The metalloproteinase inhibitor Reck is essential for zebrafish DRG development
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SUMMARY

The neural crest is a migratory, multipotent cell lineage that contributes to myriad tissues, including sensory neurons and glia of the dorsal root ganglia (DRG). To identify genes affecting cell fate specification in neural crest, we performed a forward genetic screen for mutations causing DRG deficiencies in zebrafish. This screen yielded a mutant lacking all DRG, which we named sensory deprived (sdp). We identified a total of four alleles of sdp, all of which possess lesions in the gene coding for reversion-inducing cysteine-rich protein containing Kazal motifs (Reck). Reck is an inhibitor of metalloproteinases previously shown to regulate cell motility. We found reck function to be both necessary for DRG formation and sufficient to rescue the sdp phenotype. reck is expressed in neural crest cells and is required in a cell-autonomous fashion for appropriate sensory neuron formation. In the absence of reck function, sensory neuron precursors fail to migrate to the position of the DRG, suggesting that this molecule is crucial for proper migration and differentiation.
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INTRODUCTION

During normal embryonic development, cells are often generated in locations far removed from their place of differentiation. Cell migration is important in the formation of many tissues, and the dysregulation of migration has drastic consequences for embryos and adult organisms alike. Neural crest is a transient cell lineage that gives rise to tissues including but not limited to: cartilage and bone of the craniofacial skeleton, secretory neuroendocrine cells, pigment cells, and many of the neurons and glia of the peripheral nervous system (Le Douarin and Kalcheim, 1999). Neural crest is specified as a limited cell population at the lateral border of neural and non-neural ectoderm; it must then migrate in regulated phases and expand to generate these derivatives. All vertebrate taxa possess neural crest, and the mechanisms that distinguish neural crest from all other cell types are considered to have been critical in the origin and evolution of the vertebrate clade (Gans and Northcutt, 1983; Knecht and Bronner-Fraser, 2002).

The relationship between neural crest differentiation and migration is poorly understood. Most studies have identified molecules crucial to early events in migration, such as delamination from the neuroectoderm or maintenance of neural crest segmentation. However, little attention has been paid to the cues that terminate migration, an essential condition for appropriate neural crest cell differentiation. Furthermore, although studies have defined a handful of guidance factors and extracellular matrix cues that direct neural crest to its targets, it is unclear how these migratory cues interact with specific neural crest fate decisions.

Dorsal root ganglia (DRG) are a crest-derived, segmentally arrayed series of sensory neurons and their associated glia that detect pain, temperature, mechanical and proprioceptive stimuli. Expression of the Neurogenins (Neurog), a family of basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors, is currently the earliest known indicator of DRG neuronal identity (Greenwood et al., 1999; Ma et al., 1999; Perez et al., 1999). In the zebrafish, neurog1 is essential for the formation of DRG neurons (Andermann et al., 2002; Cornell and Eisen, 2002); in its absence, cells differentiate as myelinating Schwann cells (McGraw et al., 2008). Although Neurog transcription factors clearly determine sensory neuron identity, the mechanism by which their expression is initiated is unclear.

To identify genes implicated in the initial cell fate decisions in DRG, we conducted a mutant screen in the zebrafish for mutants lacking DRG sensory neurons. We isolated a mutant, sensory deprived (sdp), in which neural crest derivatives form normally with the exception of the DRG. Subsequent work mapped the associated mutation to the gene encoding Reck (reversion-inducing, cysteine-rich protein containing Kazal motifs), an inhibitor of metalloproteinases (Takahashi et al., 1998). reck has previously been implicated in the development of the mammalian cortex (Muraguchi et al., 2007) and circulatory system (Oh et al., 2001). In cell culture studies, loss of reck function is associated with a hypermigratory phenotype (Morioka et al., 2009; Silveira Corrêa et al., 2010), presumably because upregulated metalloproteinase activity allows cells to permeate the extracellular matrix more easily. This cell migratory behavior is reflected in studies of human cancers, which are more aggressive following Reck inactivation (Clark et al., 2007). Our results suggest a mechanistic link between termination of migration and initial differentiation of sensory neuron precursors from the neural crest.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Zebrafish husbandry

Zebrafish were maintained at 28.5°C on a 14-hour/10-hour light/dark cycle following established methods and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee standards (Westerfield, 2007). Embryos were maintained in E2 medium, and staged according to Kimmel et al. (Kimmel et al., 1995). DRGs were identified using Tg(neurog1:egfp)\textsuperscript{m6} (McGraw et al., 2008).

Transgenic lines

The 4.9 kb sox10 promoter (Carney et al., 2006), fluorescent protein Eos (Wiedenmann et al., 2004) (Evrogen) or nls-Eos, and a polyadenylation sequence (Kwan et al., 2007) were Gateway cloned (Invitrogen) to generate pSox10:Eos/pSox10nls-Eos; clones were microinjected with Tol2 transposase to generate germline transgenics Tg(sox10:eos)\textsuperscript{m9} and Tg(sox10:nlsEos)\textsuperscript{m18} as previously described (Fisher et al., 2006).

Immunohistochemistry

Embryos were stained with the antibodies mouse anti-Elavl1 (Invitrogen), 1:500; rabbit anti-GFP (Invitrogen) 1:1000; mouse anti-acetylated tubulin (Sigma; 1:5000), mouse anti-MF20 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa; 1:100), rabbit anti-Sox10 (gift of Sarah Kucenas, University of Virginia; 1:500), as previously described (Ungos et al., 2003) and imaged by confocal microscopy.

Genetic screen

We treated *AB males with 3 mM ethylnitrosourea (Solnica-Krezel et al., 2002) and *AB females with 300 ng/nl of the transposase to generate germline transgenics. Embryos were stained with the antibodies mouse anti-Elavl1 (Invitrogen), 1:500; rabbit anti-GFP (Invitrogen) 1:1000; mouse anti-acetylated tubulin (Sigma; 1:5000), mouse anti-MF20 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa; 1:100); rabbit anti-Sox10 (gift of Sarah Kucenas, University of Virginia; 1:500), as previously described (Ungos et al., 2003) and imaged by confocal microscopy.

Mutation identification

sdp\textsuperscript{m12} heterozygotes were outcrossed to the Wik strain and F\textsubscript{2} progeny were subjected to bulked segregant analysis using SSLP markers followed by analysis of individuals, as described (Bahlary et al., 2004). Zebrafish re\textsuperscript{c}k sequence was generated by alignment of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) identified by BLAST search using Mus musculus RECK protein as the search string, and used as a template to clone the zebrafish cDNA by PCR. Staged embryos were homogenized in Trizol (Invitrogen), and total RNA was isolated by column purification (Qiagen). RNA was treated with DNase I (Fermentas) to remove genomic DNA and reverse transcribed (Invitrogen). Isolated cDNA was ligated into pCS2\textsuperscript{+}, linearized with NotI and transcribed in vitro with SP6 polymerase to generate capped, polyadenylated mRNA (Ambion). A range of mRNA concentrations was injected into embryos derived from a cross between Tg(neurog1:egfp)/sdp\textsuperscript{m12/m6} parents. Data were analyzed using a two-factor ANOVA; post-hoc significance between conditions was determined using the Scheffé method.

In situ hybridization

Digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes for crestin (Rubinstein et al., 2000) and neurog1 (Korzh et al., 1998) were generated as previously described. A 2.1 kb fragment of re\textsuperscript{c}k was subcloned into pBluescript II SK, linearized with EcoRV and transcribed with T7 polymerase (Invitrogen) to generate digoxigenin-labeled riboprobe. In situ hybridization was carried out as previously described (Thiese and Thiese, 2008). Fluorescent in situ hybridization was carried out as previously described (Vize et al., 2009). Embryos were then embedded in 4% agarose and sectioned at 100 μm on a Leica VT1000S vibratome. Colocalization of re\textsuperscript{c}k and crestin was analyzed by confocal imaging in single optical planes sampled every 7 μm. The anterior-posterior position of each section was determined by alignment to the section containing the yolk constriction.

Metalloproteinase activity

Tg(neurog1:egfp)/sdp\textsuperscript{m12/m6} parents were incrossed; the resulting embryos were sorted by phenotype at 48 hpf and homogenized in lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM Triton X-100, pH 8.0). Protein concentration was normalized using the Bradford method. Lysate was incubated with DQ gelatin (Invitrogen) at room temperature; fluorescence was assayed using a fluorimeter (Tecan).

Transplants

Donor Tg(neurog1:egfp) embryos were injected with 1 nl 2.5% tetrathymidine rhodamine dextran, 0.1 M KCl and either 1.75 μg/ml re\textsuperscript{c}k MO or sterile water. Host Tg(neurog1:egfp) embryos were injected at the one-cell stage with 1 nl 0.2% Phenol Red, 0.1 M KCl and either 1.75 μg/ml re\textsuperscript{c}k MO or sterile water. Donor and host embryos were dechorionated and mounted in 4% methylcellulose at sphere or dome stage (~3.5-4.5 hpf). Cells were aspirated into a pulled capillary glass needle and deposited into host embryos. Transplants were allowed to recover in E2 media supplemented with 100 U penicillin/100 μg/ml streptomycin.

Neural crest migration measurements

Tg(sox10:eos)/sdp\textsuperscript{m12/m6} fish were incrossed; the resulting clutch was fixed at 24 hpf, immunostained for MF20 and imaged by confocal microscopy. A threshold was applied to the images using Photoshop and images were analyzed by confocal microscopy. A threshold was applied to the images using Photoshop and images were analyzed by an iterative point-to-point comparison function (peakdet, http://billauer.co.il/peakdet.html).

Time-lapse microscopy and cell tracking

Time-lapse microscopy and cell tracking (Tg(sox10:nls:egfp)/sdp\textsuperscript{m12/m6} embryos (18 somite-stage; 18a) and Tg(sox10:nls:egfp)/sdp\textsuperscript{m12/m6} embryos (30 hpf) were immobilized in 1.5% Type VII agarose (Sigma) and imaged every 10 minutes using a 3i Marinas spinning disk confocal microscope system. Image files were processed using Slidebook (3i) and ImageJ (NIH) software. Movies from the late interval were synchronized so that the lateral line crosses somite 16 at the same frame. Divisions were identified by resolution of mitotic figures; cell death was determined by nuclear fragmentation.

Cell tracking was performed manually in ImageJ. Dorsoventral cell velocity was defined as the sum of y-axis displacements divided by the number of frames in which the cell was visible. Proliferative and apoptotic rates were defined by the following equation:

\[
\frac{x}{n_{cells} \times t_{frames}} \times 144 ,
\]
where \( x \) is the number of events observed per time lapse, \( n_{\text{cells}} \) is the total number of cells tracked and \( t_{\text{frames}} \) is the number of frames (each separated by 10 minutes). Multiplying by 144 yielded the number of events per cell-day.

Drug experiments

Tg(neurog1:egfp)/sdp\(^{w12}\) embryos were treated in drug dissolved in 1% DMSO in E2 medium from 16s to 3 days post-fertilization (dpf); drug solution was changed daily. Embryos were fixed and immunostained for Elavl1 and GFP. Drugs were administered at the following concentrations: GM6001 (Enzo Life Sciences), 100 \( \mu \)M; batimastat (Tocris Biosciences), 500 \( \mu \)M; DAPT (Sigma), 100 \( \mu \)M.

TUNEL staining

Fish were fixed at 30 hpf and immunostained with rabbit anti-Sox10 antibody. Embryos were permeabilized with 20 \( \mu \)g/ml proteinase K (Sigma) and subjected to TUNEL labeling using fluorescein substrate (Roche). TUNEL+ and Sox10+ cells were counted from confocal stacks and the embryos were subsequently genotyped.

**RESULTS**

**sdp is a recessive phenotype involving the loss of DRG neurons**

To identify mutations that alter DRG development, we screened for perturbed expression of Elav1 in the peripheral nervous system (Henion et al., 1996; Marusich et al., 1994; Szabo et al., 1991). We identified four alleles of a recessive mutation, *sensory deprived* (sdp). All mutant embryos completely lack DRG up to and including 5 dpf (Fig. 1, supplementary material Fig. S1). However, for all mutations all other neural crest derivatives appear to develop normally (supplementary material Fig. S2), including pigment cells, enteric neurons, lateral line glia and sympathetic chain (supplementary material Fig. S2); although normal in morphology, the ceratohyal cartilage is displaced (supplementary material Fig. S2K-L’). Cranial ganglia, which have both neural crest and placode origin, appear normal (supplementary material Fig. S2) and have comparable cell counts (supplementary material Fig. S3). Fish homozygous for any of the *sdp* alleles die by day 12.

Mutant embryos display defects in DRG formation at the earliest stages of their development. *sdp* embryos exhibit no *neurog1* expression in DRG precursors as assessed by a *neurog1:egfp* transgenic line (Fig. 1A-B’) and by in situ hybridization for *neurog1* transcript (Fig. 1C-D’). In addition, no cells in the periphery ectopically express these markers, nor are any ectopic neurons revealed by Elavl1 staining, suggesting that the *sdp* phenotype is not caused by DRG displacement. By contrast, *neurog1* expression in the central nervous system and cranial placodes appears normal. These results suggest that the *sdp* phenotype is a result of a defect occurring upstream of *neurog1*.

Neurons and glia of the zebrafish DRG are derived from a common subpopulation of neural crest (Raible and Eisen, 1994; McGraw et al., 2008). The *sdp* phenotype could be caused by a failure to specify this neuralglial lineage or by a later defect in neuronal differentiation. To address this question, we generated the transgenic line Tg(sox10:eos), in which the fluorophore Eos (Wiedenmann et al., 2004) is expressed under the control of the promotor for *sox10*, an SRY box-containing transcription factor expressed in neural crest and maintained in crest-derived glial cells (Carney et al., 2006). Schwann cells apposed to motor axons are retained in *sdp* embryos; however, satellite glia adjacent to DRG are notably absent (Fig. 1E,E’). Schwann cells are also found to be normally distributed along the lateral line nerve (supplementary material Fig. S2E,H). We conclude that in *sdp* mutants DRG neuron formation is compromised rather than specification of the neuralglial lineage.
All sdp alleles have sequence polymorphisms in the reck gene

To identify the genomic location of sdp alleles, we used recombination mapping in an sdp<sup>W12</sup>/Wik hybrid background. We identified a critical region of ~200 kb on chromosome 24 associated with sdp (Fig. 2A). Direct sequencing of sdp<sup>W12</sup> cDNA corresponding to transcripts in this region identified a mutation in the exon 9 splice donor of reck that caused a 510 bp intron to be retained (Fig. 2C). This intron contains a stop codon, which would truncate any translated protein to 309 amino acids. reck encodes a 956 amino acid protein containing an N-terminal signal sequence and two Kazal motifs (Fig. 2B); it is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-linked inhibitor of metalloproteinases (Takahashi et al., 1998). All sdp alleles possessed perturbations in the reck sequence (Fig. 2B-C’): a C38R missense mutation in a highly conserved residue (sdp<sup>W13</sup>), a W252X premature stop mutation (sdp<sup>W14</sup>) and a 0.5 Mb deletion of chromosome 24 that eliminates at least four other genes in addition to reck (sdp<sup>W15</sup>). Although each sdp allele possesses a different lesion in reck, they are equivalent with respect to the DRG phenotype (supplementary material Fig. S1). Complementation analysis reveals that w<sup>12</sup>, w<sup>13</sup> and w<sup>14</sup> are likely to be null alleles as no additional phenotypes were observed when crossed to the w<sup>15</sup> deficiency (not shown). The severe swelling phenotype of the w<sup>15</sup> deficiency (supplementary material Fig. S1) is reduced by complementation, suggesting that this phenotype is affected by loss of additional genes found in the interval.

Metalloproteinases are upregulated in sdp embryos

Reck inhibits the maturation and activity of the metalloproteinases Mmp2, Mmp9, Mmp14 and Adam10 (Muraguchi et al., 2007; Oh et al., 2001; Takahashi et al., 1998). We incubated protein extracts from 48 hpf sdp<sup>W12</sup>/w<sup>12</sup> and sibling embryos with a fluorescein/gelatin conjugate, and found that mutant embryos exhibited greater metalloproteinase activity than siblings (supplementary material Fig. S4). Reck therefore appears to be a major inhibitor of metalloproteinases in developing zebrafish embryos.

Morpholino oligonucleotide knockdown of reck transcript phenocopies the DRG phenotype observed in sdp

To confirm that reck loss of function is the cause of the DRG phenotype observed in sdp, we generated a splice-blocking MO directed against the exons7-intron7 boundary. Embryos injected with this MO fail to develop DRG (Fig. 3A-D) despite the appropriate formation of cranial ganglia (Fig. 3C), Schwann cells (Fig. 3G), lateral line glia (Fig. 3H) and pigment cells (Fig. 3I), matching the phenotypes of reck mutants. DRG counts declined in a dose-dependent fashion (Fig. 3E). We verified that reck expression was disrupted by this MO by subjecting cDNA to quantitative RT-PCR using primers flanking intron 7 (Fig. 3F). These primers generate an amplicon of 366 bp when applied to appropriately spliced transcript; we cannot detect this amplicon.
in cDNA from embryos injected with *reck* MO. These results confirm that DRG formation fails in the absence of *reck* function.

Expression of *reck* rescues DRG in *sdp* embryos

To show that reintroduction of *reck* can rescue the *sdp* phenotype, we injected *wt* or *sdp*/*sdp* mutant mRNA into embryos derived from *sdp*/*sdp* incrosses (Fig. 3, Table 1). Injection of mutant mRNA has no effect on mutants or siblings (Fig. 3J,L). Injection of *wt* *reck* mRNA restores DRG to wild-type levels in *sdp*/*sdp* embryos (Fig. 3M). Overexpression of *wt* *reck* mRNA fails to generate any observable phenotype on its own (Fig. 3K). We conclude that *reck* loss of function is solely responsible for the DRG defect observed in *sdp*.

Expression of *reck* is consistent with a role in the development of neural crest derivatives

We examined *reck* expression in situ hybridization to identify tissues in which *reck* might act to influence DRG development. In situ hybridization for *crestin* was used to identify neural crest. In the trunk, *crestin* is expressed adjacent to the dorsal neural tube and in cells migrating between neural tube and somite (Fig. 4A,B, supplementary material Fig. S5C,D,I-I). However, a band of dorsal *reck* expression appears at 22 hpf (Fig. 4A,B, supplementary material Fig. S5G,H,J-J). This dorsal expression is transient, disappearing by 30 hpf (Fig. 4C,D). Cells were counted as *reck*+, *crestin*+ or *reck/crestin*+ in each section. At 22 hpf, essentially all neural crest cells express *reck*.

Although fewer neural crest cells are observed in posterior segments, we do not observe significant changes in *crestin* expression by in situ hybridization to identify neural crest. Dorsal root ganglia (DRG) appear at 22 hpf (Fig. 4A,B, supplementary material Fig. S5G,H,J-J), whereas dorsal *reck* expression in vasculature development (Fig. 4A,B, supplementary material Fig. S5G,H,J-J) is expressed primarily in ventral mesoderm, consistent with a role in vasculature development (Fig. 4A,B, supplementary material Fig. S5G,H,J-J).

Table 1. Injection of *reck* mRNA rescues *sdp*/*sdp* in a dose-dependent fashion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Injection</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>s.d.</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>Mock</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wt</td>
<td>100 pg</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>200 pg</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>sdp</em>/<em>sdp</em></td>
<td>100 pg</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200 pg</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/w12</td>
<td>Mock</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wt</td>
<td>100 pg</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+/w12</td>
<td>200 pg</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>sdp</em>/<em>w12</em></td>
<td>100 pg</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200 pg</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w12/w12</td>
<td>Mock</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wt</td>
<td>100 pg</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>w12/w12</td>
<td>200 pg</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*reck* MO and rescue *sdp*/*sdp* embryos had a significant effect on DRG counts relative to mock-injected embryos of the same genotype (100 pg, 15.4±8.8; 200 pg, 23.4±2.9; means±d.).
MO-injected embryos exhibit vasculature defects

Mice with a targeted disruption of the *reck* locus display impaired vascular maturation and abdominal herniation (Oh et al., 2001). To determine whether *reck*-deficient zebrafish embryos exhibit similar defects, we injected *reck* MO into Tg(fli1a:egfp) embryos, a transgenic reporter expressed in the endothelial cells of the vasculature (Lawson and Weinstein, 2002). Between 24 and 32 hpf, vasculature in MO-injected embryos is comparable to the vasculature of control embryos, although slightly delayed (Fig. S5A-C,G-I, supplementary material Table S1). However, by 48 hpf, moderate defects in circulation and vascular development are manifest. The parachordal vessel (PAV), a derivative of the caudal vein, often fails to form following *reck* knockdown (Fig. 5D,I, supplementary material Table S1). Additionally, although circulation initiates normally in MO-injected embryos, angiograms reveal poor perfusion in intersegmental vessels (Fig. 5E,K) as well as significantly impaired axial circulation (supplementary material Table S1). At 3 dpf, intracranial hemorrhage is apparent in *reck*-deficient embryos, suggesting that vascular integrity is also impaired (Fig. 5F,L, supplementary material Table S1). These results demonstrate that, as in mouse, loss of *reck* is associated with disruption of vasculature development.

The requirement for *reck* in DRG development is cell autonomous

Previous work has demonstrated that Reck is a GPI-linked protein that inhibits metalloproteinases in cis, blocking activity in the cell in which it is expressed (Muraguchi et al., 2007). *reck* is expressed in both neural crest and the environment through which it migrates, including vascular precursors that themselves have defective development. Therefore, the failure of DRG to form in the absence of *reck* function could be attributed either to cell environment or to incompetence in the neural crest itself. To differentiate between these possibilities, we performed transplants between MO- and mock-injected embryos.

Donor cells were derived from rhodamine-labeled *Tg(neurog1:egfp)* embryos and transplanted into *nacre* (mitfa – Zebrafish Information Network) host embryos (Lister et al., 1999); the resulting transplants were then immunostained for Elavl1. This allowed us to identify all donor cells incorporated into the transplant (rhodamine), donor cells which differentiated into sensory neurons (GFP), and all differentiated neurons in the transplant (Elavl1). Because *nacre* embryos lack trunk melanophores, we were able to verify whether donor cells had become neural crest by the appearance of donor-derived melanophores. Analysis was restricted to those embryos in which melanophores developed.

When cells from mock-injected embryos are deposited into mock-injected hosts, they are able to differentiate into DRG sensory neurons (Fig. 6A,B, Table 2). However, when cells from MO-injected embryos are transplanted into mock-injected embryos, they never form DRG neurons, despite the fact that donor cells frequently generate neural crest (Fig. 6C,D). Conversely, when cells from mock-injected donors are transplanted into MO-injected hosts, they form DRG neurons readily but are unable to induce host cells to form DRG neurons (Fig. 6E,F). Therefore, we conclude that *reck* is required cell autonomously in migrating neural crest for normal DRG formation.

Altered neural crest migration after perturbation of *reck*

Prior work has established that suppression of *reck* function leads to hypermigratory behavior in several cell lines (Chang et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2003; Morioka et al., 2009; Yoshida et al., 2008); conversely, forced expression of *reck* reduces migration (Hsu et al., 2006; Kang et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2003; Morioka et al., 2009; Silveira Corrêa et al., 2010; Yoshida et al., 2008). We hypothesized that *reck* loss of function might cause the neural crest to migrate excessively, causing the DRG deficit observed in *sdp*. We first measured the dorsoventral migration of neural crest streams at 24...
hpf and found no significant difference in neural crest migration distance (supplementary material Fig. S6), suggesting that the overall extent of migration is not perturbed.

We next used time-lapse microscopy to search for more subtle differences in neural crest behavior at two different stages of development. We first imaged Tg(sox10:nls-eos) embryos between 18s and 30 hpf; this interval encompasses initiation of neural crest migration (Fig. 7A-F, supplementary material Movies 1, 2). Movies were analyzed as the first neural crest cell began to migrate ventrally. The position of each sox10+ cell was manually tracked and its velocity in the dorsoventral axis was measured. We also followed cell count, proliferation and apoptosis. During the initial migration of neural crest, we found cell velocity to be ~40% faster in reck-depleted neural crest cells (2.66±0.25 μm/10 minutes, n=40 cells versus 1.88±0.24 μm/10 minutes, n=54 cells; mean ± s.e.m., P=0.028). The overall number of cells, the rate of proliferation and the rate of apoptosis are unchanged over this interval (Fig. 7C-F).

To examine migratory behavior in differentiating neural crest cells, we imaged uninjected and reck MO-injected Tg(sox10:nls-eos)/Tg(neurod:tagrfp) embryos between 30 and 60 hpf; this interval includes the overt expression of the first neuronal markers in DRG sensory neurons (Fig. 7G-L, supplementary material Movies 3, 4). The position of each sox10+ cell was manually tracked, and velocity (Fig. 7J), cell count (Fig. 7I), proliferation (Fig. 7K) and apoptosis (Fig. 7L) were measured as above. At this stage, we found cell velocity of reck-depleted neural crest cells to be half that of control cells (0.54±0.03 μm/10 minutes, n=72 cells versus 1.14±0.14 μm/10 minutes, n=163 cells; mean ± s.e.m., P=0.005). The rate of proliferation and the overall number of cells are not statistically different following reck depletion. We observed a small but significantly greater apoptotic rate in reck-depleted neural crest cells compared with that in uninjected embryos, although still too infrequent to significantly change overall cell number.

To determine whether neural crest cells are capable of inhabiting the appropriate microenvironment for DRG formation following reck depletion, we assessed the dorsoventral position of each cell at each time point (Fig. 7M). DRG precursors were previously noted to perform a dorsalward migration to the position of the future ganglion prior to differentiation (Raible and Eisen, 1994). This dorsal migration corresponds to the initiation of neurog1 expression (McGraw et al., 2008). We found that by 55 hours, 68% of crest-derived sox10+ cells observed in uninjected embryos are situated in this microenvironment, compared with only 20% in MO-injected embryos (Fig. 7M). Therefore, in the absence of reck, neural crest cells exhibit aberrant migratory behavior and fail to inhabit the appropriate position for differentiation into DRG.

**DISCUSSION**

The developmental phenotypes observed in our reck alleles reflect, in part, those seen in a previously published mouse knockout model (Oh et al., 2001). reck is expressed in the zebrafish vasculature up to and including 48 hpf (data not shown). We observe no overall gross deficit in trunk vasculogenesis; however, the parachordal chain fails to form in reck-deficient embryos. Additionally, reck MO-injected embryos exhibit hypoperfusion and
cerebral hemorrhage, suggesting that vascular integrity is disrupted, concordant with the reported phenotypes in mouse. Zebrafish *reck* mutants might represent a model for studying the role of this inhibitor in vascular development, and additional phenotypes will be described elsewhere. Recently, other investigators uncovered a developmental interplay between motor neurons and the formation of the parachordal chain (Lim et al., 2011); further study of the relationship between RECK function, vasculogenesis and sensory neurogenesis might therefore be warranted. Nonetheless, expression and mosaic analysis suggest that *reck* acts within the neural crest population to regulate the formation of sensory neurons.

There are several possible explanations for what might happen in *sdp* mutants to the neural crest precursors normally fated to become DRG neurons. It is possible that cells fail to express *neurog1* and are re-specified. We previously found that in *neurog1* mutants sensory neuron precursors instead became glia by using the *neurog1:egfp* transgene to track their fates (McGraw et al., 2008). As *reck* acts upstream of the initiation of *neurog1* expression, we have no way of tracking these precursors in *reck* mutants. Although it is possible to sample the fates of individual zebrafish neural crest cells by single-cell injection, the cells that generate DRG neurons are only a small fraction of the trunk neural crest population. A possible change in fate would therefore be difficult to observe with statistical reliability. Although it would be of interest to track *reck*-expressing neural crest cells in vivo using reporter constructs, we have been unable to identify genomic elements that direct *reck* expression owing to the poor characterization of sequence surrounding its position at the end of chromosome 24.

An alternative possibility is that proliferation of DRG precursors is reduced following loss of *reck* function. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts have reduced proliferation following *Reck* depletion (Kitajima et al., 2010). However, we observed no significant difference in proliferation in *reck*-depleted neural crest cells. A third mechanism that might contribute to the *sdp* phenotype involves the death of DRG progenitors. In our time-lapse experiments, we observed a small increase in neural crest cell apoptosis during the interval of DRG neuron differentiation. However, apoptosis was undetectable in neural crest cells in either wild-type or mutant animals using histological techniques despite an abundance of nearby apoptotic cells, confirming that neural crest cell death is extremely rare (supplementary material Fig. S7, Table S2). Regardless, we do not view apoptosis of progenitors and inappropriate progenitor migration as being mutually exclusive mechanisms for DRG defects.

**Table 2. Mock-injected cells can form DRG in *reck* morphant embryos, but *reck* morphant cells cannot form DRG in mock-injected embryos**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Neural crest</th>
<th>Dorsal root ganglia</th>
<th>Hit rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mock</td>
<td>Mock</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphant</td>
<td>Mock</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mock</td>
<td>Morphant</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transplant conditions are presented along with the number of instances neural crest was targeted and the number of instances DRG were targeted. The hit rate is derived by dividing the latter number by the former. A Fisher’s exact test showed that DRG formation by donor cells was not equivalent across all conditions, $P=0.003$, Fisher’s exact test. Post-hoc analysis using Fisher’s exact test and adjusted $\alpha$ for repeated statistical tests ($\alpha_{adj}=0.0475$) revealed that the mock into mock condition exhibited more DRG targeting than the morphant into mock condition, the morphant into mock condition exhibited less DRG targeting than the mock into morphant condition, but the degree of DRG targeting in the mock into mock condition was not significantly different from that observed in the mock into morphant condition (mock>mock versus Mor>MO: $P=0.002$; mock>mock versus mock>MOR: $P=0.383$; Fisher’s exact test).
Fig. 7. Alterations in migration after rekk depletion. (A-F) Uninjected and MO-injected Tg(sox10:nls-eos) zebrafish embryos were imaged from 18 to 40 hpf. (A,B) Migration of rekk MO-injected neural crest is generally appropriate. Scale bar: 25 μm. (C) Number of cells is not altered after MO injection. (D) Average velocity. MO-injected cells are hypermigratory compared with uninjected cells [t(92)=2.26, P=2.84E-2]. (E) Division rate is not significantly altered following rekk depletion. (F) Apoptosis is a rare event and was not observed in any MO-injected embryo time-lapses (preventing statistical analysis). (G-L) To examine cell behavior during overt DRG neuronal differentiation, uninjected and MO-injected Tg(sox10:nls-eos)/Tg(neuroD:tagRFP) embryos were imaged in time-lapse from 30 to 60 hpf. (G) In uninjected embryos, a subset of the cells in the migratory stream condense just ventral to the spinal cord (bin of ±10 μm is indicated by the bracket), forming the prospective DRG (empty arrowhead). These cells will become either the initial sensory neuron or its associated satellite glia. Note that sox10+ oligodendrocytes (filled arrowheads) are visible in both conditions; they can be distinguished from neural crest by their position in the spinal cord, their spherical nuclei and their late initiation of sox10 expression. Scale bar: 25 μm. (H) Embryos injected with 1.5 ng rekk MO fail to form the DRG neuroglial cluster. Other neural crest cells take up positions consistent with Schwann cells or migrate out of the field entirely. (I) Number of cells tracked per time-lapse is not different in uninjected and MO-injected embryos. (J) Average velocity. MO-injected cells are hypomigratory compared with uninjected cells [t(233)=2.79, P=5.6E-3]. (K) Division rate is not significantly altered following rekk depletion. (L) MO-injected cells undergo apoptosis at a significantly greater rate than uninjected cells [t(11)=2.269, P=4.44E-2]. (M) Proportion of cells inhabiting the ±10 μm bin flanking the ventrally most extent of the spinal cord at each point in time (bracket in G,H). LLX, time point at which the lateral line crosses the imaged segment. Black, uninjected embryos; red, MO-injected embryos. Error bars are ±95% confidence interval. Significantly fewer cells localize at the ventral aspect of the spinal cord. Simple linear regression of control and MO-injected data indicates that the proportion of uninjected cells inhabiting the prospective DRG microenvironment increases over time whereas the proportion of MO-injected cells inhabiting this region decreases [F(1, 1858)=647.832, P<0.0001; control: y=2.76×10^-3 x + 0.178; MO-injected: y=–3.46×10^-4 x + 0.300].
reck was first identified in a large-scale screen for cDNAs capable of returning Ras-transformed cells to a flat morphology (Takahashi et al., 1998). Subsequent work has established Reck as a regulator of cell migration and invasion (Clark et al., 2007; Noda and Takahashi, 2007). Many cancers exhibit Reck downregulation via epigenetic modifications (Chang et al., 2004; Chang et al., 2006; Chang et al., 2007), transcriptional repression (Hsu et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2003; Sasahara et al., 1999), post-translational modifications (Simizu et al., 2005) or endogenous microRNAs (Gabriely et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010). In general, RECK expression is negatively correlated with tumor aggressiveness (Clark et al., 2011; Gabriely et al., 2008; Li et al., 2007; Masui et al., 2003; Rabien et al., 2007; Rabien et al., 2011; Song et al., 2006; Span et al., 2003; Takemoto et al., 2007; Takenaka et al., 2004; Takenaka et al., 2005; Takeuchi et al., 2004; van der Jagt et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2010); presumably in the absence of RECK, metalloproteinase hyperactivity enables greater tumor cell migration and invasion.

Like cancer cells, neural crest cells migrate through the extracellular environment to reach their final targets. One possible explanation for the DRG phenotype caused by result of reck loss of function is that neurogenic neural crest migrates inappropriately. We observed broad reck expression in neural crest cells at 22 hpf followed by restriction to a subset of neural crest cells by 30 hpf, suggesting that winnowing of reck expression might confer DRG progenitor identity as development progresses. We found that the requirement for reck is cell autonomous, a result that was somewhat surprising given the extensive expression of reck transcript in cells situated in the path of neural crest migration and the large increase in metalloproteinase activity in sdp embryos, suggesting that it is broadly active in tissues besides neural crest. Reck has been shown to inhibit metalloproteinases in cis (Muraguchi et al., 2007), suggesting that a potential metalloproteinase, the inhibition of which is crucial for normal DRG development, might be expressed within the neural crest itself, consistent with a cell-autonomous function. However, we cannot rescue the sdp defect by treating with several broad-spectrum inhibitors of metalloproteinases (supplementary material Fig. S9), although the efficacy of these inhibitors against specific zebrafish metalloproteinases is unknown. Whether any of the >50 zebrafish metalloproteinases interact with Reck awaits further analysis.

When viewed by time-lapse microscopy, reck-depleted cells exhibit changes in migratory behavior. Initially, we observed neural crest to be hypermigratory in reck MO-injected embryos, showing a small but significant increase in cell velocity. We note that we are unable to determine whether these differences reflect changes in behavior of all neural crest cells or only a subset. When viewed at later times, reck-depleted cells appear hypomigratory, in contrast to their earlier behavior. These results can be reconciled by a model in which reck-deficient cells initially show increased migration, as predicted from the cancer literature. In the absence of reck function, DRG precursors would then be re-specified (or die), leaving only sox10+ cells that will form satellite glia surrounding the DRG. However, dorsal migration occurs after initial expression of neurog1, and both the failure of dorsal movement to form DRG and subsequent accumulation of satellite cells might be caused indirectly by neurog1 absence in reck mutants. Whether the lack of dorsal movement at this later stage is due to altered Reck function or whether it is the consequence of failed specification will await further study.

An alternative possibility for the function of reck in DRG specification is that altered activity of metalloproteinases could affect signaling required for neuron specification (reviewed by Bai and Pfaff, 2011). In the mouse, Reck regulates cortical neurogenesis through Notch signaling (Muraguchi et al., 2007). When Reck is disrupted, hyperactive ADAM10 results in reduced Notch signaling and precocious neurogenesis with an ultimate decrease in neurons due to precursor depletion. Might a similar impairment be the mechanism by which sdp embryos fail to form DRG? Disruption in Notch signaling results in a decrease in DRG (Cornell and Eisen, 2000; Cornell and Eisen, 2002), but this phenotype is the result of prior depletion in all trunk neural crest with concomitant increase in Rohon-Béard sensory neurons. We observe no change in Rohon-Béard cells in mutant embryos (supplementary material Fig. S8) and normal crest formation and differentiation (supplementary material Fig. S1). Moreover, loss of zebrafish notch1a receptor causes an increase in DRG neurons (Gray et al., 2001), more consistent with the neurogenic phenotype expected with loss of Notch function. Does sdp instead behave as a mutation resulting in Notch gain of function? We are unable to rescue DRG formation by treating sdp embryos with the Notch inhibitor DAPT (Geling et al., 2002) although we see an increase in DRG neurons when treating wild-type animals (data not shown), consistent with the notch1a mutant phenotype. Alternatively, Reck might alter other metalloproteinases that affect signaling pathways needed for DRG differentiation.

A limited body of evidence provides clues as to how reck might fit into a genetic hierarchy controlling DRG development. erb2 and erb3b function have previously been shown to be essential to the formation of DRG neurons upstream of neurog1 expression; migrating neural crest in these mutants becomes progressively disorganized and DRG precursors apparently fail to pause and differentiate (Honjo et al., 2008). ErbB2 is known to regulate Reck expression via ERK signaling (Hsu et al., 2006), and the loss of DRG observed in both erb2 and reck mutants suggests that the two genes might act within a common signaling cascade to direct DRG formation. However, mutations affecting Erbb signaling also disrupt glial development (Lyons et al., 2005), suggesting that this pathway might affect DRG development at an earlier step involving the neuralglial precursor.

Taken together, our results suggest that Reck cell autonomously regulates the initial specification of DRG precursors upstream of neurog1. reck expression is restricted to a subset of neural crest, suggesting that these cells might represent DRG precursors. DRG fate choice might therefore, rely on regulation of reck expression. Understanding which metalloproteinases are inhibited by Reck during zebrafish neural crest development will be crucial for resolving the mechanisms underlying how it regulates neural crest cell fate.
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