Robo2 determines subtype-specific axonal projections of trigeminal sensory neurons
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SUMMARY
How neurons connect to form functional circuits is central to the understanding of the development and function of the nervous system. In the somatosensory system, perception of sensory stimuli to the head requires specific connections between trigeminal sensory neurons and their many target areas in the central nervous system. Different trigeminal subtypes have specialized functions and downstream circuits, but it has remained unclear how subtype-specific axonal projection patterns are formed. Using zebrafish as a model system, we followed the development of two trigeminal sensory neuron subtypes: one that expresses trpa1b, a nociceptive channel important for sensing environmental chemicals; and a distinct subtype labeled by an islet1 reporter (Isl1SS). We found that Trpa1b and Isl1SS neurons have overall similar axon trajectories but different branching morphologies and distributions of presynaptic sites. Compared with Trpa1b neurons, Isl1SS neurons display reduced branch growth and synaptogenesis at the hindbrain-spinal cord junction. The subtype-specific morphogenesis of Isl1SS neurons depends on the guidance receptor Robo2. rob2 is preferentially expressed in the Isl1SS subset and inhibits branch growth and synaptogenesis. In the absence of Robo2, Isl1SS afferents acquire many of the characteristics of Trpa1b afferents. These results reveal that subtype-specific activity of Robo2 regulates subcircuit morphogenesis in the trigeminal sensory system.
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INTRODUCTION
The remarkable diversity and specific connectivity of sensory neurons are crucial for the ability to sense and distinguish environmental stimuli (Kay et al., 2011; Luo and Flanagan, 2007; Marmigere and Ernfors, 2007; Mombaerts et al., 1996). In the somatosensory system, chemical, mechanical and thermal stimuli to the head are sensed by different trigeminal sensory neuron subtypes that have varied morphologies and distinct axonal connections to second-order neurons (Brodal, 2010; Erzurumlu et al., 2010; Todd, 2010). Trigeminal sensory neuron subtypes can be characterized by several molecular criteria, such as the expression of high-affinity neurotrophin receptors (trkA, trkB and trkC; also known as ntrk1, ntrk2 and ntrk3, respectively), transcription factors, neuropeptides, ion channels and G protein-coupled receptors (Bashaun et al., 2009; Liu and Ma, 2011; Woolf and Ma, 2007). Examples include transient receptor potential (TRP) ion channels that confer sensitivity to temperature and chemicals (TRPV1 for heat and TRPA1 for noxious chemicals), and P2X class ion channels that detect ATP and modulate pain sensation (Caterina et al., 1997; Chen et al., 1995; Story et al., 2003). These markers are conserved in vertebrates and allow specific labeling of genetically defined sensory subtypes, enabling the study of specific sensory subcircuits (Caron et al., 2008; Cavanaugh et al., 2011; Dhaka et al., 2008; Kucenas et al., 2006; Takashima et al., 2007; Zylka et al., 2005).

Afferent morphologies of different trigeminal subtypes share several features. Each trigeminal sensory neuron subtype extends a single axon shaft along the lateral white matter of the hindbrain and spinal cord. Numerous medially projecting branches are later formed and innervate a series of target nuclei along the anteroposterior axis of the hindbrain and spinal cord (Erzurumlu et al., 2006; Jacquin et al., 1986). The anteriorly located principal sensory nucleus (PrV) is the main relay station for mechanical stimuli, whereas the posteriorly located spinal trigeminal nucleus (SpV) and cervical spinal dorsal horn are important for sensing noxious and thermal stimuli (Brodal, 2010; Noma et al., 2008). Functional specificity is determined by the spatial pattern of branch termination, which differs greatly between subtypes (Erzurumlu et al., 2010; Marmigere and Ernfors, 2007). For example, axon terminals that express TRPA1 and P2x3 (also known as P2xr3) are sparse in PrV and dense in SpV (Kim et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010). Despite the importance of accurate subcircuit formation for the proper transmission of sensory information, it has remained unclear how different trigeminal sensory neuron subtypes select specific targets along the anterior-posterior axis.

One possible mechanism for selective anterior-posterior targeting is through regulation of branch growth and synaptogenesis by Robo/Slit signaling. Robo proteins are cell surface receptors that bind to the secreted ligand Slit. Signaling via Robo receptor activation plays diverse roles in shaping the developing nervous system, including axon targeting, synaptogenesis and cell migration (Campbell et al., 2007; Cho et al., 2007; Cho et al., 2011; Dickson and Gilestro, 2006; Xiao et al., 2011). Robo/Slit signaling can exert either positive or negative influences on axonal growth and branching, in some cases having both effects on the same cell (Ma and Tessier-Lavigne, 2007; Ypsilanti et al., 2010). Previous reports, however, are conflicting regarding how Robo/Slit signaling affects...
somatosensory primary afferents. In rats, exogenously supplied Slit2 can promote growth and branching of trigeminal afferents (Ozdinler and Erzurumlu, 2002). By contrast, genetic studies in zebrafish and mice suggest that Robo activation acts to repel trigeminal afferent branches (Ma and Tessier-Lavigne, 2007; Yeo et al., 2004). For example, Yeo et al. (Yeo et al., 2004) found that overexpression of Slit is sufficient to repel trigeminal afferents in zebrafish embryos, but it has been unclear whether Slit/Robo signaling is necessary for normal trigeminal morphogenesis. Furthermore, it is unclear whether Robo signaling plays a role in regulating the formation of subtype-specific projections.

To determine how subtype-specific axonal projections are formed, we used zebrafish (Danio rerio) trigeminal sensory neurons as a model system. Zebrafish larvae are small, transparent and contain only ~60 trigeminal sensory neurons per ganglion, making it possible to observe axonal morphogenesis in vivo at single-cell resolution (Caron et al., 2008; Knaut et al., 2005; Sagasti et al., 2005). Using this system, we defined two trigeminal sensory neuron subtypes with distinct afferent morphologies and projection patterns and discovered that Robo2 regulates the development of subtype-specific afferent projections by inhibiting branch growth and synaptogenesis. These results reveal that Robo2 function is essential for subcircuit morphogenesis in the somatosensory system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Zebrafish strains

Embryos and larvae were raised at 28.5°C in water containing 0.1% Methylene Blue hydrate (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). At 24 hours post-fertilization, embryos were transferred to water containing 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU; Sigma) to prevent pigment formation. Developmental stages are as described by Kimmel et al. (Kimmel et al., 1995). robo2 (astray) homozygous mutant larvae (astray;B722/astray;B727) were obtained from the Chien laboratory (University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA) (Fricke et al., 2001).

Generation of transgenic fish lines

The Isl1SS:Kaede reporter construct was generated by replacing the coding sequence of eGFP from the Tg(sensory:gfp) construct (Sagasti et al., 2005) with the coding sequence of Kaede (Ando et al., 2002). Isl1SS:Kaede germline transgenic fish were generated by co-injecting plasmid DNA and I-SceI meganuclease into one-cell stage embryos (Thermes et al., 2002). One stable transgenic line was recovered. Kaede expression is variegated within each batch of embryos, which is likely to be due to epigenetic silencing of UAS elements (Goll et al., 2009). Isl1SS:Kaede larvae with high Kaede expression levels were used for analysis.

The Trpa1b:GFP reporter construct was generated by ET recombination of a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) (Zhang et al., 1998). BAC clone CHORI211-236L20 contains 115 kb upstream of the zebrafish Trpa1b translation start site followed by a 52 kb region that encodes the extracellular domain of Trpa1b. The eGFP gene and the kanamycin resistance gene were inserted at the Trpa1b translation start site, replacing the first two trpa1b exons. The recombinant clone was validated by PCR, sequencing and transient expression assays. To generate a stable transgenic line, linearized BAC DNA was injected into one-cell stage zebrafish embryos followed by screening of adults for fluorescent progeny. One stable transgenic line was recovered. We and others (C. B. Chien, personal communication) have been unable to generate transgenic lines that allow the expression of full-length Robo2 under UAS control.

Subtype-specific single trigeminal sensory neuron labeling

To label single Isl1SS:Kaede trigeminal sensory neurons, 1 nl of 10 pg/ml Isl1SS:Kaede plasmid DNA was injected into the yolk of one-cell stage embryos.Injected embryos were kept in the dark and screened at 2-3 days post-fertilization (dpf) for labeling of single trigeminal sensory neurons. Trigeminal sensory neurons were then photoconverted with a 405 nm confocal laser, as previously described (Caron et al., 2008). To label single Trpa1b:GFP trigeminal sensory neurons, 0.5 nl of 45 pg/ml Trpa1b:GFP BAC DNA was injected into a single cell of a four- to eight-cell stage embryo. Injected embryos were screened at 2-3 dpf. To label presynaptic puncta, Isl1SS:Gal4 was co-injected with the UAS-Syp:GFP-DSR plasmid, obtained from the Meyer laboratory (King’s College London, London, UK) (Meyer and Smith, 2006).

Image acquisition and processing

All images were acquired using the FV1000 laser-scanning confocal imaging system (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) on an upright microscope with a 20× XLUMPPlan FI water-immersion objective. Larvae were anesthetized with 0.01% tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222, Sigma) and transferred to a glass-bottomed Petri dish (P35G-0-14-C, MatTek). Molten 1.5% low-melt agarose (UltraPure LMP agarose, Invitrogen), kept on dry heat at 40°C, was then added to the dish. Fish were arranged so that the surface to be imaged was facing the glass bottom. The dish was inverted for imaging (glass side up). For multi-time point experiments, larvae were released from the agarose after imaging with fine forceps and returned to a 28.5°C incubator for recovery.

Images were processed using Fluoview (Olympus), ImageJ (NIH, http://rsweb.nih.gov/ij/) and Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA) software. Variocities were counted manually. Branch length was measured using the NeuronJ plug-in in ImageJ. Hindbrain segments were delineated using the following criteria: segment 1 was defined as areas anterior to the anterior (utricular) otolith (AO); segments 2-4 were located between the AO and the posterior third of the posterior (saccular) otolith (PO); segments 5-7 were located between the posterior third of the PO and the posterior boundary of the first somite; segments 8-9, 10-11, 12-13 and 14-15 corresponded to the anterior and posterior halves of somites 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively (Fig. 4A). To correlate segments with rhombomeres, hindbrain cranial motor nuclei were labeled using the Islet1:GFP transgenic line (Higashijima et al., 2000). Using cranial motor nuclei as markers, the positions of rhombomeres 2-8 were identified and mapped onto the segments as defined above (Ma et al., 2009; Mapp et al., 2011) (Fig. 4A, supplementary material Fig. S1). Variocities were assigned to segments where they were physically located, whereas branch number and branch length were assigned to segments where branches originated.

Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Newman-Keuls post test was used to compare total varicosity number, branch number and branch length between different genotypes and sensory neuron subtypes. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post test was used to compare the morphological features of different genotypes or sensory neuron subtypes at a given anterior-posterior segment or time point. Statistical tests and P-values were calculated using Prism statistical software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Fluorescent in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry

rtpp1, trpa1b and p2x3b (p2x3b – Zebrafish Information Network) DIG-labeled antisense RNA probes were synthesized as previously described (Caron et al., 2008), trkA, trkC1 and trkC2 (trkC1, trkC2a and trkC3b, respectively – Zebrafish Information Network) probes were generated by 5’RACE (SMART RACE cDNA Amplification Kit, Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) using 3’ primers based on Ensembl exon predictions. The cgrp (calca – Zebrafish Information Network) probe was generated by RT-PCR with Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) using primers based on Ensembl exon predictions. Sequences are available from GenBank (trkA, JN837101; trkC1, JN837102; trkC2, JN837103; cgrp, JN837104). robo2 and Slt gene probes were obtained from the Chien laboratory (Hutson and Chien, 2002; Hutson et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2001).

Fluorescent in situ hybridization was performed using protocols described previously (Schoenebeck et al., 2007). In brief, embryos were hybridized with DIG-labeled RNA probes overnight at 68°C followed by stringent washes. Samples were incubated with anti-DIG POD-conjugated Fab fragments (Roche, 1:400) and mixed with Cy3-labeled tyramide (PerkinElmer, 1:25). GFP- or Kaede-labeled neurons were identified by incubation with rabbit anti-GFP or rabbit anti-Kaede antibody, respectively (MBL International, 1:1000). Trigeminal sensory neurons were identified with anti-HuC/D (Elav1/3/4) antibody (Invitrogen, 1:1000). Fluorescent
secondary antibodies coupled to Alexa dyes were used to detect primary antibodies (Invitrogen, 1:500). To distinguish GFP and Kaede in Trpa1b:GFP;Isl1SS:Kaede double transgenics, GFP was stained with a mouse anti-GFP antibody (Roche, 1:300) coupled to Alexa 647 (near infrared), whereas Kaede was stained with rabbit anti-Kaede coupled to Alexa 546 (red).

RESULTS
Subtype-specific gene expression of trigeminal sensory neurons

To explore how sensory neuron subtypes form distinct axonal projections, we aimed to identify genetically defined trigeminal sensory neuron subpopulations in larval zebrafish. We had previously found that Trpa1b, the zebrafish homolog of the mammalian TRPA1 channel, is expressed in a subset of trigeminal sensory neurons and is required for sensitivity to several environmental and endogenous chemical irritants (Caron et al., 2008; Prober et al., 2008). To label this subpopulation of nociceptive neurons, we generated a Trpa1b:GFP BAC transgenic line (Fig. 1A-C). GFP was observed in trigeminal sensory neurons and Rohon Beard sensory neurons (the spinal cord equivalents of the trigeminal sensory neurons), similar to endogenous trpa1b expression (Prober et al., 2008). We also observed non-specific GFP expression in olfactory neurons, the retina and the tectum. To test whether GFP expression within the trigeminal ganglion is specific, we performed trpa1b in situ hybridization in Trpa1b:GFP transgenic larvae and found that GFP accurately marked trigeminal sensory neurons that expressed trpa1b (supplementary material Fig. S2). Consistent with Trpa1b being a subtype-specific marker, GFP expression was seen in a small subset of trigeminal sensory neurons (10.5±0.51 cells out of 60 neurons at 2 dpf).

To identify an additional trigeminal sensory neuron subtype, we generated a second reporter line, Isl1SS:Kaede. This reporter line uses zebrafish islet1 enhancer elements to drive gene expression in somatosensory neurons (Fig. 1A-C) (Higashijima et al., 2000; Sagasti et al., 2005). Kaede expression was seen in a subset of trigeminal sensory neurons (11.5±0.65 cells/ganglion) that were largely distinct from Trpa1b:GFP-expressing neurons (12% overlap; 1.8±0.4 double-positive neurons/ganglion; n=238 Trpa1b:GFP neurons; Fig. 1C,D). Additionally, neither subpopulation overlapped with the larger trkA (nerve growth factor receptor)-expressing population (17.38±1.66 cells/ganglion) (Knut et al., 2005; Liu and Ma, 2011; Martin et al., 1995) (Fig. 1D, supplementary material Fig. S3). These results indicate that Trpa1b and Isl1SS label specific trigeminal sensory neuron subpopulations.

To further examine whether Trpa1b and Isl1SS subsets are distinct subtypes, we tested a panel of sensory neuron subtype markers by whole-mount in situ hybridization and antibody staining against GFP (in Trpa1b:GFP) or Kaede (in Isl1SS:Kaede) (Fig. 1E, supplementary material Fig. S3). Trigeminal sensory neurons were identified based on their location, morphology and expression of the pan-neuronal marker HuC/D. trkC1, which encodes a receptor for Neutrophin 3 (Martin et al., 1998; Williams et al., 2000), was preferentially expressed in the Trpa1b subset (94% of Trpa1b+ neurons) compared with Isl1SS (24% of Isl1SS+ neurons). A similar trend was observed with the trkC paralog trkC2. Several markers of nociceptive neurons were also differentially expressed: p2x3b (ATP receptor and marker for non-peptidergic nociceptors) was preferentially expressed in Trpa1b neurons (100%, versus 24% in Isl1SS), whereas calcitonin gene-related peptide (cgrp; a marker for peptidergic nociceptors) was preferentially expressed in Isl1SS neurons (78%, versus 6% in Trpa1b neurons). These results establish that Trpa1b and Isl1SS neurons belong to different subtypes of trigeminal sensory neurons.

Subtype-specific morphologies of trigeminal sensory neurons

In addition to specific gene expression profiles, neuronal cell types are defined by morphological properties such as the position and branching pattern of neurites. These features also provide clues as to the connectivity patterns of the overall neural circuit (Masland, 2004). To test whether Trpa1b and Isl1SS neurons are morphologically distinct, we investigated the branching pattern and target specificity of their afferent axons by in vivo imaging. To obtain sparse labeling and allow unambiguous tracing and measurement of axon collaterals, we used DNA microinjection to generate mosaic transgenic fish with only one trigeminal sensory neuron labeled per ganglion (Fig. 2A-C). We found that Trpa1b and Isl1SS axons were distinct and stereotyped. The main axon
shaft follows a similar trajectory in both subtypes. However, compared with Isl1SS neurons, Trpa1b axons had more branches and increased total branch length (Fig. 2D, Fig. 3A,B). Trpa1b axons also tended to extend further down the spinal cord than Isl1SS axons. In both subtypes, numerous varicosities were seen along the main axon shaft and on axon collaterals (Fig. 2E). Axonal varicosities, as seen by cytoplasmic fluorescent protein labeling, have previously been found to represent presynaptic puncta in many different zebrafish neuronal cell types (Appelbaum et al., 2010; Campbell et al., 2007; Meyer and Smith, 2006). To test whether this is also the case for trigeminal sensory neuron afferents, we co-expressed a red fluorescent protein (RFP) and Synaptophysin-GFP, a marker of presynaptic puncta, using the Isl1SS promoter (Meyer and Smith, 2006). We found that varicosities and puncta were colocalized (Fig. 2F), and the number of varicosities and puncta were significantly correlated ($R^2=0.74$, $P<0.0001$; see supplementary material Fig. S4). Therefore, we used varicosities as a reporter for presynaptic puncta.

Subtype-specific projection patterns of trigeminal sensory neurons

The distinct morphologies of Trpa1b and Isl1SS neurons raised the possibility that these trigeminal subtypes have distinct projection patterns (Masland, 2004). To map the projections of individual afferents, we used mosaic transgenic labeling with combined fluorescent and bright-field imaging. The bright-field images, which show anatomical landmarks such as otoliths and somites, were used to delineate 15 anterior-posterior segments (Fig. 2A,B, Fig. 4A). These segments were reproducible between individual fish and correlated with rhombomeres 2-8 and anterior regions of the spinal cord (see Materials and methods). Using this anatomical map, the number of branches, the branch length and the number of presynaptic puncta (varicosities) of individual trigeminal sensory neurons were measured and compared between Trpa1b and Isl1SS neurons.

The number of varicosities revealed subtype-specific patterns of innervation. Trpa1b afferents showed two prominent peaks of high varicosity number, one in segments 3-4 and the other in segments 9-11 (Fig. 4B). By contrast, varicosities in the Isl1SS afferents showed a broader distribution, with only a minor peak in segment 5. The posterior Trpa1b peak corresponded to the areas flanking the hindbrain-spinal cord junction, which is an important processing and relay area for trigeminal pain in mammals.
Trpa1b innervation in this region is consistent with its nociceptive function and suggests that the hindbrain-spinal cord junction might also be part of the nociceptive circuitry in zebrafish.

The distribution of branches also differed greatly between Trpa1b and Isl1SS (Fig. 4C,D). Trpa1b afferents formed shorter branches in the anterior segments and longer branches in the posterior segments. Approximately one-quarter (3/13) of Trpa1b trigeminal sensory neurons had posterior branches that reached the contralateral side. These contralaterally projecting branches are also seen in the posterior hindbrain of mammalian species and appear to be a feature of nociceptive fibers (Clarke and Bowsher, 1962; (Goadsby and Hoskin, 1997; Nash et al., 2009; Noma et al., 2008). Trpa1b innervation in this region is consistent with its nociceptive function and suggests that the hindbrain-spinal cord junction might also be part of the nociceptive circuitry in zebrafish.

The distribution of branches also differed greatly between Trpa1b and Isl1SS (Fig. 4C,D). Trpa1b afferents formed shorter branches in the anterior segments and longer branches in the posterior segments. Approximately one-quarter (3/13) of Trpa1b trigeminal sensory neurons had posterior branches that reached the contralateral side. These contralaterally projecting branches are also seen in the posterior hindbrain of mammalian species and appear to be a feature of nociceptive fibers (Clarke and Bowsher, 1962; (Goadsby and Hoskin, 1997; Nash et al., 2009; Noma et al., 2008). Trpa1b innervation in this region is consistent with its nociceptive function and suggests that the hindbrain-spinal cord junction might also be part of the nociceptive circuitry in zebrafish.

The distribution of branches also differed greatly between Trpa1b and Isl1SS (Fig. 4C,D). Trpa1b afferents formed shorter branches in the anterior segments and longer branches in the posterior segments. Approximately one-quarter (3/13) of Trpa1b trigeminal sensory neurons had posterior branches that reached the contralateral side. These contralaterally projecting branches are also seen in the posterior hindbrain of mammalian species and appear to be a feature of nociceptive fibers (Clarke and Bowsher, 1962; (Goadsby and Hoskin, 1997; Nash et al., 2009; Noma et al., 2008). Trpa1b innervation in this region is consistent with its nociceptive function and suggests that the hindbrain-spinal cord junction might also be part of the nociceptive circuitry in zebrafish.

The distribution of branches also differed greatly between Trpa1b and Isl1SS (Fig. 4C,D). Trpa1b afferents formed shorter branches in the anterior segments and longer branches in the posterior segments. Approximately one-quarter (3/13) of Trpa1b trigeminal sensory neurons had posterior branches that reached the contralateral side. These contralaterally projecting branches are also seen in the posterior hindbrain of mammalian species and appear to be a feature of nociceptive fibers (Clarke and Bowsher, 1962; (Goadsby and Hoskin, 1997; Nash et al., 2009; Noma et al., 2008). Trpa1b innervation in this region is consistent with its nociceptive function and suggests that the hindbrain-spinal cord junction might also be part of the nociceptive circuitry in zebrafish.
By contrast, Isl1SS afferents had only a few short branches in the anterior segments and did not have contralaterally projecting afferents (0/24). These results indicate that Trpa1b and Isl1SS subpopulations have distinct and stereotypic axonal projections and branch growth patterns.

Expression of robo2 and Slit genes marks trigeminal sensory neurons and afferent target fields

What are the molecular mechanisms that establish subtype-specific afferent projections? The differential expression of trpa1b itself does not appear to be involved because Trpa1b neurons in trpa1b mutants had normal axonal morphology (Fig. 3). We hypothesized that there might be subtype-specific growth-promoting or inhibitory cues that regulate this process and searched for signaling molecules that were differentially expressed in the two trigeminal subtypes. The axon guidance receptor Robo2 is expressed in the rodent and zebrafish trigeminal ganglion, but it has been unclear whether it is expressed in all or a subset of sensory neurons and whether it exerts positive or negative effects on axon morphogenesis (Ma and Tessier-Lavigne, 2007; Ozdinler and Erzurumlu, 2002; Yeo et al., 2004). We therefore examined the expression of robo2 in more detail by fluorescent in situ hybridization. We found that robo2 was dynamically expressed in trigeminal sensory neurons during development. After initially broad expression (Fig. 5A,B), robo2 expression became restricted to a subset of trigeminal sensory neurons (Fig. 5C-E). Strikingly, more than 80% of Isl1SS neurons and less than 30% of Trpa1b neurons expressed robo2 at 2-5 dpf. These results raised the possibility that Robo2 expression might account for some of the differences in the morphology of Isl1SS and Trpa1b afferents.

To determine where Robo signaling might be activated, we examined the expression of Slits, the secreted ligands for Robo2. In the hindbrain, all four zebrafish Slit genes were expressed. Whole-mount in situ hybridization for Slit genes co-stained for a neuronal marker (HuC/D). Images are maximal projections of confocal z-stack images. slit1a and slit2 are strongly expressed in the ventral midline (VML) and rhombic lip (RL). slit1b is expressed in the ventral midline as well as in several distinct nuclei in the hindbrain (green arrowheads). slit3 is expressed in the cranial motor nuclei (yellow arrowheads). Optical transverse sections at the hindbrain-spinal cord boundary (red line in F) of 3-dpf Trpa1b:GFP larvae stained for Slit genes (red) and Trpa1b:GFP (green). slit1a is also diffusely expressed in the caudal hindbrain (K). Yellow dashed lines mark the outlines of the hindbrain and arrowheads indicate trigeminal axons. Mb, midbrain; Hb, hindbrain; 5C, spinal cord. Scale bars: 20 μm in A-D; 100 μm in G-J; 50 μm in K-N.

Sugimoto et al., 1997a; Sugimoto et al., 1997b). By contrast, Isl1SS afferents had only a few short branches in the anterior segments and did not have contralaterally projecting afferents (0/24). These results indicate that Trpa1b and Isl1SS subpopulations have distinct and stereotypic axonal projections and branch growth patterns.
that define its boundaries (Fig. 5F-J, brackets). These results reveal Trpa1b expression partly overlapped with the anterior and posterior immediately dorsal-medial to the trigeminal afferent track. Slit expressed in discrete domains along the anterior-posterior axis, and presynaptic differentiation with no effect on branch formation.

robo2 suggests that Robo2 and sensory axon targeting trigeminal target field. By contrast, Isl1SS mutants (Fricke et al., 2001; Hutson and Chien, 2002). Strikingly, Isl1SS neurons showed very similar varicosity distributions. Segment-specific changes were also observed for branch number and branch length, but the changes were less pronounced than those observed for varicosities (Fig. 6D,E,G,H). These results indicate that signaling through robo2 is a key regulator of the region-specific morphology of a subset of trigeminal sensory neurons.

robo2 regulates subtype-specific projection patterns

(Fig. 5F-N). slit1a and slit2 were highly expressed in the ventral midline and the rhombic lip, relatively distant from the trigeminal target field. By contrast, slit1b and slit3 were expressed in discrete domains along the anterior-posterior axis, immediately dorsal-medial to the trigeminal afferent track. Slit expression partly overlapped with the anterior and posterior Trpa1b peaks, but expression of individual Slit genes did not define its boundaries (Fig. 5F-J, brackets). These results reveal that robo2 expression is enriched in Isl1SS neurons and that multiple Slit genes are expressed in the vicinity of the trigeminal sensory neuron afferents.

Morphogenesis of subtype-specific branch growth and synaptogenesis

Subtype-specific differences in branch morphology and synapse number may arise from (1) selective addition and growth in Trpa1b afferents or (2) equal growth in both subpopulations followed by selective pruning in Isl1SS afferents. To distinguish between these possibilities, we analyzed the dynamics of branch growth and varicosity formation at the hindbrain-spinal cord junction by in vivo imaging (segments 9-11, Fig. 7A). At 2 dpf, Trpa1b and Isl1SS neurons showed very similar morphology, with short branches and comparable varicosity number (Fig. 7B-E). Morphological changes arose between 2 and 3 dpf, when Trpa1b neurons increased branch number, branch length and varicosity number. Branch growth slowed down over the next few days (4-5 dpf), while varicosity number steadily increased. Isl1SS neurons, by contrast, had only modest increases in varicosity number and no changes in branch number and

To test whether Robo2 also determines the localization of varicosities and branches, we examined their anterior-posterior distribution in robo2 mutants (Fig. 6). The projection patterns for Trpa1b neurons were not affected by loss of Robo2 (Fig. 6C). By contrast, Isl1SS neurons had increased varicosities in both anterior (segments 3-4) and posterior (segments 9-11) segments in robo2 mutants, compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 6F). Thus, Isl1SS and Trpa1b neurons acquired very similar varicosity distributions. Segment-specific changes were also observed for branch number and branch length, but the changes were less pronounced than those observed for varicosities (Fig. 6D,E,G,H). These results indicate that signaling through robo2 is a key regulator of the region-specific morphology of a subset of trigeminal sensory neurons.
branch length. These results reveal that the morphological divergence of trigeminal subtypes depends on selective growth and synaptogenesis rather than selective pruning.

To determine how Robo2 affects axon morphogenesis, we examined the growth of Isl1SS axons in robo2 mutants. Loss of robo2 did not affect axonal morphogenesis in early development (2 dpf), despite the early expression of robo2 in wild type (Fig. 7B, right column). As the afferent axons matured, robo2–/– Isl1SS axons began to form more varicosities and branches, whereas their wild-type counterparts remained largely unchanged (Fig. 7C–E). These results reveal that Isl1SS axons have an intrinsic capacity for growth that is suppressed by Robo2.

**DISCUSSION**

The hindbrain is the first relay and processing station of somatosensory neural circuits. Sensory afferents carrying diverse sensory modalities, such as touch, chemicals and temperature, project to specific regions in the hindbrain and spinal cord. Our study defines a mechanism by which two trigeminal sensory neuron subtypes acquire distinct afferent morphologies and axon projections: subtype-specific expression of Robo2 inhibits branch growth and presynaptic terminal formation (Fig. 8).

**Regulation of axonal morphology in somatosensory subtypes**

There is a growing understanding of the molecular and physiological properties of different trigeminal sensory neuron subtypes and their afferent targets (Liu and Ma, 2011; Perl, 2007). However, it has been unclear whether systematic differences exist in the afferent branching patterns and synaptic densities between defined trigeminal sensory neuron subtypes (Hayashi, 1985a; Hayashi, 1985b; Jacquin et al., 1986; Light and Perl, 1979). Our results reveal that subclasses of trigeminal sensory neurons have overall similar axon trajectories but display very different afferent branching morphologies. Differences in Robo/Slit signaling play a major role in ensuring subtype-specific projections. Most notably, Robo2 acts to dampen synaptogenesis and branch growth but not branch number in the Isl1SS subtype.

In the hindbrain, Slit proteins are expressed in the floor plate, rhombic lip and in several hindbrain nuclei (Hammond et al., 2005; Marillat et al., 2002; Yuan et al., 1999). The expression of Slit genes might prevent trigeminal afferents from forming inappropriate contacts with Slit-expressing cells. We found slit3 expression in the cranial motor nuclei, similar to mammalian Slit2/3 expression (Geisen et al., 2008). Several cranial motor nuclei (V, VII and XII) are involved in the nociceptive reflex triggered by strong trigeminal stimulation, but they are not directly connected to trigeminal sensory neurons (Dong et al., 2011). Given the close proximity of cranial nuclei and trigeminal afferents, Robo2/Slit3 signaling might be required to prevent erroneous innervations.

In addition to cell type-specific inhibition mediated by Robo2 signaling, growth-promoting signals may also play a role in establishing sensory subcircuits. In the absence of Robo2 inhibition, we found that Isl1SS neurons are also able to increase growth and synaptogenesis in the same Trpa1b peak segments. This suggests that both subtypes can respond to a putative growth-promoting signal that might be localized to these segments. Previous studies have identified potential candidates for such a signal. For example, expression studies in other model systems suggest that multiple axon guidance pathways are active in trigeminal sensory neurons, including Neurotrophin/Trk, Netrin/Unc5 and Semaphorin/Neuropilin (Erzurumlu et al., 2010; Masuda et al., 2008). Other Robo family members (Robo1 and Robo3) might also play a role (Ma and Tessier-Lavigne, 2007). It is a challenge for the future to identify potential growth-promoting signals and investigate their interactions with the Robo2 pathway.
Fig. 8. Robo2-dependent morphogenesis in trigeminal sensory neurons. Trigeminal sensory neurons from Isl1SS (magenta) and Trpa1b (green) subtypes have similar morphology during early development. In wild-type fish, developmental maturation leads to preferential expression of robo2 in the Isl1SS subtype (marked by ‘+’) but not in the Trpa1b subtype (marked by ‘−’). Robo2, which is likely to be activated by secreted Slit proteins in the hindbrain (yellow oval), inhibits branch growth and synaptogenesis specifically in the Isl1SS subtype. In robo2−/− fish, both subtypes lack Robo2. The Isl1SS subtype, now relieved of Robo2 inhibition, extends axon branches and forms synapses in the same areas as in the Trpa1b subtype.

Functional implications of subtype-specific projection patterns

Somatosensory afferents innervate selective hindbrain and spinal cord regions in a cell type-specific manner and thereby activate divergent downstream targets to initiate distinct behavioral responses (Braz et al., 2005; Brodal, 2010). We observed two regions, one anterior and one posterior, where Trpa1b and Isl1SS neurons showed different innervation density (as measured by varicosity number). The anterior region (segments 3-4) corresponds to rhomolomeres 5-6, which contain reticulospinal neurons (the Mauthner array neurons) important for the trigeminal-mediated fast escape response in zebrafish (Caron et al., 2008; Douglass et al., 2008; Kohashi and Oda, 2008; Liu and Fetcho, 1999; Sagasti et al., 2005). The observed high (in Trpa1b) and low (in Isl1SS) innervation density in this region suggests that there might be subtype-specific patterns of Mauthner array activation and initiation of the fast escape response.

The posterior region (segments 9-11) corresponds to the caudalis subnuclei of the SpV, which is known to be crucial for trigeminal sensory neuron-mediated pain (e.g. toothache, headache, migraine) (Sessle, 2000). Selective innervation by nociceptive Trpa1b afferents suggests that there might be functional similarity between fish and mammals in this anatomical regions. The identities and function of Trpa1b target cells are not yet known, but this region has recently been proposed to contain specialized cells that can initiate persistent swimming (Kyrriakatos et al., 2011). This would be consistent with the observation that Trpa1b activation increases overall motor activity (Prober et al., 2008) and raises the possibility that Isl1SS and Trpa1b subtypes have distinct abilities to trigger persistent swimming.
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