BDNF increases synapse density in dendrites of developing tectal neurons in vivo
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Neuronal connections are established through a series of developmental events that involve close communication between presynaptic and postsynaptic neurons. In the visual system, BDNF modulates the development of neuronal connectivity by influencing presynaptic retinal ganglion cell (RGC) axons. Increasing BDNF levels in the optic tectum of Xenopus tadpoles significantly increases both axon arborization and synapse density per axon terminal within a few hours of treatment. Here, we have further explored the mechanisms by which BDNF shapes synaptic connectivity by imaging tectal neurons, the postsynaptic partners of RGCs. Individual neurons were co-labeled with DsRed2 and a GFP-tagged postsynaptic density protein (PSD95-GFP) to visualize dendritic morphology and postsynaptic specializations simultaneously in vivo. Immunoelectron microscopy confirmed that PSD95-GFP predominantly localized to ultrastructurally identified synapses. Time-lapse confocal microscopy of individual, double-labeled neurons revealed a coincident, activity-dependent mechanism of synaptogenesis and axon and dendritic arbor growth, which is differentially modulated by BDNF. Microinjection of BDNF into the optic tectum significantly increased synapse number in tectal neuron dendritic arbors within 24 hours, without significantly influencing arbor morphology. BDNF function-blocking antibodies had opposite effects. The BDNF-elicited increase in synapse number complements the previously observed increase in presynaptic sites on RGC axons. These results, together with the timescale of the response by tectal neurons, suggest that the effects of BDNF on dendritic synaptic connectivity are secondary to its effects on presynaptic RGCs. Thus, BDNF influences synaptic connectivity in multiple ways: it enhances axon arbor complexity expanding the synaptic territory of the axon, while simultaneously coordinating synapse formation and stabilization with individual postsynaptic cells.
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INTRODUCTION

In the developing central nervous system, functional neuronal circuitry is established as axon terminals arborize, recognize their target neurons and form precise synaptic connections. In vivo imaging studies demonstrate that developing axon terminals are highly dynamic and actively participate in synaptogenesis (Alsina et al., 2001; O’Rourke and Fraser, 1990; Witte et al., 1996). Postsynaptic dendritic arbors are also dynamic, and it is through coordinated interactions between axon and dendritic filopodia that target recognition and synapse formation take place (Cline, 2001; Cohen-Cory, 2002; Dailey and Smith, 1996; Deng and Dunaevsky, 2005; Fountes and Smith, 2000; Niell et al., 2004; Trachtenberg et al., 2002). Proper neuronal connections are thus specified as axons and dendrites make initial contacts and establish synapses that are often transient. Cell-surface adhesion molecules, inductive signals, secreted factors and signaling molecules actively participate in cell-to-cell communication, making neurons receptive to form synapses (Chen and Ghosh, 2005; Ethell and Pasquale, 2005; Waite et al., 2005). Neurotrophins are potent modulators of neuronal morphology, influencing not only axonal arborization (Cohen-Cory and Fraser, 1995; Singh and Miller, 2005) but also dendritic arbor growth (Horch and Katz, 2002; Lom et al., 2002; McAllister, 2000). Neurotrophins can act as target-derived trophic factors to influence presynaptic neurons, and/or as anterograde factors to influence postsynaptic cells (Baquet et al., 2004; Gonzalez et al., 1999; von Bartheld et al., 2001; Zweifel et al., 2005). Retrograde and anterograde signaling by neurotrophins has also been implicated in the modulation of synapse structure and function (Du and Poo, 2004; Elmiah et al., 2004; Rico et al., 2002; Vicario-Abejon et al., 2002; Zhang and Poo, 2002).

In the visual system, BDNF modulates synaptic connectivity by influencing presynaptic specializations in retinal ganglion cell (RGC) axon arbors. Increasing BDNF levels in the optic tectum of live developing Xenopus tadpoles significantly increases RGC axon arborization and synaptic density within 4 hours of treatment (Alsina et al., 2001). Conversely, decreasing endogenous BDNF levels destabilizes synapses and axon branches in RGC arbors over a similar timescale (Hu et al., 2005). The rapid impact of BDNF on presynaptic specializations and axon arbor morphology suggests that BDNF modulates synaptic connectivity by acting directly on presynaptic RGCs. It is possible that BDNF influences retinotectal connectivity by also influencing tectal neurons, the postsynaptic partners of RGCs. The expression patterns of BDNF and its receptor TrkB also suggest that BDNF specifically regulates synaptogenesis and the maturation of RGC axons at the target and may also influence tectal neurons directly. BDNF is expressed both in the retina and optic tectum during active retinotectal development. TrkB mRNA is expressed by RGCs and is also expressed in the optic tectum, although at significantly lower levels (Cohen-Cory et al., 1996; Cohen-Cory and Fraser, 1994). Therefore, BDNF may act locally within the optic tectum to influence the development of tectal neurons, either directly or as a consequence of its effects on presynaptic RGC axons.
Here, we have used in vivo time-lapse imaging to further explore the mechanisms by which BDNF influences retinotectal synaptic connectivity. Expression of the GFP-tagged postsynaptic density protein PSD-95 together with DsRed2 was used to simultaneously visualize postsynaptic specializations and dendritic arbor morphology in Xenopus tectal neurons in vivo. Altering endogenous BDNF levels in the optic tectum by injection of recombinant BDNF or function-blocking antibodies to BDNF demonstrates that BDNF influences tectal neuron synaptic connectivity over a longer timescale than its effects on RGC axon arborization. Surprisingly, manipulations of BDNF levels did not significantly influence dendritic arbor morphology. Time-lapse imaging also revealed a coordinated, dynamic mechanism of synaptogenesis and arbor growth in tectal neuron dendrites that closely resembles that of RGC axon terminals and that is subject to NMDA receptor activity blockade. These observations, together with the time-course of the response of tectal morphology, are consistent with the hypothesis that the mechanisms by which BDNF influences retinotectal synaptic connectivity are mediated through a mechanism that differentially influences postsynaptic tectal neurons and presynaptic RGCs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Adult Xenopus laevis females were primed with human chorionic gonadotropin (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis MO) to induce egg laying and oocytes were fertilized in vitro. Tadpoles were maintained in modified rearing solution (60 mM NaCl, 0.67 mM KCl, 0.34 mM Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$, 0.83 mM MgSO$_4$, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 40 mg/l gentamycin) with 0.001% phenylthiocarbamid added to prevent melanocyte pigmentation. During imaging and injections, tadpoles were anesthetized with 0.05% tricane methanesulfonate (Finquel, Argent Laboratories, Redmond, WA). All staging was carried out according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1956), and animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of California, Irvine.

PSD95-GFP in vivo expression and dendritic arbor labeling

Xenopus optic tectal neurons were visualized in vivo by methods similar to those previously used for imaging Xenopus RGC axons (Alsina et al., 2001). To visualize post synaptic specializations and tectal neuron morphology simultaneously, brain progenitor cells were co-transfected with expression plasmids containing a chimeric gene encoding GFP and PSD-95 (a gift from Dr D. Bredt, UCSF) and a red fluorescent protein variant (pDsRed2; Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). Stage 20-22 tadpoles were anesthetized and pressure injected into the tectal primordium with 0.1-0.2 nl of 1 mg/ml recombinant human BDNF (200 ng/ml; Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA), BDNF function-blocking antibody (330 mg/ml of purified IgG; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), vehicle solution (50% Niu Twitty) or control non-immune IgG was pressure-injected into the ventricle and subpial space surrounding the optic tectum immediately following the first imaging session. In experiments that established synapse and branch dynamics in tadpoles with and without NMDA receptor activity blockade, 0.1 nl of APV (50 μM in vehicle solution; Tocris Cookson, UK) or vehicle solution alone was injected into the tectum immediately following the first imaging session. Imaging was performed on a Pascal LSM 5 (Zeiss, Germany) or a Nikon PCM2000 (Melville, NY) laser-scanning confocal microscope equipped with Argon and HeNe lasers as described before (Alsina et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2005). Thin (1.0-1.5 μm) overlapping optical sections encompassing the entire dendritic arbor and cell body were collected simultaneously at the two wavelengths, with minimal gain and contrast enhancements, and at below-saturation levels. Images were acquired immediately prior to injections, and then at various intervals up until 48 hours post-injection.

Immunocytochemistry

For GFP and endogenous SNAP-25 co-localization, tadpoles with neurons expressing PSD95-GFP were anesthetized and fixed by immersion in 2% paraformaldehyde and 3.75% acrolein in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (PB); the brains were removed and postfixed with the same fixative for 1 hour. Horizontal free-floating vibratome sections (25 μm) were obtained, preincubated in blocking solution (1.5% goat normal serum, 0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PB), and incubated overnight with mouse anti-GFP (1:100 dilution in 0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PB; Molecular Probes) and rabbit anti-SNAP25 (1:1,000 dilution; Stressgen Biotechnologies, Victoria, Canada) antibodies simultaneously. For endogenous PSD-95 and SNAP-25 co-localization, stage 45 tadpoles were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde; 20 μm cryostat sections were obtained and incubated with anti-PSD-95 (mouse IgG, 1:200 dilution; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, New York) and rabbit anti-SNAP-25 overnight. Tissues were then rinsed and incubated with Alexa 488 anti-mouse and Alexa 568 anti-rabbit antibodies (1:200 dilution each in 0.1 M PB; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). All images were collected with a LSM 5 Pascal confocal microscope using a 63×/1.4 NA oil immersion objective. To determine colocalization of fluorescent labels, optical sections were collected at 0.5 μm intervals through the full extent of the PSD95-GFP positive neuron.

Electron microscopy

For electron microscopy immunostaining, 50 μm horizontal vibratome sections were collected in 0.1 M PB. Sections were incubated in 1% sodium borohydrate, cryoprotected in 25% w/v sucrose and quickly permeabilized in liquid nitrogen. Sections were rinsed, incubated in blocking solution (0.5% BSA in 0.1 M TBS), and incubated overnight with a mouse monoclonal anti-GFP antibody (1:10 dilution in 0.1% BSA in 0.1 M TBS) followed by a secondary goat anti-mouse IgG coupled to 1 nm gold particles (1:50 dilution in 0.5% fish gelatin, 0.8% BSA in 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4; Aurion-EMS, Hatfield, PA). Gold particles were enlarged using a British Biocell silver intensification kit (Ted Pella, Redding, CA) and sections were post-fixed and processed for electron microscopy as described before (Hu et al., 2005). Ultrastructural analysis was performed using a Phillips CM20 transmission electron microscope.

Data analysis

Data analysis was similar to that described by Hu et al. (Hu et al., 2005) for RGC axon arbors. All analysis was performed from raw confocal images without any post-acquisition manipulation or thresholding. Digital three-dimensional reconstructions of DsRed2-labeled dendritic arbors (red only) were extracted from a stack of optical sections covering the entire extent of the arbor with the aid of the MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging, West Chester, PA). Pixel-by-pixel overlaps from individual optical sections obtained at the two wavelengths were analyzed to determine the identity and position of PSD95-GFP puncta along the dendritic arbor. Discrete PSD95-GFP labeled puncta of 0.5-1.0 μm in size were selected for quantification. Total dendritic length (length of total branches), total branch number, the cumulative length of all branches per dendritic arbor was obtained by counting total pixel number from the proximal part of the primary dendrite. For electron microscopy analysis, the dendritic arbor was considered to be single synaptic puncta. During data analysis, care was taken to ensure that similar ratios were maintained for every neuron analyzed throughout the 48-hour observation period. Any continuous, non-punctate GFP fluorescence in the cell body and proximal region of a primary dendrite was excluded from the analysis.

Several parameters were measured to obtain a detailed analysis of PSD95-GFP puncta dynamics at each observation interval: the number of puncta per branch or per unit arbor length, the number of puncta added or eliminated, the number of puncta maintained from one observation to the next, and the location of each PSD95-GFP puncta along the dendritic arbor. Total dendritic arbor length (length of total branches), total branch number, the number of individual branches gained or lost and the number of branches remaining from one observation to the next were measured for the quantitative analysis of dendritic branching. Extensions of more than 5 μm in size were considered as branches. Total arbor length was measured from binarized images of the digitally reconstructed neurons. A relative measure of cumulative length of all branches per dendritic arbor was obtained by counting total pixel number from the proximalmost part of the primary dendrite.
RESULTS

We used in vivo time-lapse confocal microscopy to image synaptic sites in tectal neuron dendritic arbors and to examine mechanisms involved in the establishment of *Xenopus* retinotectal synaptic connectivity. Expression of DsRed2 and the postsynaptic density protein PSD95 tagged with GFP (PSD95-GFP) was used to visualize dendritic arbor morphology and postsynaptic specializations simultaneously in vivo (Fig. 1). PSD-95 associates with postsynaptic receptors and cytoskeletal elements, participates in synapse maturation, and has served as a marker for imaging postsynaptic specializations both in culture and in vivo (Ebihara et al., 2003; Marrs et al., 2001; Niell et al., 2004; Okabe et al., 2001). In *Xenopus* optic tectum, PSD95-GFP had a punctate distribution along individual DsRed2-labeled tectal neuron dendritic arbors (Fig. 1A-C). To confirm that PSD95-GFP was correctly targeted to postsynaptic sites in vivo, we compared PSD95-GFP distribution with that of an endogenous presynaptic protein. Immunostaining for the presynaptic plasma membrane protein SNAP-25 showed that, in the tectal neuropil, endogenous SNAP-25 is distributed in a punctate pattern that is complementary to that of PSD95-GFP punctate labeling (Fig. 1D-G) and of endogenous PSD-95 staining (Fig. 1H-J). Most of the PSD95-GFP puncta co-localized with endogenous SNAP-25 punctate staining (81.25±3.12%, 357 puncta analyzed from five neurons, one PSD95-GFP neuron per tadpole), indicating that PSD95-GFP targets to synaptic sites. Specific localization of PSD95-GFP at synapses was also confirmed ultrastructurally. Immunoelectron microscopy demonstrates that PSD95-GFP predominantly localized to the postsynaptic side of mature synaptic profiles in the tectal neuropil of stage 45 tadpoles (Fig. 2). Morphologically mature synapses with presynaptic terminals containing numerous synaptic vesicles and clearly defined postsynaptic specializations were immunopositive for GFP. In most immunopositive profiles (84.8%, or 28 out of 33 profiles analyzed...
from seven brains, one PSD95-GFP neuron per tadpole brain), the GFP immunoreactivity was localized at or near the postsynaptic density at the synapse (Fig. 2A–C). Therefore, these studies directly demonstrate that PSD95-GFP is recruited to synapses, and validate it as a marker to visualize postsynaptic sites in vivo.

Time-lapse imaging of PSD95-GFP puncta in individual DsRed2-labeled tectal neuron dendritic arbors was used to correlate dendritic arbor morphology with synapse formation and stabilization. Our previous studies show that BDNF significantly increases the number of presynaptic specializations and the complexity of RGC axon arbors in stage 45 Xenopus tadpoles within 4 hours of treatment (Alsina et al., 2001). At this stage, endogenous BDNF levels in the optic tectum are high (Cohen-Cory and Fraser, 1994), and tectal neurons increase their complexity by an active remodeling of their dendritic arbors (Cline, 1998; Cline, 2001; Wu and Cline, 1998). Therefore, stage 45 tadpoles were imaged by confocal microscopy at 0, 4, 24 and 48 hours to determine potential effects of BDNF on tectal neurons. Microinjection of recombinant BDNF into the optic tectum did not alter the growth or morphology of tectal neuron dendritic arbors imaged at any of the observation time points when compared with controls (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4A,B). Similarly, BDNF did not influence dendritic arbor complexity of neurons in young tadpoles (prior to peak BDNF expression) imaged 48 hours post-treatment (see Materials and methods) nor the branching of tectal neurons imaged on a time-course of once every 2 hours (data not shown). By contrast, BDNF treatment significantly increased the number of PSD95-GFP-labeled postsynaptic specializations per individual arbor (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4C,D). Quantitative analysis of individual arbors demonstrates that the total number and density of PSD95-GFP puncta per tectal neuron dendritic arbor were significantly increased 24 hours after BDNF treatment when compared with controls (Fig. 4C,D). The difference in the number and density of PSD95-GFP puncta became more dramatic by 48 hours (Fig. 4C,D).

Our previous studies demonstrate a dual function for BDNF during the formation and stabilization of both synapses and axon branches in Xenopus RGC arbors (Alsina et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2005). Increasing BDNF within the tadpole optic tectum induces new axon branches and presynaptic specializations to be formed while decreasing endogenous BDNF induces the destabilization of both presynaptic sites and axon branches. These observations suggest that limiting amounts of BDNF dictate the extent of axon arbor growth and stabilization. Thus, to determine whether the effects of recombinant BDNF on tectal dendrites reflect the actions of endogenous BDNF, we decreased endogenous BDNF levels by injecting a BDNF function-blocking antibody into the optic tectum. As observed for recombinant BDNF, the anti-BDNF treatment did not alter dendritic branch number at any observation interval (4, 24 or 48 hours; Fig. 3D). Total branch number was similar for tectal neurons in control, BDNF, and anti-BDNF treated tadpoles (Fig. 4A), indicating that dendritic branching was unaffected by alterations in BDNF signaling. However, neutralizing endogenous BDNF did limit the spatial extent of the dendritic arbor. Total dendritic arbor length remained constant in tectal neurons in anti-BDNF treated tadpoles throughout the 48-hour observation period (105.2±9% of time zero, 6; Fig. 4B), while in controls, total dendrite arbor length increased to 153.5±15% of its initial value by 48 hours (P<0.05). Neutralizing endogenous BDNF with anti-BDNF had opposite effects on GFP-labeled postsynaptic specializations to those of recombinant BDNF, significantly decreasing the number of PSD95-GFP puncta in the tectal dendrites (Fig. 3D). The total number of PSD95-GFP puncta per tectal neuron was significantly lower than controls 24 hours after anti-BDNF treatment, an effect that became more pronounced by 48 hours (Fig. 4C). Because neutralization of endogenous BDNF influenced the length of the dendritic arbor and the number of PSD95-GFP puncta, when normalized, the number of postsynaptic specializations per unit arbor length (postsynaptic specialization density; Fig. 4D) did not differ significantly from control, although the two parameters were affected independently. Time-lapse analysis revealed, however, that the decrease in the absolute number of PSD95-GFP puncta in the anti-BDNF-treated tadpoles resulted in a significant decrease in the density of postsynaptic specializations in branches that remained stable over time (Fig. 5). Thus, like treatment with recombinant BDNF, neutralizing endogenous BDNF within the optic tectum affected postsynaptic specialization number in tectal neuron dendritic arbors.

Detailed analysis of the localization and the lifetimes of individual PSD95-GFP puncta per dendritic arbor revealed that the effect of BDNF on synapse density was due to the selective addition of new
postsynaptic specializations rather than stabilization of existing ones (Fig. 6). The BDNF-elicited increase in synapse addition occurred between 4 and 24 hours after treatment (Fig. 6B). Conversely, the anti-BDNF-elicited decrease in synapse number was the result of a reduced amount of newly added postsynaptic specializations. That is, significantly fewer PSD95-GFP puncta were formed by 48 hours following anti-BDNF treatment, a trend that was observed from 4 hours onwards (Fig. 6B). The proportion of PSD95-GFP puncta that remained stable in neurons in BDNF and in anti-BDNF treated tadpoles was similar to that of controls at all observation intervals (Fig. 6A). Together, our results demonstrate that alterations in tectal BDNF levels do not influence tectal neuron dendritic morphology but rather influence synapse density by modulating synapse formation.
Our results show that the effects of altering tectal BDNF levels on dendritic arbor growth and synapse dynamics differ from the effects of similar manipulations on presynaptic RGC axon arbors (Alsina et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2005). It was therefore important to determine whether presynaptic events correlate with changes in postsynaptic tectal neurons and whether other manipulations that affect presynaptic axons would have similar or differential effects on postsynaptic neurons. Time-lapse imaging of tectal neurons every 2 hours for a period of 8 hours (Fig. 7A) was used to correlate dendrite and postsynaptic site dynamics with RGC axon branch dynamics. Time-lapse imaging demonstrates that branch lifetimes of tectal neuron dendritic arbors closely resemble those of presynaptic RGC axon arbors. An average of 70.1±3.9% dendritic branches remained stable and 34.5±5.7% branches were added over each 2-hour period (five neurons; one neuron per tadpole, Fig. 7D). Likewise, 73.3±1.6% axon branches are stable and 32.7±2.8% branches are added on RGC axon arbors similarly imaged every 2 hours (Alsina et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2005).

To relate synapse dynamics to dendrite branch dynamics, we determined the number and distribution of PSD95-GFP puncta in the individual tectal neuron dendritic arbors (see Materials and methods). PSD95-GFP puncta were distributed along proximal and distal dendrites (Fig. 7A, and see also Fig. 1C), with a significant number of branch points containing PSD95-GFP puncta (72.3±2.3%; not shown graphically; see Fig. 7B,C). Time-lapse analysis also revealed that a significant fraction of the PSD95-GFP puncta on the dendritic arbors had lifetimes of more than 2 hours (an average of 76.4±3.3% puncta remained stable from one two-hour observation to the next; Fig. 7E). As dendrites branched, new PSD95-GFP puncta appeared at previously unlabeled locations, presumably indicating new synaptic contacts. An average of 30.8±6.0% PSD95-GFP puncta were added from one 2-hour observation interval to the next (Fig. 7E), resulting in a net increase of postsynaptic specializations over time. Much like branch...
dynamics, the proportion of new and stable postsynaptic specializations on tectal dendritic arbors closely resembled the proportion of new and stable presynaptic specializations on RGC axon arbors, where 69±1.5% of GFP-synaptobrevin labeled presynaptic specializations are stable and 37.1±3.6% of the presynaptic specializations are added every 2 hours (Alsina et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2005). Together, these observations indicate that in the Xenopus retinotectal system, axonal and dendritic branching and pre- and postsynaptic site elaboration are related.

Blockade of the NMDA receptor, a glutamate receptor that mediates the major mode of synaptic transmission at Xenopus retinotectal synapses, has been shown to influence the dynamic behavior of both RGC axon and tectal neuron dendritic arbors in the short term (Rajan and Cline, 1998; Rajan et al., 1999). Our previous work demonstrates that altering NMDA receptor activity in the optic tectum of developing tadpoles significantly influences presynaptic site stabilization in RGC axon arbors (Hu et al., 2005). Together, these observations indicate that in the Xenopus retinotectal system, axonal and dendritic branching and pre- and postsynaptic site elaboration are related.

Blockade of the NMDA receptor, a glutamate receptor that mediates the major mode of synaptic transmission at Xenopus retinotectal synapses, has been shown to influence the dynamic behavior of both RGC axon and tectal neuron dendritic arbors in the short term (Rajan and Cline, 1998; Rajan et al., 1999). Our previous work demonstrates that altering NMDA receptor activity in the optic tectum of developing tadpoles significantly influences presynaptic site stabilization in RGC axon arbors (Hu et al., 2005). The number of GFP-synaptobrevin-labeled presynaptic specializations is significantly reduced to less than 50% of its initial value in RGC axon arbors within 2 hours of blocking NMDA receptors, a treatment that also influences axon arbor morphology by 24 hours (Hu et al., 2005). Analysis of PSD95-GFP puncta and dendrite branch number in tectal neurons of stage 45 tadpoles microinjected with APV shows that the decrease in synapse number in RGC axon arbors is paralleled by a significant decrease in the number of postsynaptic specializations in the dendritic arbors (Fig. 8) (see also Hu et al., 2005). The number of PSD95-GFP-labeled postsynaptic specializations in tectal neurons exposed to APV decreased to less than 50% of controls 2 hours after treatment, a reduction that became more significant by 24 hours (Fig. 8A). Only a delayed effect on dendritic branch number was observed at 24 hours (Fig. 8C), similar to the impact of NMDAR blockade on RGC axon arbor morphology that we previously reported [Fig. 8D, adapted, with permission, from Hu et al. (Hu et al., 2005)]. Consequently, in vivo imaging reveals coincident mechanisms of synaptogenesis, and pre- and postsynaptic arbor growth that are similarly influenced by NMDA receptor activity. These coordinated, activity-dependent changes in synaptic connectivity further underscore the differential action of BDNF on presynaptic RGC axons and postsynaptic tectal neurons.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we used in vivo time-lapse imaging and analysis of PSD95-GFP-labeled postsynaptic specializations in tectal neuron dendritic arbors to explore dynamic mechanisms of retinotectal
connectivity and to examine further the participation of BDNF in this process. One of the earliest events in postsynaptic differentiation is the recruitment of scaffolding proteins, including PSD95 (Friedman et al., 2000; Okabe et al., 2001). Our studies provide direct ultrastructural evidence that PSD95-GFP is transported and is specifically localized to morphologically mature synapses in the Xenopus visual system, therefore validating its use as a dynamic marker to study the development of synaptic connectivity (Ebihara et al., 2003; Marrs et al., 2001; Niell et al., 2004; Okabe et al., 2001).

By using PSD95-GFP to label synapses, our in vivo imaging studies revealed a direct correlation between the dynamic remodeling of synapses and dendritic arbor structure: in Xenopus, as in zebrafish (Niell et al., 2004), the active formation and stabilization of PSD95-GFP labeled synaptic sites is related to the dynamic branching of tectal neuron dendritic arbors. Furthermore, our studies demonstrate that the branching and synaptic complexity of Xenopus tectal neuron dendritic arbors is closely related to the dynamic branching and synaptic complexity of presynaptic RGC axon arbors. Two-hour time lapse imaging of PSD95-GFP labeled postsynaptic specializations and dendrite branch dynamics demonstrates that synapse formation and stabilization in tectal neuron dendritic arbors parallels the behavior of RGC axon arbors imaged at the same developmental stage and at similar time intervals [as shown in Fig. 8. NMDA receptor blockade equally affects pre- and postsynaptic specializations on RGC axon and tectal neuron dendritic arbors. The effects of altering NMDAR transmission in the optic tectum on PSD95-GFP labeled postsynaptic specializations in tectal neuron dendritic arbors is compared with the same treatment on GFP-synaptobrevin-labeled presynaptic specializations on RGC axon arbors (see Hu et al., 2005). PSD95-GFP puncta and dendrite branch number in tadpoles that received a single tectal injection of APV is shown as the percent change from their initial value at the time of treatment. (A) APV significantly decreased the number of PSD95-GFP puncta compared with control 2 hours after treatment, an effect that was maintained for 24 hours. (B) A more dramatic decrease in GFP-synaptobrevin cluster number was observed 2 hours after APV treatment, with a peak cumulative effect occurring after 4 hours [adapted from Hu et al. (Hu et al., 2005)]. (C) Dendritic arbor complexity, expressed as the increase in total branch number per dendritic terminal, is affected by the APV treatment by 24 hours only. (D) Similar to dendrite branch number, the APV treatment had a significant effect on RGC axon branch number by 24 hours only [adapted, with permission, from Hu et al. (Hu et al., 2005)]. Bars indicate mean±s.e.m. For tectal neurons, n=5 in control and n=9 in APV-treated tadpoles. For RGC axons, n=14 in control and n=10 in APV-treated tadpoles. *P<0.05; **P<0.005 compared with control.

and 7 by Alsina et al. (Alsina et al., 2001)). In vivo imaging studies also demonstrate that manipulations that can directly influence synaptic interactions (for example, by blocking retinotectal synaptic transmission with APV) have analogous effects on branch and synapse dynamics in both RGC axons and tectal neuron dendrites. Thus, our results are consistent with the coordinated growth and motility of pre- and postsynaptic components, as observed for neurons establishing synapses in culture systems (Konur and Yuste, 2004; Umeda et al., 2005). It will be of great significance to observe and confirm these coordinated, dynamic events of synaptogenesis and axon and dendritic branching in pairs of RGCs and tectal neurons that contact one another directly in vivo.

The rapid dynamic extension and elimination of dendritic filopodia or short branches is a common process during the growth and remodeling of dendritic arbors (Rajan et al., 1999). It is only through the gradual accumulation of new branches and the lengthening of pre-existing branches that the dendritic arbor grows to attain a more complex morphology (Cline, 2001). In vivo time-lapse imaging in zebrafish previously characterized two populations of postsynaptic specializations in tectal neuron dendritic arbors (Niell et al., 2004): a large population of PSD95-GFP puncta that is highly dynamic, with lifetimes of less than 20 minutes; and a smaller, stable population with lifetimes of hours. Transient puncta with lifetimes of minutes are thought to correspond to nascent synapses that are susceptible to quick elimination, as are the transient filopodia that often bear these transient synapses (Cline, 2001; Niell et al., 2004). Our analysis focused on the long-term dynamic events that affect tectal neuron dendritic morphology and, thus predominantly considered the more stable population of postsynaptic specializations in these neurons.

Manipulations that increased or decreased BDNF levels were used to explore the influence of BDNF on tectal neurons and to help establish a mechanism by which BDNF shapes retinotectal connectivity. Altering endogenous BDNF levels within the Xenopus optic tectum influenced tectal neuron synaptic connectivity but did not significantly reshape dendritic arbor morphology. The effects of BDNF and anti-BDNF on synapse number emerged several hours after treatment, becoming significant only by 24 hours. A BDNF-elicited increase in both the number and density of postsynaptic specializations on tectal neurons paralleled, with a relative time-delay, the previously observed increase in presynaptic specializations on RGC axons (Alsina et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2005). Twenty-four hours after exposure to BDNF, the density of GFP-labeled synaptic specializations on RGC axons paralleled, with a relative time-delay, the previously observed increase in presynaptic specializations on RGC axons (Alsina et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2005). Twenty-four hours after exposure to BDNF, the density of GFP-labeled synaptic specializations increased in both the pre- and postsynaptic arbors, reaching ~55% and 34% greater density, respectively, than in RGC axons and tectal neuron dendrites in control tadpoles. Illustrating the difference in the temporal dynamics of the effects of BDNF on RGCs and tectal neurons, postsynaptic specialization number and density in BDNF-treated tectal neurons continued to increase, requiring 48 hours to attain levels similar to those of presynaptic specializations in RGC axons after 24 hours [276.5±40% increase in PSD95-GFP puncta by 48 hours, Fig. 4C; versus 285±43.5% increase in GFP-synaptobrevin puncta by 24 hours (Alsina et al., 2001)]. Likewise, synapse number was similarly decreased both pre- and postsynaptically when endogenous BDNF was neutralized in vivo; more rapidly in RGC axons than in tectal neuron dendrites (Hu et al., 2005) (present results). Together, these data support the idea that experimentally manipulating BDNF levels can reflect the endogenous actions of BDNF. Moreover, the delayed onset of the response of tectal neurons to alterations in BDNF levels (4 to 24 hours) when compared with the rapid response by RGC axons (2-4 hours) suggests that the effects of BDNF on tectal neuron
synapse number are either direct but delayed, or induced in response to the modulation by BDNF of RGC presynaptic differentiation and axon arbor growth.

Neurotrophins, and in particular BDNF, are potent modulators of dendritic development, differentially influencing multiple neuronal populations. Neurotrophins act positively to promote dendritic branching (Horch and Katz, 2002; McAllister et al., 1995; Wirth et al., 2003) but they can also limit the size of the dendritic arbor of a neuron (Lom et al., 2002; Lom and Cohen-Cory, 1999; McAllister et al., 1997). Neurotrophins can act in anterograde, autocrine or paracrine manners to modulate dendritic growth (Baquet et al., 2004; Horch and Katz, 2002; Wirth et al., 2003). Alterations in dendritic arbor morphology by neurotrophins have therefore been taken to imply direct effects on neuronal connectivity (Cline, 2001). Surprisingly, our studies show that alterations that significantly impact synapse number may not always influence dendritic branching, as arbor morphology was essentially unchanged by manipulations in BDNF levels. The observation that dendritic arbors continued to branch but failed to lengthen as a consequence of decreased tectal BDNF levels suggest that BDNF-elicited expansion and enhanced stability of presynaptic RGC arbors is responsible for the observed increases in tectal neuron synaptic connectivity. Observations that tectal neurons overexpressing a dominant negative form of the TrkB receptor have similar dendritic arbor morphologies and dendritic branching and growth rates to neurons in age-matched control tadpoles (S. Marshak and S.C.C., unpublished) support the interpretation that changes in tectal neuron arbor growth in response to anti-BDNF treatment are secondary to effects on presynaptic RGCs.

Target-derived release of neurotrophins and activation of presynaptic receptors has been considered a key mechanism of neurotrophin signaling (Zweifel et al., 2005). However, work over the last few years has shown that presynaptic neurotrophin release and activation of postsynaptic Trk receptors is also a common mechanism by which neurotrophins can regulate synaptic structure and function. In postsynaptic neurons, TrkB signaling modulates neurotransmitter receptor expression and function, induces depolarization and increases synaptic transmission (Elmariah et al., 2004; Ji et al., 2005; Kafitz et al., 1999; Kovalchuk et al., 2002; Luikart et al., 2005). Our observations that BDNF exerts coordinated, although delayed, effects on synaptogenesis, while it differentially influences axon and dendritic arbor structure, are consistent with BDNF acting presynaptically at retinotectal synapses. BDNF-elicited presynaptic structural modifications are also consistent with physiological evidence that BDNF potentiates retinotectal synapses by enhancing neurotransmitter release rather than by increasing postsynaptic response to RGC electrical stimulation (Du and Poo, 2004). It remains possible, however, that BDNF influences postsynaptic differentiation and/or other aspects of tectal neuron development through a separate mechanism, independent of its action on RGCs.

In summary, our work demonstrates that BDNF differentially influences presynaptic (axonal) and postsynaptic (dendritic) arbor structure in vivo. The observations that coordinated pre- and postsynaptic branching and synaptogenesis occurred under normal conditions or when retinotectal synaptic transmission was altered, but that alterations in BDNF levels elicited only delayed, corresponding changes in synapse innervation density suggest a novel mechanism by which BDNF influences the development of retinotectal connectivity in vivo (see Fig. 9). BDNF, through activation of TrkB receptors on RGCs, may induce the presynaptic RGC axons to spread their reach over a larger territory, thereby increasing the opportunity of the individual axon to come into contact with additional postsynaptic neurons. Simultaneously, BDNF may reinforce the emergent connectivity by stabilizing synapses and increasing synapse number with each and every postsynaptic partner. By modulating synapse number and strength in this manner, postsynaptic structure would remain unaffected, as the individual dendritic arbors would not need to grow to match the axon arbor. By contrast, a decline in TrkB signaling that results from the decreased availability of BDNF would negatively influence synaptic stability, reducing not only axon arbor extent but also synapse number and strength, ultimately influencing postsynaptic arbor shape. Thus, we propose that through its effects on presynaptic axons, BDNF can organize emergent retinotectal synaptic circuitry and modulate synaptic function and strength. By broadening the afferent synaptic input while simultaneously coordinating synapse formation and stabilization between pre- and postsynaptic neurons, BDNF can ultimately shape structural synaptic connectivity in the developing brain.
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