Distinct functional domains of the Abelson tyrosine kinase control axon guidance responses to Netrin and Slit to regulate the assembly of neural circuits
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SUMMARY
To develop a functional nervous system, axons must initially navigate through a complex environment, directed by guidance ligands and receptors. These receptors must link to intracellular signaling cascades to direct axon pathfinding decisions. The Abelson tyrosine kinase (Abl) plays a crucial role in multiple *Drosophila* axon guidance pathways during development, though the mechanism by which Abl elicits a diverse set of guidance outputs is currently unknown. We identified Abl in a genetic screen for genes that contribute to Netrin-dependent axon guidance in midline-crossing (commissural) neurons. We find that Abl interacts both physically and genetically with the Netrin receptor Frazzled, and that disrupting this interaction prevents Abl from promoting midline axon crossing. Moreover, we find that Abl exerts its diverse activities through at least two different mechanisms: (1) a partly kinase-independent, structural function in midline attraction through its C-terminal F-actin binding domain (FABD) and (2) a kinase-dependent inhibition of repulsive guidance pathways that does not require the Abl C terminus. Abl also regulates motor axon pathfinding through a non-overlapping set of functional domains. These results highlight how a multifunctional kinase can trigger diverse axon guidance outcomes through the use of distinct structural motifs.
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INTRODUCTION
During nervous system development, embryonic axons navigate through a complex environment to initiate the establishment of neural circuits. This process is accomplished by the growth cone, a motile structure at the growing end of axons. Growth cones respond to attractant and repellant cues in the environment through transmembrane guidance receptors. To alter growth cone motility, guidance receptors must signal to the underlying growth cone cytoskeleton. Thus, to understand how guidance receptors direct axon pathfinding, it is essential to identify downstream signaling molecules that allow these receptors to communicate with elements of the cytoskeleton. The bifunctional guidance cue Netrin and its attractive receptor Frazzled (Fra; also known as DCC) comprise one conserved pathway that controls axon navigation *in vivo*. Netrin is necessary for axon guidance in several neural cell types, but is particularly well-studied in the context of midline axon guidance of commissural neurons (Lai Wing Sun et al., 2011). Much of our understanding of Netrin signal transduction arises from *in vitro* studies of isolated neurons, which has led to the identification of multiple signaling pathways downstream of Netrin (Round and Stein, 2007). However, these *in vitro* findings have yet to be corroborated *in vivo*.

To identify Netrin signaling mechanisms *in vivo*, we sought to identify genes that contribute to midline axon crossing in the *Drosophila* embryonic CNS, a context in which Netrin signaling, through its Fra receptor, is essential (Kołodziej et al., 1996; Mitchell et al., 1996). In a genetic screen to identify genes that interact with the Fra pathway, we identified the cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase Abelson (Abl) as a regulator of Netrin-dependent midline axon crossing in commissural neurons. Abl plays a complex role in *Drosophila* axon guidance, functioning in attractive (Elkins et al., 1990; Forsthoefel et al., 2005; Gertler et al., 1989; Liebl et al., 2000), repulsive (Bashaw et al., 2000; Hsouna et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004; Wills et al., 2002) and adhesive (Crowner et al., 2003; Wills et al., 1999a; Wills et al., 1999b) guidance pathways. In commissural neurons, Abl promotes midline axon crossing and interacts genetically with both *Netrin* and *fra* and can bind to the cytoplasmic domain of Fra (Forsthoefel et al., 2005). It is unclear from this work whether Abl functions in the Netrin pathway in commissural neurons to promote midline axon crossing or, alternatively, in a parallel pathway. In midline ipsilateral axons, Abl both promotes and inhibits Slit- and Robo-dependent midline repulsion, though the mechanism by which these apparently paradoxical functions occur is currently unknown (Bashaw et al., 2000; Hsouna et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004; Wills et al., 2002).

We show here that Abl interacts both physically and genetically with Fra and, based on molecular genetic approaches, we suggest that it acts cell-autonomously to promote Netrin-dependent midline axon crossing through its C-terminal F-actin binding domain (FABD). We also find that the function of Abl in promoting midline axon crossing is partly kinase independent, in contrast to its role in repulsive guidance pathways. These findings shed light on how a single protein can signal diverse axon guidance responses through the use of different structural motifs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Abl-GFP molecular biology**
All transgenic constructs were cloned into a pUAST vector containing 10xUAS and an attB site for PhiC31-mediated targeted insertion (p10UAST-attB). All Abl constructs were cloned from pUAS-AblGFP (Fox and Peifer, 2007) in frame to a C-terminal GFP epitope with the following linker sequence upstream of GFP: GGACTAGTGATTGGAGCT. All Abl
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constructs contain the following sequence upstream of the start codon (underlined): CACCGGGCGGCTGGAUTATG. All Abl-GFP constructs were cloned into p10UAST-attB as Norx/IXb1 fragments. AblGFP (amino acids 1-644 of Abl) was generated by serial overlap extension PCR. AblGFP (amino acids 645-1638) was generated as a PCR fragment by placing ATG upstream of codon 645 of Abl. Abl point mutations were generated by PCR mutagenesis: AblK417N, AblW243K, AblR297K. See supplementary material Table S2 for primer sequences. AblAFABD (AA 1499-1638 deleted) was generated by PCR mutagenesis. Fra-Myc (Garbe and Bashaw, 2007) and Robo-Myc (Bashaw and Goodman, 1999) were described previously. Fra-YF was generated by step-wise PCR mutagenesis of individual or multiple sites in close proximity. Mutated tyrosine residues are Y1113, Y1170, Y1189, Y1193, Y1207, Y1212, Y1247, Y1250 and Y1313 (O’Donnell and Bashaw, 2013). For p10UAST-attB-NetB-Myc, a myc-tagged NetB cDNA was amplified from genomic DNA of transgenic flies (Mitchell et al., 1996). This ampiclon was cloned into p10UST-attB using EcoR1/Xba1 sites. All constructs were fully sequenced. Transgenic flies were generated by Best Gene (Chino Hills, CA, USA).

Genetics
The following alleles were used in this study: fra1, fra3, fra5, fraPGRSCR1, NetAβA, slt2, Aβ1, Aβ1, Aβ1, egM260 (eg-Gal4), apGal4, exexGal4 (H9b-Gal4). The following transgenes were used: (1) P(UAS-AblK417N2 (Wills et al., 1999b), (2) P(UAS-Abl-GFP)86Fb, (3) P(UAS-AblSN-GFP)86Fb, (4) P(UAS-Abl2-GFP)86Fb, (5) P(UAS-Abl-2GFP)86Fb, (6) P(UAS-Abl2GFP), (7) P(UAS-Abl2W243K-GFP), (8) P(UAS-Abl2R297K-GFP), (9) P(UAS-TauMycGFP), (10) P(GAL4-elav.L3), (11) P(UAS-Fra-Myc), (12) P(UAS-Fra6-Myc), a myc-tagged NetB cDNA was amplified from genomic DNA of transgenic flies (Mitchell et al., 1996). This ampiclon was cloned into p10UST-attB using EcoR1/Xba1 sites. All constructs were fully sequenced. Transgenic flies were generated by Best Gene (Chino Hills, CA, USA).

Immunostaining and imaging
Dechorionated, formaldehyde-fixed, methanol-devitellinized embryos were fluorescently stained using standard methods (Kidd et al., 1998). The following antibodies were used in this study: mouse mAb BP102 [Developmental Studies Hybionda Bank (DSHB); 1:100], mouse anti-Fasciclin-II/βmAb 1D4 (DSHB; 1:100), rabbit anti-GFP (Invitrogen #A11008; 1:500). Embryos were mounted in 70% glycerol in PBS.

RESULTS

Abl mutations enhance frazzled loss of function in commissural axon pathfinding
To identify genes involved in commissural axon guidance, we performed a genetic screen in Drosophila embryos in which midline Netrin signaling is reduced. We reduced Netrin signaling through expression of a dominant-negative Fra receptor (DN-Fra) (Garbe et al., 2007) in a population of commissural neurons that are eagle-Gal4-positive. We have seen that in this genetic background, heterozygous mutations in genes that normally promote midline axon crossing exacerbate crossing defects in a subset these eagle-Gal4-positive neurons, the EW neurons (Yang et al., 2009). We employed this sensitized background to screen heterozygous deletions on the Drosophila third chromosome. From this screen, we identified Abl as a dominant enhancer of midline crossing defects (supplementary material Fig. S1, Table S1). We found that Abl mutations also dominantly enhance midline-crossing defects in EW neurons when endogenous fra function is reduced using a hypomorphic allelic combination (fraPGRSCR1). We observed this enhancement using multiple Abl loss-of-function alleles, suggesting that Abl is the gene contributing to midline crossing in this background (Fig. 1A, B). We observed this enhancement using multiple Abl loss-of-function alleles, suggesting that Abl is the gene contributing to midline crossing in this background (Fig. 1B). We observed this enhancement using multiple Abl loss-of-function alleles, suggesting that Abl is the gene contributing to midline crossing in this background (Fig. 1B).
proposed dual role of Abl in Slit- and Robo-dependent midline repulsion (Bashaw et al., 2000; Hsouna and VanBerkum, 2008; Hsouna et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004; Wills et al., 2002). However, this interpretation is complicated owing to a substantial maternal contribution of Abl mRNA, which may compensate for zygotic loss of Abl in the embryonic CNS (Bennett and Hoffmann, 1992; Grevengoed et al., 2001). Thus, a role for Abl in the Netrin/Fra pathway has not been clearly demonstrated in commissural neurons.

To determine whether the dominant interactions we observe in fra hypomorphs reflect a role for Abl in Netrin signaling, we performed the same manipulations in Netrin null mutants (Brankatschk and Dickson, 2006). We reasoned that if Abl functions in the Netrin/Fra pathway, Abl mutations would not enhance NetAB null mutants (in contrast to fra hypomorphs) because Netrin signaling is eliminated in this background. Indeed, we observe that Abl mutations do not dominantly enhance EW crossing defects in NetAB mutants (Fig. 1C). Similarly, when Abl mutations are introduced into fra null mutants, we do not observe enhancement of EW crossing defects, suggesting that the dominant interactions we observe in fra hypomorphs are likely to be due to a role in the Netrin/Frazzled pathway in these neurons (Fig. 1C).

**Abl promotes EW commissural axon crossing cell-autonomously**

To date, all of the identified axon guidance functions of Abl in Drosophila have been attributed to its kinase activity. Though a kinase-independent role for Abl has been identified in Drosophila, the nature of this function is unknown (Henkemeyer et al., 1990). Additionally, as rescue experiments have not been performed in commissural neurons, an autonomous role in neurons for the pro-midline crossing function of Abl has not been demonstrated. To address these issues, we constructed transgenic flies that express wild-type (AblWT) or kinase-inactive (Abl KN) Abl (Henkemeyer et al., 1990) fused to GFP under the control of Gal4.

We sought to rescue EW axon midline crossing defects cell-autonomously in embryos in which Abl function is reduced. For technical reasons, we have been unable to analyze EW pathfinding in fra mutants expressing AblWT (supplementary material Fig. S2C).
Therefore, we expressed Abl\(^{KN}\) in EW neurons using \textit{eg}\textendash Ga4. Interestingly, we find that expression of Abl\(^{KN}\) in \textit{fra}\textsuperscript{hypo} ; Abl\(^+\) embryos rescues the portion of EW midline-crossing defects that is presumably due to loss of \textit{Abl} function (Fig. 2A). These data suggest that Abl might perform a kinase-independent function in commissural neurons to promote midline axon crossing.

Our observations in \textit{Abl} heterozygous mutants do not preclude a role for Abl kinase activity in midline axon attraction. It is possible that in these experiments, kinase-dead Abl could cooperate with endogenous Abl in some way to promote midline axon crossing. To address whether Abl kinase function is required for midline axon attraction, we performed similar rescue experiments in \textit{Abl} homozygous mutants expressing DN-Fra (Fig. 2B; supplementary material Fig. S3). In this genetic background, expression of Abl\(^{WT}\) rescues EW midline-crossing defects. However, in contrast to what we see in \textit{Abl} heterozygous embryos, Abl\(^{KN}\) does not rescue midline-crossing defects in these embryos, suggesting that endogenous Abl is required for kinase-dead Abl to signal. Together, these observations indicate a basal requirement for Abl kinase activity to promote commissural axon crossing; however, Abl might have additional functions that are revealed when Abl activity is only partly limited.

**Abl physically interacts with Frazzled through its N-terminal SH2 motif**

It has been shown previously that Abl can physically interact with Fra, but the functional consequences of this interaction are not known (Forsthoefel et al., 2005). To determine how Abl functions in commissural guidance, we sought to determine the structural motifs in Abl that regulate this interaction. When expressed in \textit{Drosophila} S2R\(^+\) cells, co-immunoprecipitation shows that Abl-GFP interacts with full length Fra-Myc. This interaction maps to the N terminus of Abl, which contains the SH3, SH2 and kinase domains (Fig. 3A-D,E).

To identify the domains that are required for this interaction, we mutated conserved residues in these motifs that are known to facilitate substrate binding (Fig. 3E). For the SH3 domain, we mutated the conserved Trp243 to lysine (Abl\(^{W243K}\)GFP), which is predicted to eliminate binding to proline-rich ligands (Musacchio et al., 1994; Yu et al., 1994). For the Abl SH2 motif, we changed the conserved Arg297 to lysine (Abl\(^{R297K}\)GFP) to eliminate phosphotyrosine binding (Mayer et al., 1992; Waksman et al., 1992; Waksman et al., 1993; Zhu et al., 1993). We also tested whether Abl kinase activity is necessary for Fra interaction using the kinase-inactive Abl\(^{K417N}\)GFP mutant. Through co-immunoprecipitation, we find that only the SH2 domain mutant, Abl\(^{R297K}\)GFP, is deficient in Fra binding, suggesting that the physical interaction could be mediated by a phosphorylated tyrosine (Fig. 3B,D). To determine whether this interaction occurs through tyrosine residue in the cytoplasmic domain of Fra, we tested for binding with a Fra receptor in which all cytoplasmic tyrosines are mutated to phenylalanine (Fra9YF) (O’Donnell and Bashaw, 2013). We find that Abl can still bind to this mutant Fra receptor (Fig. 3C,D). This result suggests that if binding occurs through phosphorylated tyrosines, this interaction could be indirect. However, owing to the variability in these assays, we cannot exclude the possibility that Fra9YF binds to

---

**Fig. 3. Abl binds to Fra through its N-terminal SH2 motif.** (A-C) Co-immunoprecipitation of GFP-Abl fusions expressed in S2R\(^+\) cells with anti-Myc antibodies. Western blots representative of at least three independent experiments are shown. Mouse-anti GFP and Mouse anti-Myc (indicated on the right) were used. Constructs are indicated at the top. (A) Abl-FL and Abl-N, but not Abl-C, bind to Fra (compare lanes 2 and 3 to lane 4). Positive control (lane 5) shows that Abl binds Robo-Myc. (B) Abl\(^{R297K}\)GFP does not bind to Fra (lane 5). (C) Tyrosine phosphorylation of Fra is not required for the Abl-Fra interaction, as Fra-9YF pulls down Abl-GFP (lane 3). (D) Quantification of co-immunoprecipitation experiments. Shown are the ratio of immunoprecipitated Abl-GFP to input, normalized to the control (Abl-GFP alone). Number of experiments used for quantification are shown in parentheses. **P<0.01. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (E) Schematic depicting Abl indicating relevant features. Mutations and truncations used in this figure are labeled.
Abl with lower affinity than wild-type Fra. Nonetheless, Abl binds to Frazzled through its SH2 motif, probably involving an indirect, phosphotyrosine-dependent interaction.

The Abl C-terminal FABD is necessary for Netrin-dependent commissural axon guidance

In vertebrates, the Abl C terminus contains multiple cytoskeletal interaction domains, which mediate actin binding, bundling and actin-microtubule crosslinking (Bradley and Koleske, 2009; Van Etten et al., 1994; Wang et al., 2001). As we have seen evidence for a partially kinase-independent function of Drosophila Abl, we hypothesize that this activity might be conferred by its C terminus, which has been shown to contain cytoskeletal interaction motifs in vertebrates (Lapetina et al., 2009; Miller et al., 2004). Our observation that Abl binds to Fra through its N terminus allows us to test whether C-terminal sequences are also required for Netrin-dependent responses. If the Abl-Fra physical interaction is involved in Netrin signaling, we predict that expression of the Abl-N terminus (AblN, Fig. 4G) would compete with endogenous Abl for Fra binding. If C-terminal sequences are necessary for the function of Abl in midline axon crossing, then we would expect this truncation to interfere with Netrin-dependent responses.

To address whether AblN can interfere with commissural axon guidance, we expressed this construct in the EW neurons of Abl2 mutant embryos, which have few commissural defects (Fig. 4D). As Abl mRNA is supplied maternally, the relatively mild defects in zygotic Abl mutants are likely to result from maternal compensation (Bennett and Hoffmann, 1992; Grevengoed et al., 2001). When AblN is expressed in these embryos, EW defects are dramatically increased (Fig. 4C,D), suggesting that AblN might interfere with residual maternal Abl in EW neurons. Importantly, AblWT has no effect in this context (Fig. 4B,D). These results suggest that removal of Abl C-terminal sequence interferes with Netrin- and Fra-dependent commissural axon guidance.

We have seen that AblWT can rescue midline-crossing defects in Abl2 mutants when Fra function is partially inhibited (Fig. 2B). AblN, however, does not rescue EW midline-crossing defects in these embryos (Fig. 4E), consistent with our results in gain-of-function experiments. To determine whether interaction with Fra is necessary for the pro-crossing function of Abl in EW neurons, we generated transgenic flies expressing the Abl SH2 mutant AblRK, which is deficient in Fra binding. We find that unlike AblWT, AblRK fails to rescue EW midline-crossing defects in Abl2 mutants, which suggests that the Abl-Fra physical interaction might be important for midline axon attraction (Fig. 4E). Though these constructs are expressed at similar levels in EW neurons (supplementary material Fig. S4), we cannot rule out the possibility that mutation of the Abl SH2 motif interferes with other Abl functions in this context.

Fig. 4. The Abl FABD and SH2 motifs are necessary for commissural axon guidance. (A-C) Stage 16 Abl2 mutant embryos expressing the indicated Abl-GFP fusions in EW neurons using eg-Gal4. Four segments are shown. Anterior is up. (A) AblKNGFP has no effect in these embryos. (B) AblWTGFP expression has no effect. (C) AblNGFP interferes with midline crossing of EW neurons (arrow). (D) Quantification of EW crossing defects in Abl2 mutants expressing the indicated Abl constructs. AblN, unlike AblWT, interferes with EW midline axon crossing. (E) Quantification of EW axon crossing defects in Abl2 mutant embryos expressing DNFra along with the indicated constructs. AblWT rescues midline crossing defects though AblRK and AblΔF ABD do not. Data from control and AblWT rescue are also presented in Fig. 2B. For D and E, error bars indicate s.e.m.; number of segments scored is shown in parentheses; *P<0.05. n.s., not significant. (F) Multiple sequence alignment of the predicted FABD of Mus musculus (Mm) Abl1 and Arg (Abl2) along with that of Drosophila melanogaster (Dm) Abl using ClustalW. Identical residues are shaded in dark blue, similar residues are shaded in light blue. Similarity plot and consensus sequence are shown below. Amino acids 1499-1638 are shown for D. melanogaster Abl.
The Drosophila Abl C terminus contains a highly conserved FABD (Fig. 4F), which could potentially serve as a physical link between Fra and the cytoskeleton. To address the role of the Abl FABD in axon guidance, we generated transgenic flies expressing Abl:GFP bearing a deletion of the FABD, Abl$^{\Delta\text{FABD}}$, under Gal4-dependent control. When driven in EW neurons, Abl$^{\Delta\text{FABD}}$ is expressed at levels indistinguishable from those of Abl$^{\text{WT}}$ and appears to be properly localized to axons (supplementary material Fig. S4). To determine whether the Abl FABD is important for commissural axon attraction, we expressed Abl$^{\Delta\text{FABD}}$ in EW neurons of Abl$^2$ mutant embryos. Abl$^{\Delta\text{FABD}}$ fails to rescue EW midline-crossing defects in these embryos (Fig. 4E), suggesting that the Abl FABD is essential for midline guidance of EW neurons. Taken together, these results suggest that Abl associates with the Fra receptor through its SH2 domain and then, through its C-terminal FABD, promotes Netrin-dependent commissural axon guidance.

**Abl promotes motor axon pathfinding through its C terminus**

A well-characterized function of Abl in Drosophila is to promote growth of embryonic motor axons (Wills et al., 1999b). This function is evident in RP motoneurons of the intersegmental nerve (ISNb), which normally innervate ventral body wall muscles 6, 7, 12 and 13 (Fig. 5A,F) (Sink and Whitington, 1991). In Abl mutants, these axons frequently stall prior to reaching their muscle targets (Fig. 5B,F). These defects are presumably due to a reduction in axon growth but could also reflect aberrant pathfinding in response to an unidentified guidance pathway. We therefore refer to these defects as axon stalling. To determine how Abl promotes motor axon pathfinding, we rescued ISNb motor axon defects in Abl mutants by restoring Abl expression in RP motoneurons using Hb9Gal4. When Abl$^{\text{WTGFP}}$ is expressed in Abl$^2$ mutants, this stalling phenotype is rescued (Fig. 5C,G). However, when Abl$^{\text{NGFP}}$ is expressed, ISNb stalling phenotypes are exacerbated rather than rescued (Fig. 5D,G). This suggests that, like in commissural neurons, the C-terminal domain of Abl is necessary for axon growth in motoneurons. We hypothesized that Abl might regulate motor axon pathfinding in the same way that it does in commissural neurons, namely through its FABD and SH2 motif. However, Abl$^{\Delta\text{FABDGFP}}$ fully rescues motor axon stalling, in contrast to Abl$^{\text{NGFP}}$ (Fig. 5E,G), suggesting that the Abl FABD is dispensable for RP motor axon pathfinding and that additional, unidentified C-terminal motifs are likely to be required. Furthermore, Abl$^{\text{RKGFP}}$ rescues motor axon stalling in Abl$^2$ mutants, which also distinguishes its role in motor axon pathfinding from that of commissural neurons (Fig. 5G).

The Abl C terminus is dispensable for inhibition of Slit/Robo signaling

Based on our results in commissural and motoneurons, we wondered if there are contexts in which Abl functions through entirely different mechanisms. In addition to promoting axon growth and commissural axon crossing, Abl plays a complex role in...
other midline and motor axon guidance pathways. Depending on the context, Abl can either promote or inhibit Slit- and Robo-dependent midline repulsive signaling (Bashaw et al., 2000; Hsouna et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004; Wills et al., 2002). Abl also antagonizes the receptor-protein tyrosine phosphatase Lar, which is required for RP axon defasciculation towards ventral muscles (Wills et al., 1999a). A common mechanism by which Abl inhibits both Robo and Lar is through antagonism of enabled (ena). To address whether, as in commissural neurons, the C-terminal elements of Abl are necessary for these functions, we expressed Abl in neurons that normally require Slit/Robo signaling or Lar signaling.

In slit/+ embryos, the normally ipsilateral-projecting aporterous neurons show occasional defects (Fig. 6A,D). These ectopic midline-crossing defects occur as a result of a reduction in Slit- and Robo-dependent repulsion. When AblWTGFP is expressed in these neurons using apGal4, these defects are enhanced, suggesting that Abl can antagonize Slit/Robo signaling in these neurons (Fig. 6B,D). We then investigated whether the Abl C terminus is required for this activity. Strikingly, AblNGFP causes a similarly penetrant ectopic crossing phenotype in these embryos (Fig. 6C,D). Thus, the Abl C terminus is dispensable for the ability of Abl to inhibit Slit/Robo signaling and induce ectopic-ap axon midline crossing. Interestingly, however, neither AblRKGFP nor AblΔFABDGFP can increase ectopic crossing in this background, suggesting that these constructs are either not active in these neurons or are preferentially targeted to a different pathway (Fig. 6D).

To address whether Abl acts through a similar mechanism in motor axon defasciculation, we expressed Abl-GFP fusions pan-neurally using elav-Gal4. AblWTGFP expression results in an almost fully penetrant bypass phenotype (Fig. 6F). This bypass phenotype occurs when ISNb axons fail to defasciculate, and instead continue to grow dorsally past their muscle targets. When we express AblWTGFP or AblΔFABDGFP, this results in a partially penetrant bypass phenotype, suggesting that the Abl N terminus is sufficient for this activity, although to a lesser degree than full-length Abl (Fig. 6G; data not shown). We also observe occasional bypass events when AblWTGFP is expressed in Abl2 mutants using Hb9-Gal4, a phenotype never observed in Abl mutants, suggesting that this effect is not likely to require normal levels of endogenous Abl.

It has been shown previously that Abl kinase activity is required for ISNb bypass and, consistently, AblRKGFP has no effect in this assay (Wills et al., 1999a). Thus, Abl, through its N-terminal kinase activity, can inhibit Slit/Robo signaling in midline neurons and inhibit defasciculation in motor axons. These activities, in contrast to its role in commissural guidance and RP motor axon growth, do not strictly require its C-terminal cytoskeletal interaction motifs.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work, we have shown that Abl contributes to midline axon crossing in *Drosophila* commissural neurons, through a mechanism that relies on the Abl C-terminal FABD and that is partially kinase independent. The genetic and physical interactions between Abl and...
Abl in Slit and Netrin signaling

REGULATION OF MIDLINE AXON CROSSING BY ABL IN COMMISURAL AND MOTOR AXON PATHFINDING

Fra we have observed, as well as the rescue and gain-of-function experiments, suggest that Abl functions in the Netrin pathway to promote EW neuron midline crossing through interaction with the cytoplasmic domain of Fra. Both commissural neurons and motorneurons require the Abl C terminus for axon growth and pathfinding, though probably through different mechanisms. We also show that some of the functions of Abl, namely inhibition of Slit- and Robo-dependent repulsion and inhibition of motor axon defasciculation occur through a distinct, strictly kinase-dependent mechanism that does not require the Abl C terminus. Here, we discuss these findings in light of our current knowledge of the cell biological functions of Abl and we speculate about the mechanism by which Abl, through its C terminus, promotes axon growth and pathfinding.

We have shown that Abl interacts genetically and physically with the Netrin receptor Frazzled. The genetic interactions we have observed are consistent with earlier work suggesting that Abl promotes commissural axon guidance and physically interacts with Fra (Forsthoefel et al., 2005). Based on earlier work, it has been unclear whether the pro-crossing function of Abl reflects a role in Netrin signal transduction in commissural neurons. Forsthoefel and colleagues observed enhancement of both NetAB and frazzled mutants in Abl heterozygotes by analyzing all commissural neurons using mAb BP102, suggesting that Abl might function in parallel to Netrin to promote midline crossing. However, not all Drosophila commissural neurons require Netrin function for midline axon crossing (Mitchell et al., 1996); thus, a more severe commissural phenotype in NetAB, Abl/+ mutants could reflect Abl function in Netrin-independent commissural neurons. Here, we have analyzed the contribution of Abl to commissural guidance in EW neurons, which require Netrin signaling and in which Frazzled functions autonomously to direct midline axon crossing (Brankatschk and Dickson, 2006; Garbe et al., 2007). We find that in EW neurons, in contrast to earlier observations, reduction in Abl gene dose leads to increased EW midline-crossing defects only in hypomorphic fra allelic combinations, not in NetAB or fra null mutants. These results are consistent with Abl functioning in the Netrin pathway in commissural neurons.

How does Abl promote Netrin-dependent commissural axon guidance? Though we have replicated the findings of Forsthoefel and colleagues in demonstrating that Abl binds to Fra, our results suggest that in the context of Drosophila cells, this interaction might be indirect. Because we have seen that Abl requires a functional SH2 motif to interact with Fra when expressed in S2R+ cells, and that the cytoplasmic tyrosines of Fra are largely dispensable for binding, this physical interaction is likely to involve an intermediate, phosphotyrosine-containing protein. This hypothetical protein could theoretically be a direct target for Abl kinase activity, or might be regulated by a different kinase. Currently, we cannot distinguish between these two possibilities. AblKN appears to bind Fra in our assays, though in this case endogenous Abl kinase activity might be sufficient to promote this interaction. We hypothesize that this physical interaction is necessary for Netrin-dependent midline axon attraction and, consistent with this idea, we have seen that the Abl SH2 mutant AblRK cannot replace Abl function in EW commissural neurons.

Our results suggest that once Abl binds to Fra, the C-terminal FABD of Abl is necessary to promote midline axon crossing. Expression of the Abl N terminus, which can bind to Fra, does not rescue midline-crossing defects in Abl mutants and, instead, appears to act as a dominant-negative when expressed in EW neurons. We speculate that this occurs by occluding the interaction between Fra and endogenous Abl, though we cannot rule out the possibility that AblN has neomorphic activity in this context. Multiple functions have been attributed to the C terminus of Abl family members in vertebrates, including F- and G-actin binding, F-actin bundling, microtubule binding, actin-microtubule cross-linking, DNA binding, and scaffolding functions (Bradley and Koleske, 2009). It is unclear which, if any, of these activities are retained in the Drosophila kinase, though primary sequence homology suggests that the F-actin-binding activity is likely to be conserved. Our results suggest that this FABD is necessary for the ability of Abl to promote midline axon crossing in EW neurons. It is tempting to speculate that Abl, through its C-terminal FABD, could link Fra to actin filaments and promote the assembly of a complex to regulate cytoskeletal motility. Alternatively, the Abl FABD could be used to regulate kinase activity in certain contexts. Evidence for this possibility comes from work in mammalian Abl kinase. F-actin can inhibit Abl kinase activity directly, and the Abl FABD is necessary for this modulation (Woodring et al., 2001). Perhaps when bound to Fra and in the proximity of actin filaments, attenuation of Abl kinase activity through interaction with F-actin might be necessary for appropriate signal transduction. Further work is necessary to distinguish these possibilities.

Although the Abl FABD is crucial for commissural axon pathfinding, additional C-terminal motifs are clearly required to promote motor axon growth and targeting. When the Abl FABD is deleted, growth cone localization is altered. Qualitatively, this construct appears to be expressed at lower levels and cannot often be seen in filopodia of motor axons (supplementary material Fig. S4J). Despite this altered localization, AblAFABD-GFP fully rescues motor axon defects in RP neurons. This result is dramatically different to what we observe after deletion of the entire C terminus, suggesting that different elements in the C terminus are necessary for axon pathfinding in RP motoneurons. Additionally, AblAFABD-GFP is not as potent an inhibitor of EW axon crossing as AblN-GFP (data not shown). Thus additional C-terminal motifs might play a role in both commissural and motor axon guidance. These elements could be additional cytoskeletal interaction motifs, or alternatively scaffolding domains, a possibility we discuss here.

There are several proline-rich regions in the Drosophila Abl C terminus, which in vertebrate Abl family members allow for interaction with proteins involved in Abl-dependent cell protrusions. For M. musculus Arg, these include the Arp2/3 regulator cortactin (Lapetina et al., 2009), and for Abl1, these include the scaffolding protein Nck and the Rac activator CrkII (Antoku et al., 2008; Ren et al., 1994). It is interesting to note that Nck has been shown to interact with DCC in a complex that includes Wasp (N-Wasp) and is necessary for Netrin-dependent Rac activation (Li et al., 2002; Shekarabi et al., 2005). It is presently unclear whether the Drosophila ortholog of Nck, Dreadlocks (Dock), performs a similar function. The Arg C terminus interacts with the cortactin SH3 domain through a PXXP motif (Lapetina et al., 2009). Cortactin would also be a good candidate to mediate some of the additional C-terminal functions of Abl, and this would be straightforward to test in Drosophila commissural and motorneurons. Abl might simply link Fra (or other receptors) to the cytoskeleton, or it could act as a scaffold for the assembly of cytoskeletal regulators, such as cortactin, during guidance receptor signaling or axon growth. Molecular genetic approaches in commissural neurons, as well as the identification of cytoskeletal interaction motifs in the Drosophila kinase should help to elucidate the role of the Abl C terminus in commissural and motor axon guidance.

Our results in commissural neurons suggest that Abl might serve a partly kinase-independent function to promote midline axon
crossing, as we have seen in Abl heterozygous mutant embryos. However, a kinase-inactive Abl cannot functionally compensate for wild-type Abl in embryos lacking all zygotic Abl function, suggesting that Abl kinase activity is not absolutely dispensable for the pro-crossing function of Abl. Similarly, it is important to consider that endogenous Abl gene dose might also affect the activity of other mutant Abl transgenes we have tested here. Kinase-independent functions of Abl have been reported previously (Henkemeyer et al., 1990; Lapetina et al., 2009). Although these results could potentially reflect multimerization activity of Abl kinase, to our knowledge there is no direct evidence for an intrinsic dimerization activity of Abl kinase. Rather, our results are reminiscent of what has been seen in mammalian cells lacking the Abl family member Arg (Abl2). In fibroblasts, for example, the Arg C terminus, through interaction with cortactin and Nck1, promotes adhesion-dependent protrusions. In arg null cells, expression of the Arg C terminus (lacking the kinase domain) or a kinase-inactive Arg can rescue adhesion-dependent protrusive activity, but only in conditions in which some Abl kinase activity remains, provided by Abl1. A kinase-inactive Arg or a C-terminal fragment can rescue protrusion defects in Arg−/− cells, but not in Arg−/−:Abl−/− double knockout cells. In Arg−/− cells, Abl, acting in trans, can substitute for Arg kinase activity. Thus, when Abl function is reduced below a threshold level, these kinase-independent functions can no longer be observed. Our results are consistent with these reports and the model for stepwise scaffolding and kinase functions of Abl in cell motility (Lapetina et al., 2009) and suggest that Abl might serve a similar function in Drosophila commissural neurons to promote Netrin-dependent axon attraction.

We have previously shown that Fra also promotes commissural axon guidance through Netrin-independent inhibition of the Slit/Robo pathway by increasing the expression of the Robo inhibitor commissureenless (Yang et al., 2009). It is conceivable that AblKKn promotes midline crossing through inhibition of the Robo pathway, as has been suggested before (Hsouna et al., 2003), which could in principle explain our observations in EW neurons. Two of our observations suggest that this is not likely to be the case. First, when AblWT is expressed in ap neurons, this results in ectopic midline axon crossing, presumably through inhibition of Robo signaling. AblKKn does not induce this phenotype, suggesting that Abl kinase activity is necessary for inhibition of Slit/Robo signaling, consistent with earlier work (Bashaw et al., 2000). Second, heterozygosity of Abl results in enhancement of EW midline-crossing defects in fra hypomorphic embryos, but not in NetAB or fra null mutants, suggesting that this genetic interaction is not likely to occur through an effect on the Slit/Robo pathway. We suggest, based on these observations, that Abl probably promotes Netrin-dependent commissural axon guidance through a mechanism that is partly kinase independent.

Finally, we show here that some of the functions of Abl do not depend on the C-terminal domain, arguing that Abl must affect guidance pathways through different mechanisms. We have seen that, similar to full-length Abl, AblKKn can inhibit Slit- and Robo-dependent repulsion in ipsilaterally projecting apterous neurons, and can prevent defasciculation in motor axons. We speculate that, given that these two processes require the activity of the Abl substrate ena and that Abl kinase activity is necessary for this gain-of-function effect, Abl acts to inhibit ena function in repulsion and defasciculation through its N-terminal kinase activity (Bashaw et al., 2000; Wills et al., 1999a).

Perhaps the most peculiar result of our study is the failure of AblNAPABDGFP to induce ectopic ap axon crossing whereas AblNAPABDGFP appears to be almost as effective as WT-Abl. One possibility is that AblNAPABDGFP is simply unstable and is not expressed at sufficient levels in our experiments. Several observations argue against this interpretation. First, AblNAPABDGFP is expressed at similar levels as AblWTGFP in commissural neurons. Second, and more importantly, AblNAPABDGFP fully rescues ISNb guidance defects when expressed in RP neurons. Given these data, we interpret this to reflect the existence of additional C-terminal motifs that normally antagonize the ability of Abl to inhibit Robo signaling. When either the FABD is present, or the C terminus is deleted, these domains are incapable of inhibiting the role of Abl in the Robo pathway. Taken together, these observations suggest that, in contrast to the role of Abl in commissural guidance and axon growth, antagonism of Slit/Robo signaling occurs in the absence of C-terminal sequences, arguing that the opposing functions of Abl in axon guidance are provided by different structural motifs.
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