Runx1 and Runx2 cooperate during sternal morphogenesis
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SUMMARY
Chondrocyte differentiation is strictly regulated by various transcription factors, including Runx2 and Runx3; however, the physiological role of Runx1 in chondrocyte differentiation remains unknown. To examine the role of Runx1, we generated mesenchymal-cell-specific and chondrocyte-specific Runx1-deficient mice [Prx1 Runx1f/f mice and a1(II) Runx1f/f mice, respectively] to circumvent the embryonic lethality of Runx1-deficient mice. We then mated these mice with Runx2 mutant mice to obtain mesenchymal-cell-specific or chondrocyte-specific Runx1; Runx2 double-mutant mice [Prx1 DKO mice and a1(II) DKO mice, respectively]. Prx1 Runx1f/f mice displayed a delay in sternal development and Prx1 DKO mice completely lacked a sternum. By contrast, a1(II) Runx1f/f mice and a1(II) DKO mice did not show any abnormal sternum morphogenesis or chondrocyte differentiation. Notably, Runx1, Runx2 and the Prx1-Cre transgene were co-expressed specifically in the sternum, which explains the observation that the abnormalities were limited to the sternum. Histologically, mesenchymal cells condensed normally in the prospective sternum of Prx1 DKO mice; however, commitment to the chondrocyte lineage, which follows mesenchymal condensation, was significantly impaired. In situ hybridization analyses demonstrated that the expression of a1(II) collagen (Col2a1 – Mouse Genome Informatics), Sox5 and Sox6 in the prospective sterna of Prx1 DKO mice was severely attenuated, whereas Sox9 expression was unchanged. Molecular analyses revealed that Runx1 and Runx2 induce the expression of Sox5 and Sox6, which leads to the induction of a1(II) collagen expression via the direct regulation of promoter activity. Collectively, these results show that Runx1 and Runx2 cooperatively regulate sternal morphogenesis and the commitment of mesenchymal cells to become chondrocytes through the induction of Sox5 and Sox6.
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INTRODUCTION
Endochondral bone formation is a key regulatory event affecting several aspects of skeletal development, such as longitudinal growth and the formation of the thoracic cavity, which are essential for movement and respiration in vertebrates (de Crombrugghe et al., 2001; Kronenberg, 2003; Karsenty et al., 2009). A crucial step in the process of endochondral bone formation is chondrocyte differentiation. The importance of this step is evident from the fact that mice lacking Sox9 possess no chondrocytes (Bi et al., 1999; Akiyama et al., 2002). In addition, Sox3 and Sox6, which are expressed after mesenchymal condensations are formed, induce the differentiation of chondroblasts into chondrocytes in combination with Sox9 (Lefebvre et al., 1998; Ikeda et al., 2004). Indeed, Sox5+− mice undergo normal mesenchymal condensation; however, these cells do not further differentiate into chondrocytes (Smith et al., 2001). Interestingly, the expression of Sox5 and Sox6 is abolished in mice lacking Sox9, in contrast to the normal expression of Sox9 in Sox5+−; Sox6+− mice (Smiths et al., 2001; Akiyama et al., 2002). This result indicates that Sox9 is genetically upstream of Sox5 and Sox6; however, the molecular mechanism for the induction of Sox5 and Sox6 remains to be elucidated.

Once cells are committed to the chondrocyte lineage, Runx2, a master regulator of osteoblast differentiation (Stein et al., 2004; Karsenty et al., 2009), induces chondrocyte hypertrophy directly...
through its expression in nonhypertrophic chondrocytes or represses chondrocyte hypertrophy through its expression in the bone collar (Takeda et al., 2001; Hinou et al., 2006). Mice overexpressing Runx2 in chondrocytes show ectopic chondrocyte hypertrophy in places where hypertrophic chondrocytes do not normally exist (Takeda et al., 2001; Sato et al., 2008). By contrast, Runx2+/– mice exhibit abnormal chondrocyte hypertrophy in most skeletal elements except the distal limbs (Komori et al., 1997). Runx3, another member of the Runx family, also regulates chondrocyte hypertrophy in cooperation with Runx2 (Yoshida et al., 2004); however, the role of Runx1 in chondrocyte differentiation in vivo has never been addressed. In this study we addressed the role of Runx1 at various stages of chondrocyte differentiation using tissue- and stage-specific Runx1-deficient mice. Our results demonstrate that Runx1, in cooperation with Runx2, is essential for the commitment of mesenchymal cells to the chondrocyte lineage through the induction of Sox5 and Sox6.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of Runx1 conditional knockout mice

To generate Runx1-floxed mice, targeting vectors harboring loxP sites as well as a floxed neomycin resistance cassette were electroporated into embryonic stem (ES) cells (for details, see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). ES cells containing the floxed allele (after Neo+ removal) were injected into 129Sv/EV blastocysts to generate chimeric mice. Runx1loxP mice were crossed with Prxl (Prxl – Mouse Genome Informatics) Cre or αt(II) Cre mice (Martin and Olson, 2000; Takeda et al., 2001) to generate Prxl Cre Runx1loxP mice or αt(II) Cre Runx1loxP mice, respectively, and their progeny were intercrossed to obtain Prxl Cre Runx1loxP mice or αt(II) Cre Runx1loxP mice. All genotypes were determined using PCR. Runx2+/– mice have been described previously (Otto et al., 1997). We maintained all mice under a 12-hour light:dark cycle with ad libitum access to regular food and water.

All animal experiments were performed with the approval of the Animal Study Committee of the Tokyo Medical and Dental University and conformed to relevant guidelines and laws.

Skeletal analysis, lacZ staining and whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis

For skeletal preparations, mice were dissected, fixed in 95% ethanol and stained with Alcian Blue and Alizarin Red according to standard protocols (McLeod, 1980). At least six mice were analyzed for each genotype. For lacZ staining, skinned and eviscerated animals were fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffer at pH 7.3 and stained overnight with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indol-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal) (Sato et al., 2008). Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis was performed as previously described (Iseki et al., 1999).

Histological and in situ hybridization analysis

Embryos and pups were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS overnight at 4°C and processed for paraffin embedding, and 5 μm sections were cut. The sections were stained with Alcian Blue and Nuclear Fast Red. Peanut agglutinin (PNA) staining was performed as previously described (Delise et al., 2002). Briefly, sections were dewaxed, rehydrated, incubated with biotinylated PNA (Vector Laboratories) at 100 μg/ml and then washed with PBS. Bound PNA was detected using the TSA-Biotin System (Perkin Elmer) and DAB substrate (Vector Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. We analyzed six mice per genotype. We performed in situ hybridization analysis as previously described (Sato et al., 2007). The Sox9, Sox5 and Sox6 probes were obtained from Dr Haruhiko Akiyama (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan). The αt(II) collagen probe was previously described (Takeda et al., 2001). We stained sections hybridized with 35S-labeled riboprobes. Hybridizations were performed overnight at 55°C, and washes were performed at 63°C. We analyzed three mice per genotype.

Cell culture and transfection

HeLa and C3H10T1/2 cells were grown in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% GPS. Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen) or Hyperfect (Qiagen) was used for transient DNA or siRNA transfection, respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Runx1 bioactivity was verified by co-transfection of HeLa cells with a Runx1-responsive-Sox6 promoter luciferase reporter construct, a Renilla luciferase expression plasmid and a Runx1 expression vector. After 48 hours, luciferase activity was measured. The data are presented as the ratio of Firefly luciferase activity to Renilla luciferase activity, and the values are the mean of six independent transfection experiments. We performed all cell culture experiments in triplicate or quadruplicate wells and repeated the experiments at least four times.

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

Real-time PCR analysis was performed using total RNA treated with DNase I. We extracted RNA with Trizol (Invitrogen) and performed reverse transcription to synthesize cDNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Sato et al., 2008). We performed quantitative analysis of gene expression using the Mx3000P Real-Time PCR System (Stratagene). The primer sequences are available upon request. We used Gapdh expression as an internal control.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay and chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

Nuclear extracts of Runx1- or Runx2-expressing COS cells were incubated with a labeled Sox6 probe at 4°C for 40 minutes. For the super-shifting experiments, 1 μg of anti-Runx1 (C-19X) or Runx2 (M-70X) antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was added to the reaction. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Diagenode), using HeLa cells that had been transfected with Runx1-GFP or GFP as a control, untransfected C3H10T1/2 cells and naïve mouse sternal anlagen. We used the following PCR primers; primers spanning the Runx-binding site of the human Sox6 promoter (–385/–389); forward 5’-CCCCCGTTTTTTGACAGTA-3’ and reverse 5’-GAC-TCTCCTGGCGGAAGTCC-3’. Control primers not spanning the Runx-binding site (–1663/–1144); forward 5’-TCCGTGAAGTCCAGTGAG-3’ and reverse 5’-GCGGCCGTTAACAAGAGATAC-3’. Primers spanning the Runx-binding site of the mouse Sox6 promoter (–498/–287): forward 5’-TACAGAGTACACAAAAAGGAAGACT-3’ and reverse 5’-AGAGGCTTAG-AGGAGCAAGG-3’. Control primers not spanning the Runx-binding site (–1546/–1385); forward 5’-TCCCTGCCCTCTCTCCCTT-3’ and reverse 5’-CTCAAAAACCCAAAGGAGCAT-3’. We isolated sternal anlagen as previously described (Zhang et al., 2004) with modifications. Briefly, the ventral rib cage was dissected from embryonic day 12.5 (E12.5) mouse embryos and digested with Dispase (1 U/ml) (Invitrogen) for 10 minutes to remove soft tissue. The remaining sternal anlagen was then manually separated, collected and digested for 20 minutes to obtain single-cell suspensions.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as the mean+s.d. (n=6 or more). Statistical significance was assessed using Student’s t-test. Values were considered statistically significant at P<0.05. The results are representative of at least four individual experiments.

RESULTS

Absence of a sternum in mice lacking Runx1 in undifferentiated mesenchymal progenitor cells

Runx1 is a pivotal transcription factor for the generation of hematopoietic stem cells (Ito, 2004), and Runx1-null mice die around E11.5, which is an insurmountable obstacle in the study of its role in chondrocyte differentiation. Therefore, we generated mesenchymal-cell-specific and chondrocyte-specific Runx1-deficient mice to examine the role of Runx1 during different stages of chondrocyte differentiation. To this end, we first generated Runx1-floxed mice (Runx1ΔII), in which exon 4 of the Runx1 gene was flanked by loxP sites. This region encodes a runt domain, which is indispensable for DNA binding and the interaction with core binding factor beta, an essential partner for functional transcriptional activity (Ito, 2004) (see Fig. S1 in the supplementary
material). We then inactivated Runx1 in undifferentiated mesenchymal progenitor cells using Prx1-Cre transgenic (tg) mice to obtain Prx1-Cre tg/Runx1f/f mice (hereafter, Prx1 Runx1f/f mice) (Logan et al., 2002). The Prx1-Cre transgene is expressed in mesenchymal cells of the limbs, head and sternum (see Fig. 2C and data not shown) (Logan et al., 2002). Prx1 Runx1f/f mice were viable and were born in the expected Mendelian ratio. To study skeletal development, we first performed Alcian Blue/Alizarin Red staining of skeletal preparations. This analysis showed that in contrast to wild-type mice, the xiphoid process was not yet mineralized, i.e. was not stained by Alizarin Red in 91% of the newborn Prx1 Runx1f/f mice (21/23 newborns) (Fig. 1A). There was also a delay in sternal development at the age of 2 weeks (5/5 mice) (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material); however, when the mice were analyzed at 3 weeks of age, this defect was not observed (4/5 mice) (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). No other skeletal elements of the Prx1 Runx1f/f mice showed any abnormalities, and no growth retardation was observed (Fig. 1A and data not shown). Importantly, the columnar structure in the growth plate of the femur of Prx1 Runx1f/f mice was indistinguishable from that of wild-type mice (data not shown). Taken at face value, these results suggest that Runx1 alone, or in combination with other members of the Runx family of transcription factors, may play a transient role during the formation of the sternum. Because Runx2 is also important for chondrocyte differentiation (Takeda et al., 2001), we next determined whether Runx2 was compensating for the loss of Runx1 by breeding Prx1 Runx1f/f mice with Runx2 mutant mice to generate Prx1 Runx1f/f/Runx2–/– mice (hereafter, Prx1 DKO mice). Prx1 DKO mice were non-viable, similar to Runx2–/– mice; therefore, the analysis was made on embryos and newborns. As previously shown, no mineralization was observed in most of the skeletal elements, including the sternum of Runx2–/– mice (Fig. 1A) (Komori et al., 1997). Surprisingly, skeletal preparations of newborn mice showed that all of the Prx1 DKO mice completely lacked sternums (9/9 newborns), and their internal organs were protruded, which is in sharp contrast to the normal sternal fusion seen in Prx1 Runx1f/f mice and Runx2–/– mice (Fig. 1A,B). These results suggest that Runx1, in cooperation with Runx2, regulates the development of the sternum.

Beginning around E12.5, the sternum starts to develop from mesenchymal cells as they form two cartilaginous processes called sternal bars (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material) (Chen, 1952). Subsequently, these sternal bars migrate ventrally and fuse craniocaudally to form the sternum (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material) (Chen, 1952). Histological analysis revealed that wild-type mice, Prx1 Runx1f/f mice and Runx2–/– mice had completed sternal fusion by birth (Fig. 1B). By contrast, there was no sign of sternal bar development in Prx1 DKO mice, even at birth (Fig. 1A,B). Interestingly, in Prx1 Runx1f/f mice and Runx2–/– mice, the sternum is absent (Fig. 1A,B).
mice, sternal bar development was moderately delayed, with the two sternal bars remaining apart at E14.5, whereas the sternums of wild-type embryos at that stage had started to fuse, further indicating the complementary roles of Runx1 and Runx2 (Fig. 1B). In line with this finding, all of the Prx1 Runx1 f/+; Runx2–/– mice (6/6 mice) showed more severe abnormalities than Runx2–/– mice, and removal of one copy of Runx2 from the Prx1 Runx1 f/f mice (i.e. 8/8 Prx1 Runx1 f/f/Runx2+/– mice) exaggerated the abnormality of Prx1 Runx1 f/f mice, even though the development of the sternum was normal in Runx2+/– mice (Fig. 1A,C,D; see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). Taken together, these results demonstrate that Runx1 and Runx2 cooperatively regulate sternal development.

Expression of Runx genes in skeletal elements
The sternum-restricted skeletal abnormalities in Prx1 DKO mice prompted us to analyze the expression of the Runx genes and the Prxl-Cre transgene. As detected in whole-mount in situ hybridization analyses, Runx1 was strongly expressed in the sternal bars at E12.5 and 13.5, and its expression decreased thereafter (Fig. 2A and data not shown). By contrast, little expression was detected in the limbs (Fig. 2A). Runx2 was expressed in the sternal bars, clavicles, ribs and limbs (Fig. 2A). The expression of Runx2 in the sternal bars was also verified using lacZ staining of Runx2+/- mice in which the lacZ allele had been inserted in the genomic Runx2 locus (Fig. 2B) (Otto et al., 1997). By contrast, Runx3 was expressed only in the limbs and not in the sternum at E12.5 and 13.5 (Fig. 2A). To analyze the expression of the Prxl-Cre transgene, Prxl Cre tg mice were crossed with Rosa26 reporter mice (Soriano, 1999). As detected using lacZ staining, expression of the transgene was observed in the sternal bars, ventral rib cage and limbs at E12.5 and thereafter by lacZ staining (Fig. 2C). Thus, the only common region where Runx1 and the Prxl-Cre transgene were co-expressed was the sternum. This pattern of co-expression explains why the abnormalities in the Prxl DKO mice were restricted to the sternum. Interestingly, the distal long bones in Runx2–/– mice remained calcified after the deletion of Runx1 in Prxl DKO mice (Fig. 1A), whereas they disappeared in Runx2+/–/Runx3–/– mice (Yoshida et al., 2004). This result suggests that distinct expression patterns define the specific role of each Runx gene in skeletal development.

Normal cartilage development in mice lacking Runx1 in committed chondrocytes
We next examined the role of Runx1 in cells committed to the chondrocyte lineage after the formation of mesenchymal condensations by analyzing α1(I) collagen-Cre/Runxl f/f mice (hereafter, α1(I) Runxl f/f mice) (Terpstra et al., 2003). At birth and at all other stages analyzed, there were no overt abnormalities in the α1(I) Runxl f/f mice (13/13 α1(I) Runxl f/f mice) (Fig. 3A). The length of the femur was comparable between wild-type and α1(I) Runxl f/f mice (data not shown), and the
columnar structure of the growth plate was indistinguishable (Fig. 3B). Moreover, the sternum was morphologically normal, and there was no sign of delay in mineralization or abnormalities in hypertrophic chondrocyte maturation, as observed by skeletal staining and histological analysis (Fig. 3A and data not shown), even though the \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre transgene was expressed in the sternum (Fig. 2C). This observation is in sharp contrast to the delayed chondrocyte differentiation. Sox9, which is an essential transcription factor for chondrocyte differentiation and is also known as a marker of mesenchymal condensation (Lefebvre et al., 1998; Akiyama et al., 2002), was expressed in the sternal bars of \( \alpha \)-Runx DKO mice at a level comparable to that in wild-type mice, \( \alpha \)-Runx \( \alpha(II) \) and \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre DKO mice. By contrast, the expression of Sox5 and Sox6, Sox9-dependent transcription factors necessary for chondrocyte differentiation (Smits et al., 2001), was significantly reduced in \( \alpha \)-Runx DKO mice (Fig. 4B). Moreover, the expression of \( \alpha(II) \) collagen was reduced to background levels in \( \alpha \)-Runx DKO mice (Fig. 4B). Importantly, TUNEL staining revealed no apoptotic cell death, indicating that increased cell death was not responsible for the abnormal sternal development (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material). This result is in agreement with the poor chondrocyte proliferation without an increase in the cell death of chondroblasts observed in Sox5 \( /- \); Sox6 \( /- \) mice. Collectively, these results show that chondrocyte differentiation in \( \alpha \)-Runx DKO mice is hampered at the condensation stage, possibly as a result of impaired expression of Sox5 and Sox6.

**Sox6 is a molecular target of Runx1 during chondrogenesis**

The decrease in Sox5 and Sox6 expression in \( \alpha \)-Runx DKO mice indicated that Runx1 and Runx2 might regulate the expression of Sox5 and Sox6 in chondrocytes. To address this possibility, we overexpressed \( \alpha \)-Runx1 or \( \alpha \)-Runx2 in the mesenchymal-chondrogenic cell line C3H10T1/2 to determine whether either protein induced the expression of Sox5 or Sox6. Indeed, the expression of Sox5 and Sox6, but not Sox9, was clearly induced by the overexpression of \( \alpha \)-Runx1 or \( \alpha \)-Runx2 (Fig. 5A). Moreover, both proteins upregulated \( \alpha(II) \) collagen expression, i.e. induced chondrogenesis (Fig. 5A). Surprisingly, ectopic expression of \( \alpha \)-Runx1 or \( \alpha \)-Runx2 in non-mesenchymal HeLa cells also induced Sox5 and Sox6 expression (data not shown). Collectively, these results demonstrate that Runx1 and Runx2 have a potent ability to induce chondrocyte differentiation. However, knockdown of Runx1 and Runx2 in mesenchymal-chondrogenic C3H10T1/2 cells significantly decreased Sox5 and Sox6 expression (Fig. 5B; see Fig. S5 in the supplementary material). We searched in silico for potential Runx1-binding sites in the Sox6 promoter and found a consensus binding sequence for runt-related transcription factors (Fig. 5C). Of note, this binding site is conserved across several species (Fig. 5C). To study the role of this binding site in the Runx1- or Runx2-mediated induction of Sox6, we first performed an electrophoretic mobility

**Runx1 is essential for the differentiation of mesenchymal cells into chondrocytes**

Although both the \( Prx1 \)-Cre and the \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre transgenes were expressed in the sternum (Fig. 2C), only \( \alpha \)-Runx1 DKO mice developed a sternal defect, suggesting that Runx1 is important at a stage when

**Fig. 3. Normal chondrocyte differentiation in committed chondrocyte-specific \( \alpha \)-Runx1-deficient mice.** (A) Skeletal preparations of newborn mice. Sections were stained with Alcian Blue and Nuclear Fast Red stain; extracellular cartilage matrix is stained blue. There are no overt differences between wild-type and \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre; \( \alpha \)-Runx1 \( \alpha(II) \) mice or between \( \alpha \)-Runx-/- mice and \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre DKO mice. \( \alpha \)-Runx1 \( \alpha(II) \) mice and \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre DKO mice denote \( \alpha \)-Runx1 \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre; \( \alpha \)-Runx1 \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre; \( \alpha \)-Runx1 \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre; \( \alpha \)-Runx1 \( \alpha(II) \)-Cre, respectively. Scale bars: 500 \( \mu \)m.
Moreover, using untransfected C3H10T1/2 cells and naïve mouse antibody against Runx2 and obtained similar results (Fig. 6A). We next performed ChIP. An antibody against Runx1 successfully immunoprecipitated the region containing the Runx1 binding site, whereas control serum did not, confirming that Runx1 binds to this DNA-protein complex, demonstrating that Runx1 binds to the Sox6 promoter (Fig. 6D). Similar results were obtained using recombinant Runx2 protein and an anti-Runx2 antibody (Fig. 6D).

To address the importance of this site for Sox6 promoter activity, we performed DNA transfection experiments using a 0.5 kb Sox6 promoter construct containing a Runx binding site fused with a luciferase reporter (pSox6-luc) (Ikeda et al., 2007). Runx1 expression induced the luciferase expression 7.1-fold compared with the control, and mutation or deletion of the Runx binding site decreased expression by 50% (Fig. 6B). These results suggest that Runx1 and Runx2 regulate Sox6 promoter activity through this site.

Collectively, these results clearly demonstrate that Sox6 is a bona fide transcriptional target of Runx1 and Runx2.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we demonstrate that Runx1 and Runx2 act cooperatively to promote sternal morphogenesis. We also demonstrate that Runx1 is dispensable for chondrocyte maturation once cells are committed to the chondrocyte lineage. Lastly, we found that Runx1 and Runx2 regulate the transcription of Sox5 and Sox6, leading to normal chondrogenesis and sternal development.

It has previously been reported that Runx1 induces chondrocyte differentiation in vitro (Wang et al., 2005). The physiological role of Runx1 in chondrocyte differentiation in vivo, however, including any temporal or spatial requirements, was not clear. This study presents the first in vivo evidence of the role of Runx1 in sternal development and chondrocyte differentiation and identifies Sox6 as a molecular target of Runx1 and Runx2 in this process (Fig. 6C).

**Molecular dissection of sternal morphogenesis**

The sternum, like the forelimbs, develops from the lateral body wall, whereas the dorsal ribs develop from the axial mesoderm, specifically from somites and perichordal tissue. Indeed, the Prx1 gene, which is well known for its strong expression in the limbs, is also expressed in sternal rudiments but not in the dorsal ribs. Even though sternal malformations are sometimes observed in human genetic disorders and mutant mouse models (Wurst et al., 1994; Storm and Kingsley, 1996; Katagiri et al., 1998; Shalak et al., 2002), the complete absence of the sternal rudiments is not observed with mutated probes or with the addition of unlabeled Runx1, whereas the dorsal ribs develop from the axial mesoderm, specifically from somites and perichordal tissue. Indeed, the Prx1 expression in the prospective sternalum (white box and higher magnification in the right panel) is not altered, whereas Sox5, Sox6 and αI(II) collagen expression in the same area are markedly decreased. Prx1 Runx1f/f mice and Prx1 DKO mice denote Prx1-Cre; Runx1f/f mice and Prx1-Cre; Runx1f/f, Runx2−/− mice, respectively. Scale bars: 500 μm.

![Image of molecular dissection of sternal morphogenesis](image-url)
strongly and almost exclusively expressed in cells of the prospective sternum, suggesting that Runx1 is particularly involved in sternal morphogenesis. Analysis of the mutant mice generated for this study confirms this hypothesis and indicates that Runx1 is important in sternal morphogenesis by promoting chondrocyte differentiation.

Currently, the molecular mechanisms of the sternum-specific expression of Runx1 are unknown.

Genetic hierarchy in chondrocyte lineage commitment

Prx1 Runxl1/Runx2−/− mice have a phenotype resembling that of Prx1 Cre/Sox9−/− mice in several respects but distinct in other ways (Akiyama et al., 2002). Both mutants lack expression of Sox5 and Sox6 and subsequent chondrocyte differentiation; however, Prx1 Cre/Sox9−/− mice lack mesenchymal condensations (Akiyama et al., 2002), whereas Prx1 Runxl1/Runx2−/− mice develop clear mesenchymal condensations (Fig. 4A). Moreover, in Prx1 Cre/Sox9−/− mice, Runx2 expression is abolished (Akiyama et al.,...
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et al., 2001). By contrast, in the current study, the expression of expression is observed in early mesenchymal condensations (Smits and Sox6 was downregulated in Prx1 Runx1f/f/Runx2–/– mice (Fig. 4B). Taken together, these findings suggest that Runx1 and Runx2 are located between Sox9 and Sox5/6 in the genetic cascade, although it is not clear whether Runx1 and Runx2 are direct targets of Sox9. In our hands, the overexpression of Sox9 in HeLa cells or mesenchymal cell lines did not induce Runx1 or Runx2 expression (A.K. and S.T., unpublished observation), suggesting that the effect of Sox9 on Runx1 or Runx2 expression is indirect. Because Sox5 and Sox6 lack an activation domain, it is essential for them to have coregulators that transactivate their target genes (Lefebvre and Smits, 2005). Thus, it is tempting to hypothesize that Runx1 and/or Runx2 may be responsible for the transactivation function of Sox5 and Sox6. Interestingly, whereas Sox5+/−; Sox6+/− mice have a defect in sternal development, Sox5+/−; Sox6+/− mice and Sox5+/−; Sox6−/− mice rather develop accelerated premature hypertrophy of sternal chondrocytes (Smits et al., 2004). Therefore, sternal mesenchymal cells require Sox5 and Sox6 for proper sternal development, but only at a low dose.

Temporal but pivotal role of Runx1 in sternal morphogenesis

In the current analysis, we demonstrate that the removal of both Runx1 and Runx2 early during skeletogenesis caused a complete loss of the sternum, whereas removal of Runx1 and Runx2 after the formation of mesenchymal condensations did not affect the development of the sternum. The fact that Prx1 Runx1f/f/Runx2–/– mice developed an intermediate phenotype between Runx2–/− mice and Prx1 Runx1f/f/Runx2–/− mice and that Prx1 Runx1f/f/Runx2–/− mice also developed an intermediate phenotype between Prx1 Runx1f/f/Runx2–/− mice and Prx1 Runx1+/f/Runx2–/− mice suggests that Runx1 and Runx2 have redundant roles in sternal morphogenesis and chondrocyte differentiation (Fig. 1A-D).

Interestingly, hypoxia induces chondrocyte differentiation and prevents hypertrophy. Moreover, mice deficient in HIF-1α, an indispensable factor in the response to hypoxia, have a defect in chondroblast differentiation (Provot et al., 2007), although mesenchymal condensations develop normally, which is reminiscent of what occurs in Prx1 Runx1f/f/Runx2–/− mice. Moreover, Runx1 is upregulated in hypoxic metatarsal culture, but interestingly, Runx2 expression is downregulated in this same culture (Provot et al., 2007). Thus, these observations suggest a role of Runx1 in hypoxia-induced chondrocyte differentiation.

Runx2 and chondrocyte lineage commitment

Runx2 is expressed in mesenchymal cells and is then turned off in chondroblasts. Because Runx2 is expressed throughout osteoblastic differentiation and is indispensable in the process, Runx2 expression in mesenchymal cells has traditionally been considered to be essential for osteoblastic lineage commitment but not for chondrocyte lineage commitment (Ducy et al., 1997). In this study, however, we clearly demonstrated that removing Runx2 in addition to Runx1 results in the loss of chondrocyte lineage commitment, at least in the sternum (Fig. 1A, Fig. 4). Recently, the loss of β-catenin (Ctnnb1 – Mouse Genome Informatics) in mesenchymal condensations was shown to cause a lineage shift toward the chondrocyte lineage from the osteoblastic lineage (Day et al., 2005; Hill et al., 2005). Interestingly, the loss of β-catenin caused an increase in Runx2 and Sox9 expression (Hill et al., 2005). Taken together, these observations suggest that, at the early mesenchymal condensation stage, Runx2 works as a determinant for osteochondro progenitor cell development and not specifically as a determinant of osteoblast differentiation. It would be interesting to see whether the loss of β-catenin in mesenchymal cells also upregulates Runx1, which would result in the further induction of chondrocyte differentiation.

Compensatory mechanism for the loss of Runx1 and Runx2 in chondrocyte differentiation in the limbs

In the current study, the abnormal chondrocyte lineage commitment in Prx1 Runx1f/f/Runx2–/– mice was restricted to the sternum (Fig. 1A,B), whereas chondrocyte differentiation was normal in the limbs (Fig. 1A, and data not shown). Because chondrocyte lineage commitment was normal throughout the bodies of Runx2–/−; Runx3–/− mice, despite a slight delay in early skeletal limb development, (Yoshida et al., 2004), it is possible that Runx1, Runx2 and Runx3 have redundant roles in limb chondrocyte development. Because Runx1 expression was not observed in mesenchymal condensations in both the sternum and the limbs, however, it is likely that molecule(s) other than Runx proteins compensate for the loss of Runx2 and Runx3 in the skeletal limbs of Runx2–/−; Runx3–/− mice. To date, several transcription factors that regulate the commitment of mesenchymal cells to become chondrocytes have been identified (ten Berge et al., 1998; LeClair et al., 1999; Herbrand et al., 2002). Further elucidation is necessary to identify the factors that compensate for the absence of the Runx proteins.
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