustering in vitro; however, conflicting results have been reported regarding the effect of Wnt4 recombinant protein or of Wnt4-conditioned medium treatment of muscle cells on AChR clustering in vitro (Strochlic et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012). We used a mouse muscle cell line generated in our laboratory that carries a temperature-sensitive large T oncogene. Stages of muscle differentiation have been described previously (see Materials and Methods; Cartaud et al., 2004; Sigoillot et al., 2010, 2016). We quantified Wnt11 mRNA expression by RT-PCR at three muscle cell stages. These data revealed that, similarly to Wnt4 (Strochlic et al., 2012), Wnt11 mRNA levels are strongly upregulated at T2 (when AChR clusters are observed) as compared with T1 (early-formed myotubes without AChR clusters) and then downregulated at T3 (mature contracting myotubes with AChR and acetylcholinesterase clusters). Thus, Wnt11 is expressed by muscle cells when AChR clusters begin to form (Fig. 1A).

T2 muscle cells were treated for 16 h with concentrations of Wnt4 or Wnt11 ranging from 2.5 ng/ml to 20 ng/ml. Treatment with 2.5 ng/ml Wnt4 and/or Wnt11 did not result in a statistically significant effect on AChR clustering (Fig. 1B). However, each Wnt induced a significant, dose-dependent increase in AChR clustering beginning at 5 ng/ml, with maximal AChR clusters per myotube reached at 10 ng/ml (62% for Wnt4 and 73% for Wnt11; Fig. 1B). Importantly, when Wnt4 and Wnt11 were applied together, a significant increase in the number of AChR clusters (∼45% for 5 ng/ml, ∼80% for 10 ng/ml and ∼50% for 20 ng/ml) was observed as compared with myotubes treated with Wnt4 or Wnt11 separately. In addition, combined suboptimal doses of each Wnt (2.5 ng/ml) did not induce a larger increase in the number of AChR clusters compared with Wnt4 or Wnt11 applied separately (5 ng/ml) (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that the two Wnts act cooperatively to induce AChR clustering and suggest that Wnt effects on AChR clustering vary according to the Wnt concentration used. This might explain some of the reported differences in Wnt...
effects on AChR clusters where the concentrations were not carefully controlled.

We further quantified morphological aspects of AChR clusters following Wnt4 and/or Wnt11 treatment overnight at maximal concentration (10 ng/ml; Fig. 1C,D). Wnt4 and/or Wnt11 treatment enhanced the size of AChR clusters similarly, as compared with control myotubes (Fig. 1C). To assess the specificity of Wnt4- and Wnt11-induced AChR clustering, myotubes were treated overnight with Wnt3a (10 ng/ml), which is known to have no effect on basal AChR clustering in cultured myotubes (Henriquez et al., 2008). As expected, Wnt3a did not affect the number of AChR clusters compared with control myotubes (Fig. 1E,F).

We then examined whether both Wnts stimulate AChR clustering in vivo. To directly target the embryonic diaphragm, we used ultrasound-guided injections of Wnt4 and/or Wnt11 in live mouse embryos (see Materials and Methods; Fig. S1), which allowed us to specifically assess protein function in vivo in a restricted spatiotemporal window (Nieman and Turnbull, 2010; Slevin et al., 2006). A single injection of each Wnt (50 µg/ml) or of both together (25 µg/ml) was performed directly into the peritoneum of E12.5 embryos to target the diaphragm before NMJs started to form, with analysis 2 days later (E14.5) to visualize their in vivo effects during early stages of NMJ formation. Whole-mount diaphragms were labeled with α-bungarotoxin (BTX) to detect AChR clusters, together with a mixture of antibodies against synaptophysin (Syn) and neurofilament (NF) to visualize nerve terminals and axonal branches, respectively (Fig. 2A,E). Compared with PBS-injected controls, injection of Wnt4 or Wnt11 alone did not enhance AChR clustering. However, embryos injected with both Wnt4 and Wnt11 displayed increases in the number (+131%) and volume (+44%), but not fluorescence intensity, of AChR clusters (Fig. 2B-D). Moreover, in PBS-injected embryos synapses were concentrated in a narrow band and AChR clusters appeared as a thin line in the middle of each hemidiaphragm, whereas in embryos injected with both Wnt4 and Wnt11 most of the AChR clusters were distributed in a 1.3-fold wider area (Fig. 2E,F). Injection of Wnt4 or Wnt11 alone did not affect nerve terminal arborization and axonal outgrowth (data not shown), whereas injection of both Wnt4 and Wnt11 increased the mean axon length by 44% without affecting the number of primary and secondary branches (Fig. 2E,G-I). Collectively, these data support a cooperative function of Wnt4 and Wnt11 in AChR clustering and axonal outgrowth.

**Loss of Wnt function impairs NMJ formation**

The above results prompted us to study the effect of loss of Wnt function during NMJ formation. We previously demonstrated that Wnt4−/− mouse embryos exhibit NMJ formation defects associated with a decreased number of prepatterned AChR clusters and increased axon outgrowth within the diaphragm (Strochlic et al., 2012). We examined whether Wnt11−/− mouse embryos display
similar NMJ formation defects. Whole-mount diaphragms of E14 and E18.5 Wnt11−/− embryos and wild-type (WT) littermates were labeled with BTX together with a mixture of anti-Syn and anti-NF antibodies (Fig. 3A,D). In E14 Wnt11−/− embryos, the nerve trunk and branches of the phrenic nerve were localized normally in the central region of the muscle as in E14 WT embryos (Fig. 3A), indicating that phrenic axons were able to reach the central and prospective synaptic region of the diaphragm in the absence of Wnt11. However, the number of AChR clusters was reduced by 69% compared with WT (Fig. 3B). In addition, axons were increased in length by 124% in Wnt11−/− compared with WT (Fig. 3C). In E18.5 WT embryos, synapses were concentrated in a narrow band and AChR clusters appeared as a thin line in the middle of each hemidiaphragm (Fig. 3D), whereas in Wnt11−/− embryos AChR clusters were distributed in a 1.9-fold wider area (Fig. 3D,E). We observed 30% and 42% decreases in cluster number and volume, respectively, in Wnt11−/− compared with WT (Fig. 3F-H). In addition to these postsynaptic defects, the presynaptic component was disturbed in Wnt11−/− embryos (Fig. 3D). We found 63% and 40% decreases in the number of primary and secondary nerve branches, respectively (Fig. 3I,J). Moreover, instead of ending next to the main phrenic nerve trunk, both primary and secondary branches extended further away from the nerve trunk, with an increase in their length of 112% and 302%, respectively (Fig. 3K,L). Despite this phenotype, nerve terminals were consistently apposed to AChR clusters in Wnt11−/− embryos, indicating that Wnt11 is not involved in synapse recognition.

To investigate the effect of Wnt signaling during NMJ formation and to avoid potential redundancy between distinct Wnt ligands, we injected E12.5 mouse embryos with 100 µg/ml secreted frizzled-related protein 4 (Sfrp4), a Wnt antagonist that serves as a soluble decoy Wnt receptor and thus inhibits all Wnt function and signaling (Ehrlund et al., 2013; He et al., 2005; Park et al., 2008; Surendran et al., 2005). Analysis of NMJ phenotype in Sfrp4-injected embryos at E14.5 revealed that AChR clusters were distributed in a very thin line, as compared with PBS-injected embryos (Fig. 3M). The endplate band width was reduced by 22% in Sfrp4-injected embryos compared with the control (Fig. 3N). In addition, the number (−36%), volume (−24%) and intensity (−15%) of AChR clusters were significantly reduced in Sfrp4-injected embryos (Fig. 3O-Q). Interestingly, Sfrp4-injected embryos displayed a drastic loss of terminal arborization. Quantitative analysis revealed that the number of secondary branches in close proximity to the nerve trunk was reduced by 52% and the mean axon length was decreased by 36% in Sfrp4-injected embryos (Fig. 3R-T). Collectively, these data indicate that Wnt proteins contribute to AChR clustering, presynaptic branching and axon outgrowth.

Wnt4 and Wnt11 signal through the canonical pathway to elicit AChR clustering and NMJ differentiation

Wnt4 and Wnt11 are known to activate both canonical and non-canonical Wnt signaling, depending on the cell and tissue context.
required downstream of Wnt4 and Wnt11 to regulate AChR beyond AChR clusters (Fig. 4Q), although the number of embryos (Fig. 4J). AChR clusters were spread throughout a wider muscle area (+16%; Fig. 4K) and the number (−24%) of AChR clusters was reduced by 39% compared with WT, indicating that Vangl2 is required for a normal level of AChR clustering at this early stage (Fig. 6B). Moreover, axons were increased in length by 191% in Vangl2Lp/Lp embryos (Fig. 6C). However, among the number of both primary and secondary axons was unaffected (Fig. 6J), Vangl2Lp/Lp embryos showed a striking overextension of secondary motor axon branches (+108%), bypassing AChR clusters and growing aberrantly toward the periphery of the muscle (Fig. 6K,L).

Strikingly, however, the NMJ phenotype induced by Dkk1 injection did not recapitulate Sfrp4 injection-induced NMJ defects, suggesting that another pathway is required in addition to canonical signaling.

**The core PCP component Vangl2 accumulates at developing NMJs and interacts with extracellular Wnt4 and Wnt11, and the Vangl2 loop-tail mutation affects NMJ formation**

Given the involvement of Wnt1 in the Wnt/PCP pathway (Gao, 2012), we investigated the role of PCP signaling at the NMJ. We focused on vang-like 2 (Vangl2), one of the most upstream of the core PCP components. Vangl2 is expressed in developing motor axons and is essential for axon guidance in the central nervous system (Avilés and Stoeckli, 2016; Ezan and Montcouquiol, 2013; Nagaoka et al., 2014, 2015). First, we analyzed the pattern of Vangl2 expression during NMJ formation. We found that Vangl2 mRNA is highly expressed in diaphragm and hindlimb muscles at E14, when NMJs start to form, and decreases as muscle differentiation proceeds (Fig. 5A). In addition, we identified Vangl2 in protein extracts of E18.5 brain, spinal cord, diaphragm, hindlimb and culture of myotubes (Fig. 5B). Moreover, Vangl2 colocalized with both BTX and the SNARE protein SNAP25, a well-known marker of the presynaptic compartment (Söllner et al., 1993; Washbourne et al., 2002) in E18.5 hindlimb sections, suggesting that Vangl2 is accumulated at the embryonic NMJ (Fig. 5C).

We then tested the potential interaction between Vangl2 and Wnt4 or Wnt11 in a co-culture co-immunoprecipitation assay in which the two partners are expressed by different cells, allowing the detection of extracellular interactions (Yamamoto et al., 2008). Immunoprecipitation was performed after 24 h of co-culture between NIH 3T3 fibroblasts transfected with a plasmid encoding GFP-Vangl2 and HEK 293T cells expressing Wnt11-myc or Wnt4-HA (Fig. 5D). We found that extracellular Wnt4 and Wnt11 co-immunoprecipitated with Vangl2, suggesting that these three proteins are part of a common signaling cascade (Fig. 5E).

To assess the structural consequences of Vangl2 deletion on NMJ formation in vivo, we examined the NMJ phenotype of mouse embryos bearing the loop-tail mutation (Vangl2^{2a/p^Lp}; Fig. 6A,D), which is characterized by a point mutation in the Vangl2 gene (S464N) that renders the protein ineffective and unstable (Wang et al., 2005). Early during NMJ formation, in E14/E14.5 Vangl2^{2a/p^Lp} embryos, the number of AChR clusters was reduced by 39% compared with WT, indicating that Vangl2 is required for a normal level of AChR clustering at this early stage (Fig. 6B). Moreover, axons were increased in length by 191% in Vangl2^{2a/p^Lp} embryos (Fig. 6C). At a later developmental stage (E18.5), AChR clusters were distributed over a wider area and the endplate band width was 1.7-fold larger than in WT littermates (Fig. 6D,E). The number (−34%) and volume (−29%), but not the fluorescence intensity, of Vangl2^{2a/p^Lp} AChR clusters were reduced compared with WT (Fig. 6F-H). All AChR clusters were innervated in Vangl2^{2a/p^Lp} embryos (Fig. 6D). However, although the number of both primary and secondary axons was unaffected (Fig. 6I,J), Vangl2^{2a/p^Lp} embryos showed a striking overextension of secondary motor axon branches (+108%), bypassing AChR clusters and growing aberrantly toward the periphery of the muscle (Fig. 6K,L).
Fig. 4. Wnt4 and Wnt11 signal through the canonical pathway to elicit AChR clustering and NMJ differentiation.

(A) Subcellular protein fractionation of T2 myotubes treated or otherwise with Wnt4 (10 ng/ml) and/or Wnt11 (10 ng/ml). Representative western blot analyses are shown of cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions with phosphorylated (P-β-catenin T41/S35) or total β-catenin antibodies. β-actin was used as a loading control and GAPDH as an indication of cytoplasmic purity. (B,C) Quantification of the total (B) and phosphorylated (C) β-catenin levels normalized to β-actin levels in the cytoplasmic fraction upon control or Wnt treatment.

(D) Quantification of total β-catenin levels normalized to β-actin levels in the nuclear fraction upon control or Wnt treatment. (E) Examples of myotubes stained for total β-catenin (red) together with DAPI (blue, nuclei) upon control or Wnt treatment. (F) Quantification of nuclear β-catenin fluorescence intensity upon control or Wnt treatment. (G) Examples of myotubes stained with Btx that were treated with vehicle or Dkk1 (20 ng/ml) in the absence or presence of Wnt4 and/or Wnt11 (10 ng/ml) for 16 h.

(H) Quantification of AChR cluster number in myotubes treated or otherwise with increased concentrations of Dkk1 in the absence or presence of Wnt4 (10 ng/ml) and/or Wnt11 (10 ng/ml). (I) Real-time RT-PCR quantification of relative AChRα (Chrna1), AChRβ (Chrnb1), AChRγ (Chrng) and AChRe (Chrne) subunit mRNA expression in myotubes treated or otherwise with Wnt4 (10 ng/ml) and/or Wnt11 (10 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of Dkk1 (20 ng/ml).

(J) Confocal images of whole-mount left hemidiaphragms from E14.5 PBS-injected or Dkk1-injected embryos. NF/Syn, red; AChR clusters, green (Btx). White dashed lines delineate the synaptic endplate band.

(K-Q) Quantification of endplate band width (K), AChR cluster number (L), volume (M), fluorescence intensity (N), number of primary (O) and secondary (P) nerve branches, and mean axon length (Q). *,#, **, ##, ### P < 0.05; ***, ### P < 0.01 and #### P < 0.001. ns, non-significant. # and (ns): compared with untreated conditions. n=6 embryos per condition. Mann–Whitney U-test or one-way ANOVA.

Scale bars: 10 µm in E; 20 µm in G; 40 µm in J.
Since the atypical seven-pass cadherin Celsr3, another core component of the PCP signaling pathway, has recently been shown to be involved in limb motor axon guidance (Chai et al., 2014, 2015), we examined whether it could also play a role in NMJ formation. E18.5 Celsr3−/− mouse embryos exhibited an NMJ phenotype similar to that of WT littermates, with no pre- or postsynaptic defects (Fig. S3), suggesting that Celsr3 is dispensable for NMJ formation in the diaphragm muscle. As Celsr3 mutant mice show a hindlimb phenotype reminiscent of congenital talipes equinovarus (Chai et al., 2015), a possible explanation is that Celsr3 could function in a subset of motoneurons innervating the hindlimb but not the diaphragm. Alternatively, since three Celsr genes/paralogs exist in mammals, the loss of Celsr3 expression might not be sufficient to alter diaphragm NMJ formation owing to redundancy in Celsr function (Tissir and Goffinet, 2013).

In summary, our data demonstrate that Wnt ligands, including Wnt4 and Wnt11, contribute to the process of NMJ formation via the downstream activation of two pathways – the canonical and a Vangl2-dependent core PCP signaling pathway – to regulate AChR clustering and key aspects of presynaptic differentiation, such as axon branching and outgrowth (Table 1).

DISCUSSION
In this study, we provide evidence that pre- and postsynaptic NMJ differentiation requires a balance of distinct Wnt signaling activities mediated in part by Wnt4 and Wnt11. Based on our findings, we propose that the coordinated action of Wnt4 and Wnt11 activates two downstream signaling pathways, namely the β-catenin-dependent and the Vangl2-dependent PCP pathways, to stimulate AChR cluster formation in the postsynaptic muscle membrane and to regulate presynaptic motor axon outgrowth (Table 1). In addition, our data show that Wnt11 plays a role in presynaptic branching. Our results further highlight the emerging role of Wnt signaling in mammalian NMJ formation and point to distinct roles of Wnt
ligands and associated downstream signaling in regulating various aspects of presynaptic differentiation. This is in line with recent advances in Wnt signaling research indicating that the same Wnt can activate different pathways and that several Wnts can mediate one signaling pathway depending on the cell context (Anastas and Moon, 2013).

**Coordinated roles of Wnt4 and Wnt11 in AChR clustering**

Here, we demonstrate that Wnt4 and Wnt11 cooperate to potentiate their individual postsynaptic activity in muscle cells *in vitro* and in E14.5 injected diaphragm *in vivo*. By contrast, loss of Wnt11 or Wnt-induced signaling in mice severely impairs the early phase of AChR clustering, with a drastic reduction of AChR clusters. Wnt11 mutant mice were first analyzed at E14 and injected embryos were observed at E14.5, 2 days after injection at E12.5, to visualize the full impact of the injected molecule on the early steps of NMJ formation. E14/E14.5 correspond to the end of the prepatterning step, when nerve terminals have reached their muscle target and have started to branch (Tintignac et al., 2015). During this step, early nerve-induced signaling is occurring, many AChR clusters are not yet innervated and both aneural and neural AChR clusters can be visualized and quantified, and thus the AChR defects observed are likely to result from initial nerve-independent postsynaptic differentiation. In line with this, Granato and colleagues showed that both Wnt11r and Wnt4a are required for AChR prepatterning in zebrafish and we previously reported that Wnt4 participates in aneural AChR clustering in mice (Gordon et al., 2012; Strochlic et al., 2012). However, only the combined inactivation of *wnt11r* and *wnt4a* in zebrafish led to a complete loss of aneural AChR clusters, and loss of Wnt4 function in mice did not fully abolish muscle prepatterning. As in zebrafish, the lack of Wnt11 triggers a more pronounced prepatterning defect than Wnt4 deficit. However, the role of Wnt4 and Wnt11 during NMJ formation has been recently challenged by the Burden group, who reported that loss of Wnt11 and/or Wnt4 in mouse embryos did not induce postsynaptic differentiation defects (Remédio et al., 2016). Although, similarly to Remédio et al., our data suggest that neuromuscular synapses are able to form in the absence of Wnt4 and/or Wnt11, strong postsynaptic differentiation defects were quantified revealing that Wnt4 and Wnt11 are key regulators of NMJ formation. In addition, we did not observe the formation of ectopic muscle islands within the central tendon of the diaphragm in *Wnt11* mutant mice. Since distinct genetic backgrounds have been used to breed the Wnt4 (129Sv/CBA/C57BL/6; Jeays-Ward et al., 2004) and Wnt11 (C57BL/6/CD1; Cohen et al., 2012) mutant strains, this might explain the discrepancy observed. Indeed, a recent systematic analysis of the phenotype-genotype relationship shows that very different phenotypes can be observed depending on the genetic background for the same null allele (Sittig et al., 2016). In addition, the role of Wnts was investigated using a muscle conditional mutant of *wntless* (*Wls*) in which the secretion of Wnts from muscle is blocked. However, this does not preclude the compensatory secretion of Wnts by other cells. Moreover, several groups have shown that, in addition to Wnt4 and Wnt11, other Wnt proteins are able to stimulate AChR clustering in the absence (Wnt9a, 9b, 10b and 16) or presence (Wnt3) of exogenous neuronal agrin *in vitro* (Barik et al., 2014; Henriquez et al., 2008), suggesting that NMJ formation is likely to require the coordinated function of multiple pro-synaptogenic Wnts and that Wnt functional redundancy and/or compensatory mechanisms that are dependent on the genetic background might also in part explain why single or double Wnt deletion in mice can induce distinct NMJ phenotypes.

---

**Fig. 6. Vangl2<sup>−/−</sup> mouse embryos display NMJ formation defects.**

(A) Confocal images of whole-mount left hemidiaphragms from E14/E14.5 WT and Vangl2<sup>−/−</sup> embryos. NF/Syn, red; AChR clusters, green (BTX). (B,C) Quantification of E14/E14.5 AChR cluster number (B) and mean axon length (C). (D) Confocal images of whole-mount left hemidiaphragms from E18.5 WT and Vangl2<sup>−/−</sup> embryos stained as in A. White dashed lines delineate the synaptic endplate band. (E-L) Quantification of E18.5 endplate band width (E), AChR cluster number (F), volume (G), fluorescence intensity (H), number of primary (I) and secondary (J) nerve branches, and mean primary (K) and secondary (L) axon length. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001; ns, non-significant. n=6 embryos per genotype, Mann–Whitney U-test. Scale bars: 40µm.
## Table 1. Summary of NMJ morphological phenotypes in mouse embryos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AChR clusters</th>
<th>Motor axons</th>
<th>Synaptic band</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Number of primary branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wnt4/Wnt11 GOF</strong></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wnt11</strong> ^−/−</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sfrp4</strong></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dkk1</strong></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vangl2</strong> ^CasP^</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Celsr3</strong> ^−/−</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOF, gain of function; Sfrp4 and Dkk1 refer to direct injections of protein. Arrows indicate increases or decreases relative to wild type (WT), with unchanged indicated by –. I and II refer to primary and secondary nerve branches, respectively. In the diagrams to the right, AChR clusters are in green, the phrenic nerve in red, and black dashed lines delimit the synaptic endplate band width, which includes most AChR clusters.
Our results further indicate that Wnt4-Wnt11-induced AChR clustering in part requires increased levels of AChR subunit gene expression in a β-catenin-dependent manner in muscle cells and that specific inhibition of the canonical pathway in vivo, before NMJs start to form, leads to an increased AChR endplate band and to a decrease in the number and volume of AChR clusters. These data demonstrate that Wnt canonical pathway-dependent synaptic gene transcriptional activation is involved in postsynaptic differentiation. Interestingly, mice depleted of β-catenin in muscles displayed similar endplate band width enlargement but divergent AChR cluster size, and no defect in postsynaptic differentiation was detected in muscle β-catenin gain of function (Li et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2012a). Altogether, these data highlight the crucial role of Wnt-elicited canonical signaling in patterning the prospective synaptic endplate band and suggest that a critical level of β-catenin signaling activity is required to regulate AChR cluster formation. In zebrafish, Wnt canonical signaling is not required for AChR clustering and axon guidance (Gordon et al., 2012). This could be related to evolutionary divergence in the role of Wnt signaling between zebrafish and mice.

Both Wnt4 and Wnt11 interact with the MuSK receptor via its Frizzled-like domain (Jing et al., 2009; Strochlic et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012). We previously demonstrated that deletion of the MuSK cysteine-rich domain (MuSKΔCRD) in mice altered Wnt11-mediated AChR clustering (Messéant et al., 2015). Moreover, MuSKACRD embryos, similarly to Dkk1-injected embryos, exhibited strong defects in postsynaptic differentiation associated with a decrease in AChR cluster numbers, a phenotype that was rescued by forced activation of canonical signaling using lithium chloride (Messéant et al., 2015). Strikingly, no NMJ formation or function defects were found in another mouse line deleted of the MuSK CRD generated by the Burden group (Remédio et al., 2016). Our mice overexpress the mutated MuSK, which could in part explain the difference in the NMJ phenotype observed (Messéant et al., 2015). However, although we do not know what accounts for the difference between the two mouse lines, MuSKACRD heterozygous embryos and adults do not display any NMJ phenotype (except a slight increase in axonal length in E14.5 embryos, which is not observed at E18.5), indicating that overexpression of the mutated MuSK is not responsible for the NMJ defects observed (Fig. S4). In addition it is likely that, in contrast to our finding, MuSKACRD overexpression would lead to increased AChR clustering and synapse formation, similar to previous results obtained by the Burden group (Kim and Burden, 2008).

Interestingly, our results demonstrate that Wnt4 and Wnt11 also interact with the core PCP protein Vangl2 in vitro and that, like MuSKACRD, Vangl22/−/− embryos display AChR clustering defects suggesting that these four proteins are part of a PCP signaling complex required for AChR accumulation in the postsynaptic membrane. In line with this, Wnt initiation of muscle prepatterning in zebrafish requires MuSK endocytosis and components of the PCP pathway (Gordon et al., 2012; Lacazette et al., 2003). Alternatively, since expression of the Wnt receptor Fzd is largely within the developing diaphragm (Avilès et al., 2014), Vangl2 might signal via an as yet unidentified Fzd receptor to regulate AChR clustering.

**Wnt signaling in presynaptic branching and motor axon growth**

Our results obtained in vivo using specific inhibitors of Wnt signaling suggest that Wnt ligands activate both canonical and non-canonical pathways that are differentially involved in motor axon outgrowth and nerve branching/arborization. Our data showed that Dkk1-injected embryos and mice bearing the Vangl2 loop-tail mutation exhibit similar phenotypes, with excessive motor axon growth bypassing AChR clusters, suggesting that both canonical and Vangl2-dependent signaling affect axon outgrowth. Interestingly, a similar phenotype was observed in MuSKACRD (Messéant et al., 2015) as well as in Wnt4-deficient (Strochlic et al., 2012) and Wnt11-deficient embryos, although to a lesser extent (no bypassing of AChR clusters in Wnt11−/− embryos), suggesting that both Wnts mediate canonical and Vangl2-dependent signaling to regulate this presynaptic phenotype.

It has been shown that muscle-specific but not motoneuronal silencing of β-catenin or Lrp4 expression in mice alters presynaptic differentiation and that muscle β-catenin transcriptional activity is required for presynaptic differentiation, indicating that the presynaptic defects observed in Dkk1-injected mouse embryos are likely to result from inhibition of a muscle canonical retrograde signaling pathway (Li et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2012b). Since our data show that Vangl2 accumulates at developing NMJs and is likely to be expressed in both presynaptic nerve terminals and postsynaptic muscle domains, it is possible that a Vangl2-dependent signaling is activated in motor axons to regulate and stop the growth of axons once they have reached their target. The role of the PCP pathway in Wnt-induced growth of axons has been well documented (Onishi et al., 2014). However, the similarity between Dkk1- and Vangl2-induced phenotypes raises the interesting hypothesis that both Vangl2 and β-catenin signal in the same pathway. Indeed, endocytosis regulates receptor tyrosine kinase signaling (Goh and Sorkin, 2013) and it has been shown that some of the core PCP proteins are involved in Wnt-induced MuSK internalization and signaling in zebrafish (Gordon et al., 2012). Thus, in this hypothesis, the PCP pathway would act upstream of β-catenin, allowing MuSK endocytosis to trigger activation of Wnt canonical signaling. Alternatively, we cannot exclude the possibility that Vangl2 signals in the Schwann cell to regulate NMJ formation.

In contrast to Dkk1-injected embryos and Vangl2 mutant phenotypes, and similar to Wnt11 loss of function, transient inhibition of Wnt signaling pathways by Sfrp4 drastically decreases nerve terminal arborization. This suggests that Wnt11 regulates motor axon arborization via an as yet unknown Vangl2-independent and non-canonical Wnt pathway. Whether Wnt11−/− AChR clustering defects induce an abnormal presynaptic phenotype or the reverse requires clarification in vivo, but it remains clear that Wnt11 directly controls the number of AChR clusters in muscle cells. Whereas Vangl2 mutant, Wnt11-deficient, Dkk1-injected or Sfrp4-injected mouse embryos exhibit the same postsynaptic defects, complex effects specifically affecting arborization or axon outgrowth are observed among the presynaptic phenotypes. Deciphering the presynaptic Wnt-induced mechanisms will require identification of the Wnt receptors and the cell-specific signaling cascades.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Reagents and mice**

The use of animals was in compliance with European Community guidelines (N°A-75-1970). Wnt11−/−, Vangl22/−/− and Celo3−/− mice were described previously (Majumdar et al., 2003; Montcouquiol et al., 2003; Tissir et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2002). The Wnt11−/− strain background is a mixture of C57BL/6 and CD1 (Cohen et al., 2012). Experimental procedures were performed on mutant males and WT
littermates. Information on the reagents and antibodies used is provided in the supplementary Materials and Methods.

**RT-PCR**
Total RNA from cultured muscle cells, diaphragm or hindlimb muscles free of bones and skin was extracted and RT-PCR analyses using SYBR Green and Wnt11, Vangl2, AChRα (Chrna1), β (Chrnb1), γ (Chrng) and ε (Chrne) subunit primers (Qiagen) were performed as described previously (Strochlic et al., 2012). At least three experiments were performed for each muscle cell stage and six embryos were tested for each stage.

**Immunoprecipitation and western blot**
Subcellular protein fractionation was performed using the Subcellular Fractionation Kit (Thermo Scientific) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfection (4 µg each plasmid), co-culture co-immunoprecipitation assay and western blot analyses were performed as described previously using the antibodies indicated (Giese et al., 2012; Strochlic et al., 2012; Yamamoto et al., 2008). The co-culture co-immunoprecipitation assay involves the transfection of two potential interactors in two different cell types, followed by co-culture and the immunoprecipitation assay, and provides a simple and reliable method to detect specific protein–protein interactions that occur only in the extracellular space.

Relative signal intensity of total and phosphorylated β-catenin normalized to β-actin was measured using ImageJ software (NIH).

**HEK 293T, NIH 3T3 and muscle cell culture**
HEK 293T and NIH 3T3 cells (ATCC) were cultured in DMEM (Thermo Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine and 2% penicillin/streptomycin (500 U) at 37°C in 5% CO2. The MLCL polygonal muscle cell line was generated in our laboratory from H-2Kb-tsA58 newborn mouse muscle and was cultured as described previously (Cartaud et al., 2004; Sigoillot et al., 2010, 2016). Three stages of muscle differentiation were selected for analysis: T1, when cells are mostly myotubes (day 0); T2 (day 2), when AChR clusters are visualized; and T3 (day 5), when both AChR and AChE clusters are observed (Guerra et al., 2008). When indicated, recombinant Wnt3a, Wnt4 and/or Wnt11 proteins were added to stage T2 myotubes alone or with Dkk1 for 16 h.

**Immunohistochemistry**
Staining on whole-mount diaphragms, isolated muscle fibers, tissue sections and myotubes was performed as described previously (Messéant et al., 2015; Strochlic et al., 2012).

**Image acquisition and processing**
Image acquisition and quantitative analysis of NMJs were performed as previously described (Messéant et al., 2015). Details are provided in the supplementary Materials and Methods.

For quantification of AChR clusters in cultured myotubes, a size threshold was applied such that only AChR clusters of at least 5 µm² were quantified. This allows the detection of AChR aggregates and not AChR micro-clusters, in contrast to quantification made in a previous study (Strochlic et al., 2012), and explains the discrepancy between the results.

**Ultrasound-guided microinjection of embryos**
WT C57BL/6 pregnant mice at E12.5 (Janvier Labs) were anesthetized (3% isoflurane in air and maintained at 1.5%), installed on a heating pad and monitored for respiration frequency, ECG and temperature (Fig. S1A,B). The pregnant mouse was intraperitoneally injected with Metacam (1 mg/kg body weight; Boehringer Ingelheim). A laparotomy was then performed and the uterine horns were gently exteriorized to allow direct visualization of embryos using an ultrasound biomicroscope (VEVO2010, Visualsonics) equipped with a 60 MHz probe (MS-700; Fig. S1C,D). To ensure contact between the ultrasound probe and the embryos injected, a warm sterile gel (Aquasonic) was used. A quartz micropipette for *in vitro* fertilization (MIC-8-0, Origo; outer diameter 8-10 µm; inner diameter 6.2-7.0 µm) was used to directly inject 5 µl into the embryo, targeting the perineurium. Visual observation allowed the identification of any bleeding or ineffective delivery of the injected product (Fig. S1E). At least three embryos were injected per uterine horn, selecting those that were in ideal positions to minimize manipulation of the embryos. The maternal abdomen was then closed using surgery staples, and the injected animals were kept isolated in heated cages 24 h after surgery. Additional injection of Metacam was performed 24 h after surgery.

Wnt4, Wnt11, Sfrp4 and Dkk1 were injected into E12.5 live embryos. The injected embryos were sacrificed at E14.5 and NMJ morphological analyses were performed. Considering the relatively short time frame of the injection (2 days), it is unlikely that any defects observed in the diaphragm would arise from systemic effects.

**Statistical analysis**
All data are expressed as mean±s.e.m. Statistical analyses were performed and graphs prepared with Prism 6.0 (GraphPad) software. Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used to compare data between two groups. Data of multiple groups were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Differences were considered significant at *P*<0.05. Each experiment was conducted a minimum of three times.
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