The extracellular matrix molecule tenascin C modulates expression levels and territories of key patterning genes during spinal cord astrocyte specification
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SUMMARY

The generation of astrocytes during the development of the mammalian spinal cord is poorly understood. Here, we demonstrate for the first time that the extracellular matrix glycoprotein tenascin C regulates the expression of key patterning genes during late embryonic spinal cord development, leading to a timely maturation of gliogenic neural precursor cells. We first show that tenascin C is expressed by gliogenic neural precursor cells during late embryonic development. The loss of tenascin C leads to a sustained generation and delayed migration of Fgfr3-expressing immature astrocytes in vivo. Consistent with an increased generation of astroglial cells, we documented an increased number of GFAP-positive astrocytes at later stages. Mechanistically, we could demonstrate an upregulation and domain shift of the patterning genes Nkx6.1 and Nkx2.2 in vivo. In addition, sulfatase 1, a known downstream target of Nkx2.2 in the ventral spinal cord, was also upregulated. Sulfatase 1 regulates growth factor signalling by cleaving sulphate residues from heparan sulphate proteoglycans. Consistent with this function, we observed changes in both FGF2 and EGFR responsiveness of spinal cord neural precursor cells. Taken together, our data implicate Tnc in the regulation of proliferation and lineage progression of astroglial progenitors in specific domains of the developing spinal cord.
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INTRODUCTION

Astrocytes are the most abundant cell type in the adult central nervous system (CNS). Despite their various roles in CNS function and homeostasis (for reviews, see Barres, 2008; Faissner et al., 2010; Haydon, 2001) their ontogenetic development remains poorly understood.

Generally, it is known that the development of the CNS is a sequential process, in which neural precursor cells (NPCs) first generate neurons (neurogenesis) and later generate glial cells, namely oligodendrocytes and astrocytes (gliogenesis) (Temple, 2001). This shift in cell type specification is accompanied by a shift in growth factor responsiveness of NPCs. While NPCs primarily respond to fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) during neurogenesis, they acquire an epidermal growth factor (EGF)-responsiveness at the onset of gliogenesis owing to a sustained FGF2 signalling (Lillien and Raphael, 2000). Thus, EGF responsiveness can be considered to be a hallmark of gliogenesis during CNS development (Temple, 2001). Gliogenesis begins around E12.5 in the mouse spinal cord with the specification of oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) from the former motoneuron progenitor domain (Rowitch et al., 2002). At the same time, fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3)-positive astrocyte precursor cells appear in the other domains of the ventricular zone (VZ) (Pringle et al., 2003). These domains, distinguished by a defined expression of homeodomain transcription factors, give rise to different astrocyte subpopulations in the developing spinal cord (Deneen et al., 2006; Hochstim et al., 2008; Muroyama et al., 2005).

The regulatory mechanisms that underlie the biology of NPCs during development in terms of proliferation and differentiation have been analysed extensively during the past years. Our laboratory has contributed to the understanding of extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules for the regulation of NPC behaviour (Czopka et al., 2010; Czopka et al., 2009; Garwood et al., 2004; Sirko et al., 2009; Sirko et al., 2010; Sirko et al., 2007; von Holst et al., 2007; von Holst et al., 2006). One particular ECM molecule is the glycoprotein tenascin C. (In this article, Tnc refers to the gene or the mRNA and Tnc refers to the protein or to general statements.) During CNS development Tnc is transiently expressed in the brain by radial glia cells (Gottz et al., 1998; Stoykova et al., 1997; von Holst et al., 2007). In the adult CNS, Tnc remains expressed by neurogenic subventricular zone astrocytes, but becomes strongly upregulated upon CNS lesions by reactive astrocytes, and it appears to affect the glial acidic fibrillary protein (GFAP) expression levels (Brodkey et al., 1995; Camand et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2010; Kazanis et al., 2007; Laywell et al., 1992; Robel et al., 2011; Robel et al., 2009; Steindler et al., 1995).

Although much is known about the role of Tnc in astrocyte biology under pathological conditions, its possible influence on astrogliogenesis during CNS development has not yet been analysed. In this study, we show for the first time that Tnc is expressed by a subset of gliogenic precursors in the developing mouse spinal cord. The loss of Tnc results in an increased generation but delayed migration of Fgfr3 expressing immature astrocytes in vivo. Based on a whole genome expression profiling, we also show an upregulation of the homeodomain transcription...
Development 138 (24) factors Nkx6.1, Nkx2.2 and of the Nkx2.2 downstream target sulfatase 1 (Sulf1). In line with this, Tnc-deficient NPCs display alterations in responsiveness towards FGFR2 and EGFR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
Experiments were performed using timed-mated pregnant Tnc knockout mutants (Forsberg et al., 1996) and Pax6-deficient small eye (sey) mutants (Stoykova et al., 1996). The genotype of Tnc mutants was determined as previously described (Talts et al., 1999). For some experiments, wild-type NMRI mice (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, USA) were used. The age of the animals was determined according to the Theiler Stages. The day of the vaginal plug was considered to be embryonic day (E) 0.5.

Neurosphere culture
The lumbosacral spinal cord of the embryo was dissected using small forceps and the surrounding meninges were removed. The tissue was enzymatically digested using 30 U/ml papain ( Worthington, New Jersey, USA) in MEM (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) for about 30-45 minutes, depending on the age of the embryos. The digestion was stopped with ovomucoid, and the tissue was mechanically triturated in order to obtain a single cell suspension. Subsequently, primary NPC culture was performed as previously reported (von Holst et al., 2006). After 6 days, the number of neurospheres was determined by counting all neurospheres in the culture flask. To minimize the formation of non-clonal neurospheres, the cultures were not taken out of the incubator during the culture period (Coles-Takabe et al., 2008). For differentiation assays, single neuropheres or dissociated neurosphere cells were plated on poly- DL- ornithine/ laminin-coated dishes (both 10 μg/ml) and incubated in neurosphere medium containing 1% (v/v) FCS at 37°C and 6% (v/v) CO₂ for a further 4 days.

Immunological reagents
The following primary antibodies were used in this study. The monoclonal antibodies were: anti-3′β III tubulin (1:500; mouse IgG; clone SDL3D10; Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany), anti-Nkx2.2 (1:100; mouse IgG; clone rat-401; Chemicon, Hofheim, Germany), anti-GLAST (1:1000; guinea pig; Chemicon, Hofheim, Germany), anti-EGFR (1:300; rabbit; Santa Cruz, USA) and anti-EGFR (1:300; rabbit; Chemicon, Hofheim, Germany). The polyclonal antibodies were: anti-GLAST (1:1000; guinea pig; Chemicon, Hofheim, Germany) and anti-GFAP (1:150; mouse IgG; clone GA5; Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany), anti-vimentin (1:300; mouse IgM; clone LN-6; Chemicon, Hofheim, Germany) and anti-GFAP (1:150; mouse IgG; clone GA5; Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany). The ploymphilic antibodies were: anti-GLAST (1:1000; guinea pig; Chemicon, Hofheim, Germany), anti-NF15 (1:1000; rabbit; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-GFAP (1:300; rabbit; Dako, Hamburg, Germany), anti-GFAP (1:300; rabbit; Santa Cruz, Hamburg, Germany) and anti-Tnc (1:300; rabbit; batch Kaf14/1) (Faisser and Kruse, 1990).

Immunohistochemistry
Adult (P40) mice were deeply anaesthetised with 7.5 mg/g bodyweight urethane [10% (w/v) in 0.9% (w/v) NaCl-solution] and transcardially perfused with PBS at 4°C followed by 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 4°C. Afterwards, the vertebral column at the lumbar level, including the spinal cord, was dissected, and the tissue was further processed.

Pregnant animals were killed by cervical dislocation and the embryos were removed. The trunks of the embryos were washed in PBS and fixed in PFA at 4°C for 6-24 hours depending on their age. The following day the trunks were transferred to 20% (w/v) DEPC-treated sucrose for 1 day and then embedded using TissueTec Freezing medium (Jung, Nussloch, Germany). The tissue was finally cut into 16 μm cryosections of immersion-fixed embryonic mouse trunks.

Affymetrix GeneChip analysis
Gene expression in E15.5 lumbar spinal cord tissue of Tnc wild-type and Tnc-deficient embryos was analyzed by Affymetrix GeneChip MouseGene1.0 ST in independent triplicates. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The Sulf1 probe was generated by cloning a 1186 bp fragment (nucleotides 2491-3676) into the pCRII-TOPO plasmid. In situ hybridization was performed following a published protocol (Pringle et al., 1996) on 16 μm cryosections of immersion-fixed embryonic mouse trunks.

RT-PCR
Total RNA from tissue samples was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA (1 μg) was reverse transcribed using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas, St Leon-Rot, Germany) and 1 μg cDNA was subjected to PCR analysis using the following primer pairs: Gapdh forward, 5′-CAAGGTGATCCCATGACAATTTG-3′; Gapdh reverse, 5′-GTCCAC-...
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CACCCTGCTGTAG-3' (28 cycles); Nkx2.2 forward, 5'-TAAGAGCCTTTTCTACGACAGC-3'; Nkx2.2 reverse, 5'-CTGACCAAGACCGG-CACT-3' (34 cycles); Sulf1 forward, 5'-GGCTGGTGTGCACGAGAGATAGA-3'; Sulf1 reverse, 5'-GGCTGCTCCACACGGGACAC-3' (32 cycles).

**Documentation and data analysis**

Pictures were taken at the Axioplan2 with the AxioCam HRc camera using the AxioVision 4.4 and 4.5 software (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). For quantitative analysis of immunocytochemical antigen detections, a minimum of 200 Bisbenzimid-positive nuclei were counted in at least three independent experiments per antibody and culture condition.

For in vivo quantification, at least six sections per embryo were analysed. To exclude any differences that were due to different rostrocaudal levels, we used the embryonic kidneys as a landmark. Because these organs are bilateral, this also allowed us to check whether the sections were tilted in the sagittal plane.

To quantify the neurosphere formation from Tnc-deficient cells, the number of all neurospheres in the whole culture flask was counted, and the diameter of single neurospheres was measured unbiased counting. The diameter of single neurospheres was measured using ImageJ v1.41.

The data are expressed as mean±s.d. After checking for Gaussian distribution (mean<2\( \sigma \) unbiased counting. The diameter of single neurospheres was measured using ImageJ v1.41.

The data are expressed as mean±s.d. After checking for Gaussian distribution (mean<2\( \sigma \) unbiased counting. The diameter of single neurospheres was measured using ImageJ v1.41.

The data are expressed as mean±s.d. After checking for Gaussian distribution (mean<2\( \sigma \) unbiased counting. The diameter of single neurospheres was measured using ImageJ v1.41.

The data are expressed as mean±s.d. After checking for Gaussian distribution (mean<2\( \sigma \) unbiased counting. The diameter of single neurospheres was measured using ImageJ v1.41.

**RESULTS**

**Tnc is expressed during gliogenesis in the developing spinal cord**

Tnc is transiently expressed during development of the CNS and becomes re-expressed by reactive astrocytes, e.g. upon spinal cord lesion (Camand et al., 2004). However, there are no precise data available concerning the embryonic Tnc expression within the spinal cord. Therefore, we started analysing the expression pattern of Tnc on both mRNA and protein level in the embryonic lumbar spinal cord. Tnc-expressing cells appeared at E13.5 (Fig. 1A,D) around the central canal and in the ventral white matter. Nevertheless, the overall expression was still very weak. Between E13.5 and E15.5 Tnc became strongly upregulated. Note the strong immunoreactivity as well as the number of Tnc-expressing cells in the ventral spinal cord in comparison with the dorsal part. In addition, the roof plate, which contains several ECM molecules at that age (Snow et al., 1990), lacked any immunoreactivity. Finally, we observed several Tnc-expressing cells at the central midline and some cells appeared to migrate towards the pial surface owing to their radial arrangement (Fig. 1B,E; 1B, inset). At E18.5 Tnc expression was even stronger, especially in the future white matter. By contrast, Tnc-expressing cells were absent from the region around the central canal, which was consistent with the lack of Tnc immunoreactivity in that area (Fig. 1C,F; 1C, inset).

**Tnc is expressed within the astroglial lineage**

Recently, the antigenic profiles of NPCs in the developing rat spinal cord have been described (Barry and McDermott, 2005). Based on this study, we compared Tnc expression with the NPC markers nestin, glutamate/aspartate transporter (GLAST) and vimentin, and with the astrocyte marker GFAP. Immunocytochemical analysis of acutely dissociated spinal cord cells for Tnc and co-labelling for these markers revealed that Tnc was expressed by NPCs and GFAP-positive astrocytes in the developing spinal cord (Fig. 1G-J). The quantitative data are summarized in Table 1. The relative number of Tnc-expressing cells increased approximately eightfold from E13.5 to E18.5. During this time, the nestin-positive population declined, whereas the GLAST-positive and the vimentin-positive population either increased or remained constant, respectively. Furthermore, GFAP-positive astrocytes appeared between E15.5 and E18.5 (Table 1). The quantification of double immunoreactive cells showed that the numbers of Tnc/nestin-, Tnc/GLAST- and Tnc/vimentin-positive...
cells were low at E13.5 but increased during the next days to the same extent. At E18.5, the amount of Tnc/nestin-positive cells decreased again, whereas the Tnc/GLAST-positive population and the Tnc/vimentin-positive population did not notably change between E15.5 and E18.5 (Table 1). To describe further the maturation state of Tnc-expressing cells, we additionally determined the fraction of Tnc-expressing cells among the NPC or astrocyte populations and vice versa. We found that the Tnc-positive population gradually lost the nestin and vimentin immunoreactivity. By contrast, GLAST immunoreactivity could be observed on nearly every Tnc-positive cell also at E18.5. At that stage, approximately two-thirds of the Tnc expressing cells co-expressed GFAP, indicating that the Tnc-positive population still contained several precursor cells or immature astrocytes (Table 1).

We next asked whether Tnc might be expressed within particular progenitor domains of the ventricular zone. To address this issue, we first immunohistochemically compared the Tnc expression at progenitor domains of the ventricular zone. To address this issue, we first immunohistochemically compared the Tnc expression at progenitor domains of the ventricular zone. To address this issue, we first immunohistochemically compared the Tnc expression at progenitor domains of the ventricular zone. To address this issue, we first immunohistochemically compared the Tnc expression at progenitor domains of the ventricular zone. To address this issue, we first immunohistochemically compared the Tnc expression at progenitor domains of the ventricular zone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>E13.5</th>
<th>E15.5</th>
<th>E18.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tnc</td>
<td>2.3±0.8%</td>
<td>9.8±3.2%</td>
<td>15.8±4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nestin</td>
<td>26.5±4.9%</td>
<td>17.9±3.1%</td>
<td>7.7±2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nestin and Tnc</td>
<td>2.3±0.8%</td>
<td>7.4±1.4%</td>
<td>3.9±1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnc and Nestin/Nestin</td>
<td>100.0±0.0%</td>
<td>92.1±15.8%</td>
<td>27.3±8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnc and Nestin/Nestin</td>
<td>10.3±4.3%</td>
<td>41.4±14.9%</td>
<td>53.4±11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLAST</td>
<td>9.1±2.4%</td>
<td>13.6±1.9%</td>
<td>32.3±2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLAST&amp;Tnc</td>
<td>2.9±1.0%</td>
<td>7.2±1.3%</td>
<td>11.5±2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnc and GLAST/Tnc</td>
<td>100.0±0.0%</td>
<td>98.1±3.9%</td>
<td>94.2±7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnc and GLAST/GLAST</td>
<td>33.0±13.1%</td>
<td>53.4±11.9%</td>
<td>35.6±4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin</td>
<td>28.5±9.5%</td>
<td>22.9±6.0%</td>
<td>25.7±4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin and Tnc</td>
<td>2.8±1.0%</td>
<td>8.1±2.1%</td>
<td>7.4±0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnc and Vimentin/Tnc</td>
<td>100.0±0.0%</td>
<td>97.4±4.4%</td>
<td>66.9±16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnc and Vimentin/Nestin</td>
<td>11.2±6.6%</td>
<td>35.6±6.1%</td>
<td>29.5±7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>20.0±6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP and Tnc</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.3±2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnc and GFAP/Tnc</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>66.8±4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnc and GFAP/GFAP</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>100.0±0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean±s.d.

Tnc expression correlates with EGF-responsiveness and gliogenic differentiation in the embryonic spinal cord

The expression of Tnc by NPCs prompted us to characterize lumbar spinal cord NPCs grown as neurospheres at various embryonic ages with respect to growth factor responsiveness and...
differentiation capacity. We valued the number of primary neurospheres as a relative measure of the overall growth factor responsiveness of the original tissue. The numbers are summarised in supplementary material Table S1. See supplementary material Fig. S1A for examples of neurospheres grown in the presence of either EGF or FGF2 for 6 days. During the neurogenic period from E11.5 to E13.5, the spinal cord primarily consisted of FGF2-responsive NPCs. Towards the end of neurogenesis, an EGF-responsive population emerged (supplementary material Fig. S1B). Both populations dramatically increased from E13.5 to E15.5 (supplementary material Fig. S1B). This is consistent with a previous study, demonstrating a stage-dependent increase in EGF-responsiveness in the developing cervical spinal cord (Represa et al., 2001). Interestingly, this increase coincided with the upregulation of Tnc during this period. Owing to the high Tnc expression, particularly in the ventral spinal cord at E15.5, we further dissected the spinal cord into a ventral and a dorsal part and analysed the neurosphere formation capacity from both parts separately (supplementary material Fig. S1C). Additionally, immunocytochemical analysis confirmed the higher number of Tnc-positive cells in the ventral spinal cord (supplementary material Fig. S1D). Furthermore, the ventral cell population gave rise to significantly more neurospheres than the dorsal one under both growth factor conditions (supplementary material Fig. S1E). At E18.5, the overall number of neurosphere-forming cells decreased again (supplementary material Fig. S1B).

Next, we examined the differentiation potential of single neurospheres, as well as of dissociated neurosphere cells grown from E15.5 spinal cord cells in the presence of either EGF or FGF2. Under both growth factor conditions, about 70% of the neurospheres generated a majority of astroglial cells, whereas 30% were multipotent, as assessed by the immunocytochemical detection of GFAP, the oligodendrocyte marker O4, and the neuronal marker βIII-Tubulin. Among the neurospheres composed primarily of astrocytes, O4-positive oligodendrocytes were occasionally visible. Some contained in addition a minority of neurons (supplementary material Fig. S2A-C). However, we judged that this was not sufficient to classify the latter as truly multipotent. In parallel, we determined the differentiation capacity of dissociated neurosphere cells. The immunocytochemical analysis revealed that about 50% of the primary neurosphere cells after 4 days in culture still expressed nestin and only 10% expressed GFAP, indicative of an immature phenotype. However, after several passages (up to seven) the larger fraction of cells exhibited a marked astroglial phenotype independently of the growth factor (supplementary material Fig. S2D,E). Taken together, these data imply that the Tnc-expressing cells potentially constitute a subset of EGF-responsive gliogenic NPCs that emerges during early gliogenesis.

The loss of Tnc results in an increased proliferation of NPCs in vivo

The expression of Tnc by gliogenic NPCs prompted us to examine whether the loss of Tnc leads to alterations in proliferation rates in vivo. Therefore, we injected BrdU into time-pregnant mice at E14.5 and quantified the number of BrdU-positive cells after 24 hours in the spinal cord of wild-type (Fig. 3A) and Tnc-deficient (Fig. 3B) littermates. The loss of Tnc resulted in a small but significant increase in BrdU incorporation (wild type, 103±12.5; knockout, 121.3±8.3; m; P = 0.039) (Fig. 3J). A closer inspection of the distribution of BrdU-positive cells within the spinal cord revealed that the number of BrdU-positive cells directly lining the central canal increased by BrdU incorporation in the region that exhibited a marked astroglial phenotype independently of the growth factor (supplementary material Fig. S2D,E). Taken together, these data imply that the Tnc-expressing cells potentially constitute a subset of EGF-responsive gliogenic NPCs that emerges during early gliogenesis.
central canal was not changed (wild type, 31.9±4.6; knockout, 30.3±4.8; n=5) (Fig. 3K). However, there were significantly more BrdU-positive cells in the ventral spinal cord, including the region adjacent to the ventricular zone of Tnc-deficient animals in comparison with their wild-type littermates (wild type, 44.8±5.8; knockout, 60.7±3.0; n=5; P=0.001) (Fig. 3C,D,K). By contrast, the number of BrdU-positive cells in the dorsal spinal cord was not affected (wild type, 26.9±7.3; knockout, 26.1±2.3; n=5) (Fig. 3K).

To further support the idea that the loss of Tnc leads to an increased proliferation rate during early gliogenesis in the spinal cord, we examined the Pax6 sryt mutant mouse, the radial glia cells of which exhibit a reduced Tnc expression level during early gliogenesis in the spinal cord (Sakurai and Osumi, 2008). Here, we demonstrate that mutated Pax6 also led to a reduced Tnc expression level in the developing spinal cord at E18.5. This was consistent with the increased BrdU incorporation rate during early gliogenesis in two independent mouse strains that lack Tnc in the developing spinal cord.

**Tnc-deficiency leads to a sustained proliferation and delayed migration of immature astrocytes**

To determine the fate of the newborn cells between E14.5 and E15.5, we combined in situ hybridisation for the immature astrocyte marker Fgfr3 with the immunohistochemical detection of BrdU. We found that most if not all of the BrdU-positive cells around the central canal of the ventral spinal cord co-expressed the early astrocyte marker Fgfr3, suggesting a sustained generation of immature astrocytes in the absence of Tnc (Fig. 4A-C). Next, we took a closer look at the overall expression pattern of the Fgfr3. Although in the wild-type spinal cord, a substantial number of Fgfr3-expressing cells resided in the prospective ventral white matter, this population was significantly smaller in the absence of Tnc [wild type, 15.7±1.6; knockout, 6.3±0.3; P=0.0006 (n=3)] (Fig. 4D-F). By contrast, we did not find any differences in the number or distribution of platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (Pdgfra)-expressing oligodendrocyte precursor cells [wild type, 172.5±23.3; knockout, 161.5±4.9 (n=2)] (Fig. 4G-I). We also did not observe any changes in the number of Sox2- or nestin-positive NPCs (supplementary material Fig. S3A,B) or of βIII-tubulin-positive neurons (data not shown). However, there seemed to be more NF105-positive cells in the mutant spinal cord. But this difference was not significant (supplementary material Fig. S3A,B). Based on these results, we conclude that the loss of Tnc function not only leads to an increased generation but also to a delayed migration of immature astrocytes towards the pial membrane.

**Tnc-deficiency affects the number of GFAP-positive astrocytes at the end of embryogenesis**

Our data so far indicated that the early astrocyte lineage progression was delayed in the absence of Tnc. Therefore, we asked whether the loss of Tnc also leads to expression changes of the more mature astrocyte marker GFAP. First, we analysed the GFAP expression in the wild-type and Tnc-deficient spinal cord immunohistochemically at E18.5. Strikingly, we observed significantly more GFAP-positive cell processes in the ventral white matter in the Tnc-deficient in comparison with the wild-type spinal cord [wild type, 34.5±1.3 (n=3); knockout, 40.4±1.4 (n=2); P=0.017] (Fig. 5A-D), reflecting the increased generation of immature astrocytes earlier in development. This difference was, however, no longer visible within the young adult (P40) spinal cord (Fig. 5E,F). Next, we determined the number of GFAP-positive cells in Tnc-deficient and heterozygous littermates at E18.5. Consistent with the increased number of GFAP-positive cell processes, we observed a significantly increased number of GFAP-positive cells in the absence of Tnc [heterozygous, 6.1±0.3% (n=3); knockout, 10.8±0.6% (n=5); P<0.001] (Fig. 5G-I). Based on these
data, we assume that the increased generation of immature astrocytes initially leads to an increased number of GFAP-positive cells in vivo.

**Global gene expression changes in the absence of Tnc in vivo**

In order to gain insight into potential mechanisms underlying the observed phenotypes in vivo, we performed a microarray analysis of E15.5 wild-type and Tnc-deficient spinal cords. Using the criteria mentioned in the Materials and methods, we initially found several known astrocyte enriched genes (Cahoy et al., 2008) (supplementary material Fig. S4A). Interestingly, we also observed an upregulation of sulfatase 1 (Sulf1) and sulfatase modifying factor 1 (Sumf1) (supplementary material Fig. S4B). Both genes directly intervene in FGF signalling (Buono et al., 2010; Otsuki et al., 2010). Thus, we hypothesized that the increased Sulf1 expression in the Tnc-deficient spinal cord may lead to alterations in growth factor responsiveness. To test this interpretation, we again performed neurosphere formation assays. After 1 week, we observed a significantly reduced EGF-dependent neurosphere formation from E15.5 Tnc-deficient spinal cord cells [wild type FGF2, 280.5±76.3 (n=6); knockout FGF2, 250.3±68.3 (n=6); P=0.035] (Fig. 7A). In line with these data, immunohistochemical detection of the EGFR at E18.5 showed less EGFR immunoreactivity in the Tnc-deficient spinal cord (Fig. 7B). This difference was, however, not longer detectable at E18.5 [wild type EGF, 50.0±20.4 (n=4); knockout EGF, 48.3±9.0; P=0.56] (Fig. 7A). However, neurospheres grown from Tnc-deficient cells in the presence of FGF2 exhibited a significantly larger diameter in comparison with wild-type cells (FGF2 wild type, 75.0±16.7 (n=6); knockout FGF2, 88.2±23.1 (n=6); P=0.39) (Fig. 7A).

The observed impact of Tnc on EGF responsiveness raised the issue of whether it would be possible to drive an EGF-dependent neurosphere formation by adding affinity-purified Tnc. To

**Tnc-deficiency leads to alterations in growth factor responsiveness**

*Sulf1* is a downstream target of Nkx2.2 (Genethliou et al., 2009) and is expressed in the developing ventral spinal cord during the neuron-to-glia switch (Ratzka et al., 2010). Furthermore, *Sulf1* influences both FGF and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signalling (Lamanna et al., 2008; Otsuki et al., 2010). The expression of *Sulf1* and its downstream target *Pax6* was downregulated in the absence of Tnc (Fig. 6C,E). By contrast, the expression of Pax6 was not changed (Fig. 6G).
investigate this, we cultivated E13.5 wild-type spinal cord cells in
the presence of either EGF (5 ng/ml) or FGF2 (5 ng/ml) and added
either purified Tnc (5 µg/ml) or PBS as control (Fig. 7C). We
found that the addition of Tnc significantly affected the number of
forming neurospheres under both growth factor conditions, leading
to either more neurospheres in the presence of EGF [PBS
39.0±17.5; Tnc 51.0±19.9; *P=0.033 (n=4)] or to fewer
neurospheres in the presence of FGF2 [PBS, 107.5±12.9; Tnc,
79.3±15.3; *P<0.001 (n=4)] (Fig. 7D). Based on these neurosphere
data, we conclude that Tnc regulates growth factor responsiveness
during spinal cord development.

DISCUSSION

Tnc becomes upregulated upon spinal cord lesion by reactive
astrocytes and influences the regenerative capacity of the damaged
tissue (Camand et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2010; Dobbertin et al.,
2010). However, the functions of Tnc during spinal cord
development so far remained elusive. Here, we could show that
Tnc is expressed within the astroglial lineage during late embryonic
spinal cord development. We provide evidence for an enhanced
proliferation and delayed migration of presumptive immature
astrocytes towards the prospective ventral white matter in the Tnc-
deficient spinal cord. We also documented an increased number of
GFAP-positive cells in vivo at the end of embryonic development.
The loss of Tnc leads to an upregulation of Nkx6.1, Nkx2.2 and its
downstream target Sulf1. Sulf1 interferes with both sonic hedgehog
(Shh), and FGF-signalling owing to its ability to cleave sulphate
residues from heparan sulphate proteoglycans (Danesin et al., 2006;
Lamanna et al., 2008). Along these lines, we observed alterations
in the FGF2 and EGF responsiveness of Tnc-deficient spinal cord
NPCs.

It is known that there are at least three different astrocyte
subpopulations in the embryonic mouse spinal cord defined by the
combinatorial expression of the homeodomain transcription factors
Pax6 and Nkx6.1 within the VZ progenitor domains (VA1-VA3) and in the ventral white matter (Hochstim et al., 2008). We believe that the secreted ECM molecule Tnc is involved in establishing and/or maintaining these domains during early gliogenesis. Tnc is specifically expressed in the VA1 and VA2 domains. Both domains contain Pax6-positive cells, whereas the latter also contains Nkx6.1-positive cells. By contrast, the VA3 domain harbours Nkx6.1-, Nkx2.2- and Sulf1-expressing cells (Fig. 8A). In the absence of Tnc, the VA2 domain expands dorsally (Fig. 8B). The cross-repressive interactions of Pax6 and Nkx2.2 (Briscoe et al., 1999; Ericson et al., 1997) may be the reason why the VZ of the VA2 domain does not express Nkx2.2 in the absence of Tnc. Instead some ectopic Nkx2.2-positive cells appear in the marginal zone. Because Sulf1 is a known downstream target of Nkx2.2 (Genethliou et al., 2009), it is plausible that Sulf1 also becomes upregulated. However, this upregulation is confined only to the VA3 domain. Interestingly, both Nkx2.2 and Sulf1 expand dorsally in the Pax6 sey mutant spinal cord (Genethliou et al., 2009; Sugimori et al., 2007), where we found a reduced Tnc expression. The domain shift is most probably due to modified Shh signalling. Interestingly, we found that, as well as Sulf1, the glycoprotein vitronectin is also upregulated. Both molecules promote Shh signalling in the developing ventral spinal cord (Danesin et al., 2006; Martinez-Morales et al., 1997).

Sulf1 also negatively regulates FGF signalling (Lamanna et al., 2008; Otsuki et al., 2010). Low FGF-signalling levels during early forebrain development lead to proliferation of NPCs, whereas high levels lead to an upregulation of the EGFR and consequently to the sustained generation of immature astrocytes. Moreover, the microarray analysis revealed a moderate upregulation of the Fgfr3 gene in the Tnc-deficient spinal cord (Sakurai and Osumi, 2008), which exhibits a reduced Tnc expression level.

According to the model that low FGF-signalling levels during early development foster proliferation, the loss of Tnc should result in a sustained proliferation of NPCs at the onset of gliogenesis. Indeed, we observed an increased BrdU incorporation in the Tnc-deficient spinal cord. Likewise, Tnc-deficient neurospheres grown in the presence of FGF2 were significantly larger than wild-type neurospheres, and we were able to suppress the FGF2-dependent neurosphere formation by adding purified Tnc to E13.5 spinal cord cells. Finally, we could also confirm an increased BrdU incorporation in the sey mutant spinal cord (Sakurai and Osumi, 2008), which exhibits a reduced Tnc expression level.

Among the additional BrdU-positive cells in the Tnc-deficient spinal cord, the great majority expressed Fgfr3, suggesting a sustained generation of immature astrocytes. Moreover, the microarray analysis revealed a moderate upregulation of the Fgfr3 gene as well as of the known astrocyte specific genes Slc14a1, Aqp4, Slc25a18 and Maob (Cahoy et al., 2008; Nagelhus et al., 2004). Interestingly, even Olig2 is expressed in the astroglial lineage and regulates white-matter astrocyte development (Cai et al., 2007; Masahira et al., 2006). Thus, Tnc appears to induce a quiescent state during embryonic spinal cord development.

Fig. 8. Model for the role of Tnc during embryonic spinal cord development. (A) Concerning the transcription factors Pax6 and Nkx6.1, three separate progenitor cell domains (VA1-VA3) exist at early gliogenesis in the ventral wild-type spinal cord: a dorsal Pax6-positive domain (VA1) (blue), a more ventral Pax6-/Nkx2.2-positive domain (VA2) (overlap between blue and red) and an even more ventral Nkx6.1-positive domain (VA3) (red). Tnc is expressed in the VA1 and VA2 domains. By contrast, Nkx2.2 and Sulf1 are expressed in the VA3 domain. (B) In the absence of Tnc, the VA2 domain expands dorsally into the VA1 domain. Moreover, several Nkx2.2-positive cells appear around the VA2 domain, and the expression of Sulf1 within the VA3 domain increases, potentially leading to alterations in FGF responsiveness. (C) Changes in FGF responsiveness at the onset of gliogenesis affect the transition of FGF-responsive early precursor cells into late FGF/EGF-responsive precursor cells. It is believed that this transition takes place as soon as FGF signalling reaches a threshold (broken line). Although the former cell population undergoes a FGF-dependent proliferation, the latter population most probably undergoes an EGF-dependent migration. Based on our data, Tnc positively regulates FGF responsiveness and thus the above-mentioned transition. The loss of Tnc, therefore, leads to a sustained proliferation and delayed migration.
state of spinal cord astrogial cells. We propose that the increased BrdU incorporation at early gliogenesis is due to a prolonged FGF-dependent proliferation of Fgfr3-expressing cells, most likely being immature astrocytes. However, it is hard to define that cell type exactly, as the majority of markers (e.g. NF1α, NF1β, Fgfr3, glutamine synthethase and S100β), which are usually used to identify early astrogial cells, are expressed by astroglial progenitor cells in the VZ and by immature astrocytes migrating into the MZ (Deneen et al., 2006; Sugimori et al., 2007; Young et al., 2010). Yet, consistent with our interpretation, Tnc suppresses the proliferation of adult cultured human astrocytes (Holley et al., 2005). Moreover, we documented an increased number of GFAP-positive cells and cell processes in the ventral white matter at E18.5. The latter was no longer observable in the adult spinal cord. However, Tnc-dependent GFAP expression level changes under pathological conditions have already been reported (Kazanis et al., 2007; Steindler et al., 1995). Therefore, it is conceivable that the absence of Tnc might also affect proliferation and migration of at least some reactive astrocytes under these conditions. As reactive astrocytes are currently considered to display stem cell characteristics (Robel et al., 2011), investigating the regulatory functions of Tnc on these cells would be of great interest. Nevertheless, whether the total number of astrocytes in the adult spinal cord is altered remains to be investigated. In this context, it is noteworthy that both the cortex (Irintchev et al., 2005) and the hippocampus (Gurevius et al., 2009) of adult Tnc-deficient animals contain more S100β-positive astrocytes in comparison with wild-type littersmates. These phenotypes are in accordance with an increased generation of astrocytes during development.

Based on the model of an early FGF-responsive and a late FGF/EGF-responsive NPC (Lillien and Raphael, 2000), we propose that also in the embryonic spinal cord early NPCs undergo an FGF-dependent proliferation. As soon as FGF signalling reaches a certain threshold, this early NPC switches into a late FGF/EGF-responsive NPC. At that stage, NPCs stop proliferating and start migrating away from the VZ towards the prospective ventral white matter in an FGF-dependent manner (Fig. 8C). We believe that Tnc and other ECM molecules promote the timely transition from an early into a late NPC. Along these lines, we recently showed that interference with glycosaminoglycan biology strongly affects cortical NPC maturation (Sirkö et al., 2010; Sirkö et al., 2007). In the spinal cord, the loss of Tnc leads to a sustained FGF-dependent proliferation and delayed EGF-dependent migration of immature astrocytes caused by reduced FGF signalling levels, most probably resulting from an upregulation of 
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