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**ABSTRACT**

The *Drosophila* kinesin-family protein Costal 2 (Cos2) and its mammalian ortholog Kif7 play dual roles in Hedgehog (Hh) signaling. In the absence of Hh, Cos2 and Kif7 contribute to proteolytic processing and silencing of the Hh-regulated transcription factors, *Drosophila* Cubitus interruptus (Ci) and mammalian Gli proteins. Cos2 and Kif7 are also necessary for full activation of full-length Ci-155 and Gli transcription factors in response to Hh proteins. Here, we use classical fused alleles and transgenic Cos2 products deficient for Fused (Fu) association to show that Cos2 must bind to Fu to support efficient Ci-155 processing. Residual Ci-155 processing in the absence of Cos2-Fu interaction did not require Suppressor of Fused, which has been implicated in processing mammalian Gli proteins. We also provide evidence that Cos2 binding to the CORD domain of Ci-155 contributes to both Ci-155 processing and Ci-155 silencing in the absence of Hh. In the presence of Hh, Ci-155 processing is blocked and Cos2 now promotes activation of Ci-155, which requires Fu kinase activity. Here, we show that normal Ci-155 activation by Hh requires Cos2 binding to Fu, supporting the hypothesis that Cos2 mediates the apposition of Fu molecules suitable for cross-phosphorylation and consequent full activation of Fu kinase. We also find that phosphorylation of Cos2 by Fu at two previously mapped sites, S572 and S931, which is thought to mediate Ci-155 activation, is not required for normal activation of Ci-155 by Hh or by activated Fu.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Secreted Hedgehog proteins constitute a major family of signaling molecules that guide development, cell proliferation and stem cell behavior in *Drosophila* and in mammals (Hui and Angers, 2011; Briscoe and Therond, 2013; Zhang and Kalderon, 2001; Peng et al., 2013; Petrova et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014). Accordingly, genetic alterations affecting Hedgehog (Hh) signaling are responsible for a variety of developmental defects and cancers, prompting the development of promising therapeutic drugs (Ng and Curran, 2013; Metcalfe and de Sauvage, 2011; Amakye et al., 2013). Hh signaling has been studied biochemically *in vitro* and in tissue culture to define and assess the role of specific protein interactions and modifications, but these inferences are limited by the appreciation that normal Hh signaling depends on maintaining the normal stoichiometry of key signaling components, including Cos2. Here, we investigated the roles of Cos2 binding to Fu and to nucleotides, and the role of Fu phosphorylation sites on Cos2 under physiological conditions.

**RESULTS**

**Fused C-terminal Cos2-binding domain is required for efficient Ci-155 processing**

Prior studies have shown that C-terminal truncations of the Fu protein affect Ci-155 processing but there are conflicting claims regarding whether Fu is essential for Ci-155 processing and whether some fu alleles simply make Ci-155 processing more sensitive to Hh inhibition (Alves et al., 1998; Wang and Holmgren, 1999; Methot and Basler, 2000; Lefers et al., 2001). Wing discs from male third instar larvae hemizygous for fu155 (encoding only residues 1-80 of the normal Fu protein), fu153 (encoding residues 1-612) and fu192
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(encoding residues 1-748) (Therond et al., 1996) (Fig. 1A) all exhibited increased Ci-155 levels throughout the anterior compartment that were highest in the broadened AP domain of Hh signaling, suggesting ubiquitously impaired Ci-155 processing that is inhibited further by Hh (Fig. 1B-E). A strong cell-autonomous increase in Ci-155 staining was seen in homozygous fu mutant clones for all three alleles in regions beyond the range of Hh and also in anterior smo fuM1 clones (Fig. 1J; supplementary material Fig. S1A-C), showing a strong Ci-155 processing defect in the absence of any response to Hh.

We then explored the properties of the fuM1 allele, which is closest to a null, in more detail. The levels of C-terminally tagged Ci from GAL4-driven UAS-Ci-Myc transgenes can report Ci-155 stability because transgene transcription is expected to be constant. Thus, Ci-Myc expressed evenly throughout the wing disc is present at lower levels in anterior cells than in AP border or posterior cells because processing in anterior cells eliminates the Myc epitope (Zhou and Kalderon, 2010). This difference is still greater for the Ci variant CiΔCDNΔCORD (henceforth abbreviated as Ci-ΔΔ) (Fig. 1F,H), which appears to be processed more efficiently than wild-type Ci (Zhou and Kalderon, 2010). In fuM1 mutant discs, Myc staining of both wild-type Ci-Myc and CiΔΔ-Myc was increased in anterior cells to almost the same level as the AP border, confirming impaired processing in the absence of Hh (Fig. 1F-I).

We then used an assay that can detect even low levels of Ci-155 processing (Methot and Basler, 2000; Price and Kalderon, 2002; Smelkinson et al., 2007). In this assay, a Ci transgene is expressed throughout the wing disc (using C765-GAL4+UAS-Ci transgenes) and conversion to the Ci-75 repressor is assayed in posterior smo mutant clones (to block Hh signaling) by examining transcriptional repression of a hh-lacZ reporter. No Ci-155 processing is detected by this hh-lacZ repression assay in the absence of Cos2 function in smo cos2 mutant clones (supplementary material Fig. S2A) (Methot and Basler, 2000). However, sufficient Ci-75 was produced in smo fuM1 clones to give strong repression of the hh-lacZ reporter (Fig. 1L), in contrast to previously reported results for fuA (Methot and Basler, 2000). We found that fuA mutant wing discs have the highest levels of Ci-155 in the AP border region (supplementary material Fig. S1D,E), as seen for the other three fu alleles tested, suggesting that some Ci-155 processing persists in the absence of Hh. We therefore suggest that there is residual Ci-155 processing for all fu alleles.

Fig. 1. The C-terminal of Fused is required for efficient Ci-155 processing. (A) Schematic of proteins encoded by fused alleles used. (B-E) Full-length Ci-155 (red) and (B′-E′) ptc-lacZ reporter of Ci activity (green) in wing discs from male wild-type (WT) or fu mutant larvae. Arrows indicate the anterior (left) boundary of ptc-lacZ expression. Plots of Ci-155 staining intensity (above B-E) along the AP axis were generated as described in the Materials and methods for the boxed regions. Background posterior Ci-155 levels (red line) and anterior Ci-155 levels (blue line) in wild-type discs are indicated. (F-I) Myc epitope staining (red) of Ci transgenes tagged with Myc at the C terminus and expressed ubiquitously using the C765-GAL4 driver in wild-type (WT) or fuM1 mutant wing discs. Brackets indicate the estimated AP border territory from parallel ptc-lacZ staining (not shown). (J-K) Ci-155 levels (red) were increased in anterior fuM1 clones (white arrows) marked by two copies of a ubi-GFP transgene (adjacent to GFP-negative twin-spot clones) in otherwise normal discs (J,J′) and in discs expressing UAS-cos2 at a high level with the C765-GAL4 driver (K,K′). Excess Cos2 inhibited Hh signaling and reduced Ci-155 staining at the AP border (yellow arrows). Insets show boxed regions at higher magnification. (L-M) hh-lacZ (red) was repressed by Ci-75 repressor derived from UAS-Ci expressed using C765-GAL4 in posterior fuM1 smo2 clones (arrows), marked by loss of Fu staining (green) in otherwise normal discs (L-L′) and in homozygous Su(fu)LP (null) discs (M-M′).
We then tested whether excess Cos2 or Su(fu) can compensate for the absence of functional Fu. Excess Cos2 alone does not impair Ci-155 processing and can, in fact, reduce anterior Ci-155 below normal levels (Zhou and Kalderon, 2010). However, Ci-155 levels were still strongly elevated in fu+ clones in discs expressing high levels of a wild-type cos2 cDNA (Fig. 1K). We also saw strong hh-lacZ repression in posterior smo fuΔ mutant wing disc clones in the absence of Su(fu) (Fig. 1M). Thus, residual Ci-155 processing in the absence of functional Fu does not depend on Su(fu) and cannot be restored to normal by excess Cos2. All of the Fu variants that we have shown to have a similar deficit in Ci-155 processing (including Fu 1-748 encoded by fuRVS) lack a C-terminal Cos2-binding domain (Robbins et al., 1997; Monnier et al., 2002), suggesting that Fu must bind to Cos2 to support efficient Ci-155 processing.

**Contribution of the Fu-binding domain of Cos2 to Ci-155 processing**

We wished to test further whether Cos2 must recruit Fu to the Ci-155 processing complex by making Cos2 variants deficient for Fu binding. Previous studies identified a very small region of Cos2 (residues 543-605) sufficient for binding the regulatory (non-kinase) domain of Fu (Ruel et al., 2007). We therefore tested whether Cos2 (residues 543-605) sufficient for binding the regulatory (non-kinase) domain of Fu (Ruel et al., 2007). We therefore tested whether Cos2 lacking residues 540-560 was not apparently stable, culture cells and measuring co-precipitation of HA-Fu with Flag-tagged Fu together with Flag-tagged Cos2 proteins in Kc tissue variants of Cos2 lacking this region could bind Fu by expressing HA-kinase domain of Fu (Ruel et al., 2007). We therefore tested whether Cos2 lacking residues 540-560 is highly deficient for Fu binding and we henceforth refer to that variant as Cos2ΔFu.

We then examined the properties of Cos2ΔFu in wing discs by expressing GAL4-responsive UAS-Cos2ΔFu using the C765-GAL4 driver but restricting expression to clones homozygous for the null cos2+ allele by using the MARCM technique (Lee and Luo, 2001). Fu levels are greatly reduced in cos2 mutant clones (Lum et al., 2003). However, expression of UAS-Cos2 in cos2 mutant clones increased the levels of Fu significantly beyond those in surrounding tissue (Fig. 2B). The level of Cos2 in those clones greatly exceeded endogenous levels (Fig. 2E) and presumably accounts for the observed increase in stable Fu protein. Although Cos2ΔFu from UAS-Cos2ΔFu was also present in great excess over endogenous levels in cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 2F), Fu protein levels were much lower in these clones than in surrounding tissue (Fig. 2C). We conclude that the Cos2ΔFu variant does not stabilize Fu in wing discs, consistent with a severe loss of Fu binding.

Surprisingly, Ci-155 protein levels, which are greatly increased in cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 2D), were restored to normal by expression of UAS-Cos2 in cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 2E), suggesting that Fu must bind to Cos2 to support efficient Ci-155 processing.

--

**Fig. 2. Ci-155 processing by overexpressed Cos2 deficient for Fu association.** (A) Kc cells were transfected with DNAs encoding Flag-tagged Cos2 proteins and HA-tagged Fu as shown, followed by immunoprecipitation with Flag antibody (IP: Flag) and western blot with Flag and HA antibodies to measure Fu association with Cos2. Numbers indicate the relative intensity of HA-Fu signals in immunoprecipitates and cell extracts. (B-C) Protein levels (red) were (B-B1) greatly increased in cos2 mutant clones that express UAS-cos2 (arrows), marked by GFP (green), but (C-C1) severely reduced in cos2 mutant clones that express UAS-Cos2ΔFu. (D-F) Cos2 levels (blue) were greatly increased in cos2 clones (arrows), marked by GFP (green), that express (E-E1) UAS-Cos2 or (F-F1) UAS-Cos2ΔFu compared with (D-D1) cos2 clones alone. Increased Ci-155 (red) in (D-D1) cos2 mutant clones was prevented by expression of (E-E1) UAS-Cos2 or (F-F1) UAS-Cos2ΔFu. (G-G1) Ci-155 (white) was not elevated in cos2 mutant clones (arrows), marked by GFP (G-H1) or by the absence of GFP (I-I1), when UAS-Cos2Fu was expressed in the clone in (G-G1) otherwise normal discs and in (H-H1) Su(fu)Δ mutant discs, or (I-I1) when UAS-Cos2ΔFu was expressed throughout Su(fu)Δ mutant discs. Insets (right) show clone regions at higher magnification.
of UAS-CosΔFu, just as for wild-type UAS-Cos2 (Fig. 2E,F). CosΔFu also supported Ci repressor production from both wild-type Ci and Ci-ΔΔ in posterior smo cos2 mutant clones, yielding strong repression of the hh-lacZ reporter (supplementary material Fig. S2A,B,E,F). Thus, when expressed at much higher levels than normal, Cos2 appears to promote efficient Ci-155 processing, despite disruption of its Fu-binding domain.

We then tested whether Fu is recruited to Ci-155 by Su(fu) when CosΔFu replaces wild-type Cos2. First, we found that hh-lacZ was still repressed by Ci repressor derived from either wild-type Ci or Ci-ΔΔ in posterior smo cos2 clones expressing UAS-CosΔFu in discs lacking Su(fu) (supplementary material Fig. S2). Second, we saw that Ci-155 levels were still not elevated relative to neighboring tissue in anterior cos2 clones expressing UAS-CosΔFu in discs lacking Su(fu) (Fig. 2G-I). Hence, Su(fu) is not required to support efficient Ci-155 processing even when Cos2, when expressed in excess, lacks an intact Fu-binding site.

**Expression of Cos2 variants at physiological levels to measure in vivo function**

To test whether efficient Ci-155 processing depended on expressing CosΔFu at abnormally high levels, we sought to express Cos2 variants at physiological levels. A 6.5 kb fragment containing 0.8 kb upstream and 1.7 kb downstream of the cos2 transcription unit has previously been shown to complement cos2 function fully (Sisson et al., 1997; Ho et al., 2005). As Cos2 forms dimers (Zhou and Kalderon, 2011; Ranieri et al., 2012) and interacts with many other Hh signaling components, we expected that some variants of Cos2 might dominantly disrupt development, precluding isolation of the desired transgenic flies. We therefore inserted an FRT-flanked transcriptional terminator into the first cos2 intron so that Cos2 expression would be conditional on excision of the FRT-flanked cassette. After excising the termination cassette in the female germline we found that none of our Cos2 variants had significant dominant effects. Hence, all experiments were performed with genomic cos2 transgenes (referred to as gCos2) that had undergone germline excision of the transcriptional terminator and retained only an additional FRT site and short flanking sequences in the first intron.

The wild-type gCos2 transgene fully rescued homozygous cos2 mutant animals to adulthood, producing fertile flies of normal morphology that could be propagated as a stable stock. A single copy of the genomic CosΔFu transgene failed to rescue cos2 homozygotes to adulthood. Wing discs from the latter larvae showed very high levels of Ci-155 throughout the anterior compartment (Fig. 3A,B). Anterior cos2 mutant clones in cos2 heterozygotes carrying the genomic CosΔFu transgene also had very high Ci-155 levels, in contrast to controls with a wild-type gCos2 transgene (Fig. 3H-J). The levels of Cos2 protein produced by wild-type gCos2 and gCos2 were similar and slightly lower than the levels produced by two copies of the endogenous cos2 gene (supplementary material Fig. S3D-G). Thus, CosΔFu expressed at physiological levels does not support efficient Ci-155 processing. However, physiological levels of CosΔFu did allow sufficient Ci processing in smo cos2 clones to repress hh-lacZ in animals expressing CiΔΔ in wing discs (supplementary material Fig. S3A,B), mirroring the inefficient Ci-155 processing phenotype of fuM1.

In addition to facilitating Ci-155 processing, Cos2 has the potential to limit the activity of Ci-155 as an activator of Hh target genes. Thus, the ptc reporter, ptc-lacZ is strongly induced in cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 3L’) because Ci-75 repressor is eliminated, Ci-155 levels are increased and Ci-155 is partially activated (Sisson et al., 1997; Wang and Holmgren, 1999; Wang et al., 2000; Smelkinson et al., 2007). In wing discs with the genomic CosΔFu transgene, we did not observe significant ptc-lacZ expression in anterior cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 3N’), suggesting that CosΔFu still inhibits Ci-155 activity even though Ci-155 processing is strongly impaired.

We also constructed and tested a genomic Cos2-S182N transgene. The S182N alteration was designed to impair nucleotide binding (Ho et al., 2005) and was later found to prevent Cos2 association with the CORD domain of Ci, which is normally stimulated by nucleotides in vitro (Zhou and Kalderon, 2010). Cos2-S182N can promote Ci-155 processing to some degree, but much less efficiently than wild-type Cos2 when overexpressed (Ho et al., 2005; Zhou and Kalderon, 2010). At physiological Cos2-S182N levels, we found that Ci-155 staining was clearly elevated in cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 3K’) and in the entire anterior compartment of cos2 homozygotes (Fig. 3C).

The genomic Cos2-S182N transgene did support strong hh-lacZ repression by CiΔΔ in posterior smo cos2 mutant clones, confirming that it can promote some Ci processing (supplementary material Fig. S3C).

In animals with the Cos2-S182N transgene, ectopic ptc-lacZ was clearly induced in cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 3O’), albeit to a level lower than in cos2 mutant clones with no cos2 transgene (Fig. 3L’). Thus, Cos2-S182N does not effectively restrict Ci-155 activity. Moreover, direct comparison with CosΔFu, which permits even greater Ci-155 accumulation but no ectopic ptc-lacZ induction (Fig. 3O’,N’), shows that the failure of Cos2-S182N to silence Ci-155 in the absence of Hh cannot simply be due to excess Ci-155 levels or the absence of Ci-75 repressor.

One possible mechanism by which Cos2 restricts Ci-155 activity is by retaining Ci-155 in the cytoplasm. We therefore tested Ci-155 localization in cos2 clones after incubating discs with the nuclear export inhibitor leptomycin B (LMB). Loss of cos2 caused increased nuclear accumulation of Ci-155 (Fig. 3D; supplementary material Fig. S3H), as previously reported (Wang and Holmgren, 2000). A similar distribution of Ci-155 was seen in the presence of gCos-S182N but Ci-155 was still predominantly cytoplasmic in the presence of gCosΔFu, even though overall Ci-155 levels were at least as high (Fig. 3F,G; supplementary material Fig. S3J,K). These data provide the best physiological evidence to date that Cos2 binding to the CORD domain restricts Ci-155 nuclear access and activity.

**Functions of Cos2 in transducing the Hh signal**

In response to Hh, Cos2 has been proposed to facilitate Smo activation (Lum et al., 2003), to promote Fu activation (Shi et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhou and Kalderon, 2011) and to alter its activity in response to phosphorylation by Fu (Ruel et al., 2007; Zhou and Kalderon, 2011; Ranieri et al., 2012). However, these hypotheses have not been tested rigorously because overexpression of Cos2, which has been the inevitable consequence of using UAS-cos2 cDNA transgenes to date, blocks Hh signaling at the AP border of wing discs (Ho et al., 2005; Ruel et al., 2007; Zhou and Kalderon, 2011), while a similar dose effect has been demonstrated in tissue culture (Lum et al., 2003).

We therefore used genomic Cos2 transgene variants to examine the roles of Cos2 in responding to Hh. In the presence of gCosΔFu, cos2 mutant clones at the AP border showed greatly reduced ptc-lacZ staining compared with neighboring AP cells and complete loss of anterior Engrailed (En) expression (Fig. 3L”-N”). Thus, the response to Hh is drastically reduced when Cos2 cannot bind Fu normally. Ci-155 levels were very high and not distinguishably different in anterior and AP border clones expressing only CosΔFu.
This finding is consistent with strong impairment of Ci-155 processing whether Hh is present or not, and the absence of Cul3-mediated Ci-155 proteolysis normally seen at the AP border when Hh signaling strongly activates Ci-155 (Jiang, 2006). Likewise, in cos2 homozygous animals with the genomic CosΔFu transgene, Ci-155 levels were uniformly high in anterior and AP border cells, there was no anterior En staining and ptc-lacZ expression was confined to a weak AP border stripe, which was wider than normal, presumably because Hh travels further when less Ptc is expressed in AP border cells (Fig. 3A,B).
In wing discs carrying the genomic Cos2-S182N transgene, cos2 clones at the AP border expressed levels of ptc-lacZ close to those in normal AP border cells (Fig. 3O, O”). These clones also expressed anterior En, although often non-uniformly or at lower levels than normal, indicating only very slightly impaired Hh signaling outcomes (Fig. 3O”). A similar, almost complete rescue of anterior En staining by Cos2-S182N was seen in cos2 homozygous discs (Fig. 3C).

To examine the response of Cos2 variants to Hh in more detail, we examined Smo, responses to activated Smo and responses to activated Fu. When Smo is activated, it accumulates to higher levels, localizes predominantly at the plasma membrane and is more highly phosphorylated (Briscoe and Therond, 2013). Accumulation of activated Smo is readily observed in wild-type wing discs in posterior cells and in the most posterior AP border cells. We found a similar pattern of elevated Smo staining in cos2 mutant discs carrying wild-type gCos2 and gCos-S182N (supplementary material Fig. S4A,C). Smo staining was also elevated in posterior and AP border cells of cos2 mutant discs carrying gCosΔFu, although elevated Smo staining differed from that of wild-type wing discs by spreading well beyond the range of ptc-lacZ induction at the AP border and at ectopic far anterior sites (supplementary material Fig. S4B). Thus, both CosΔFu and Cos-S182N can support at least one aspect of Smo activation, Smo accumulation, in response to Hh.

We then expressed constitutively active Smo (SmoD1-3) in smo cos2 mutant clones in wing discs carrying each of the three gCos2 transgenes to test their ability to respond to activated Smo. We found high levels of ptc-lacZ, similar to those at the AP border in wild-type cells, in anterior clones expressing SmoD1-3 together with wild-type gCos2, slightly lower levels for gCos2-S182N and much lower ptc-lacZ in the presence of gCosΔFu (Fig. 4A-C, G). If the limited response of ptc-lacZ to both Hh and activated Smo supported by CosΔFu is only because Fu is not activated in these cells, it should be possible to induce strong ptc-lacZ expression in cells with CosΔFu by activating Fu synthetically. The GAP-Fu transgene encodes a membrane-tethered Fu fusion protein that induces Fu kinase activation even in the absence of Hh, Smo or Cos2 (Claret et al., 2007; Zhou and Kalderon, 2011). Expression of GAP-Fu in cos2 clones containing the genomic CosΔFu transgene induced strong ptc-lacZ expression, just as for cos2 mutant cells with a wild-type genomic Cos2 transgene or gCos2-S182N (Fig. 4D-G), indicating that the defects of CosΔFu in responding to Hh can be attributed to a failure to activate Fu.

**Fig. 4.** Cos2 with impaired Fu binding fails to activate Fu in response to activated Smo. (A-F”) Ectopic ptc-lacZ (red) was induced in anterior GFP-positive (green) smo cos2 clones by (A-C”) UAS-SmoD1-3 or (D-F”) UAS-GAP-Fu driven by C765-GAL4 in discs carrying genomic transgenes for (A-A”, D-F”) wild-type Cos2, (B-B”, E-E”) CosΔFu or (C-C”, F-F”) Cos2-S182N. Induction of ptc-lacZ by SmoD1-3 was much weaker for (B-B”) CosΔFu than for (A-A”) Cos2-WT or (C-C”) Cos2-S182N, whereas (D-F”) ptc-lacZ induction by GAP-Fu was similar for all three Cos2 transgenes. (G) Measurement of ptc-lacZ staining in response to SmoD1-3 and GAP-Fu in the presence of the indicated gCos2 transgenes. Intensity of ptc-lacZ staining relative to the AP border calculated from five anterior clones of each genotype is displayed together with the s.e.m. (see Materials and methods).
Physiological function of Cos2 sites phosphorylated by Fu

Two residues on Cos2 have been identified as very likely direct Fu kinase targets (Nybakken et al., 2002). Phospho-specific antibodies demonstrated Cos2 S572 phosphorylation in a wide domain at the AP border of wing discs and S931 phosphorylation in a narrower AP domain where Hh signaling is stronger, with both species detected throughout the posterior compartment (Ruel et al., 2007; Ranieri et al., 2012). Biochemically, it was inferred from co-precipitation measurements and by using Ala or acidic residue substituents that phosphorylation at S572 reduced Cos2 binding to Ci and to Smo (Liu et al., 2007; Ruel et al., 2007). Furthermore, Ci transcriptional activity assays in tissue culture showed that Cos2-S572A did not support a positive response to Fu kinase, whereas wild-type Cos2 did, leading the authors to conclude that S572 phosphorylation was essential for normal activation of Ci-155 by Fu (Ruel et al., 2007). However, these assays used non-physiological levels of Cos2, Fu and Smo. It has also been suggested, based on the properties of overexpressed Cos2 S572 variants in wing discs that phosphorylation of S572 inhibits Ci-155 processing (Ruel et al., 2007; Zhou and Kalderon, 2011).

To test these conclusions in a physiological setting, we used genomic transgenes encoding Cos2-S572A and Cos2-S572D. Surprisingly, we found that both Cos2-S572A and S572D fully suppressed Ci-155 elevation in cos2 mutant clones, indicating normal Ci-155 processing (Fig. 5A,D). Even more surprising, cos2 mutant clones at the AP border of discs with genomic Cos2-S572A or S572D transgenes showed normal strong ptc-lacZ expression and anterior En expression (Fig. 5E,H). In fact, cos2 homozygous animals were fully rescued by each transgene to produce fertile adults of normal morphology. Thus, Cos2 S572 phosphorylation is clearly not essential for Hh to activate target genes appropriately.
To test whether Cos2 S572 was important for responses specifically to Fu kinase, we created smo cos2 mutant clones that expressed GAP-Fu in animals carrying the different genomic Cos2 transgenes. The smo mutation was included to ensure that we measure only downstream responses to Fu activation, as it has been found that active Fu can also contribute to Smo activation (Claret et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2007). Equally strong induction of ptc-lacZ was seen for gCos2-WT and gCos2-S572A transgenes, showing that Ci-155 activation by Fu does not require S572 phosphorylation (Fig. 6A,B). Ci-155 levels were slightly elevated by activated Fu in cos2 mutant clones with the wild-type gCos2 transgene but no clear elevation was seen for gCos2-S572A (Fig. 6E,F). These results are consistent with S572 phosphorylation being important for Fu kinase to increase Ci-155 levels (Zhou and Kalderon, 2011). Unfortunately the sensitivity of this assay is limited because activated Ci-155 is labile (Ohlmeyer and Kalderon, 1998; Jiang, 2006).

Prior studies of Cos2-S931A and S931D variants in combination with S572A and S572D variants suggested that S931 phosphorylation enhanced Cos2-Smo binding and that it also increased Ci-155 activation, associated with reduced Ci-155 binding and increased Ci-155 nuclear entry (Ranieri et al., 2012). The relevant assays were, however, conducted with non-physiological levels of Cos2, Fu and Smo proteins, principally in tissue culture cells. We found that genomic Cos2-S931A and S931D transgenes fully rescued cos2 homozygous mutant animals, producing normal patterns of Hh target gene expression in wing discs (data not shown). Full rescue was also seen for Cos2-S931A when examining cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 5B,F) and in response to activated Fu in smo cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 6C,G), as described previously for Cos2-S572A. To determine whether S572 and S931 phosphorylation might act redundantly, we also tested Cos2-AA (S572A S931A) as described previously for Cos2-S931A. To determine whether S572 and S931 phosphorylation might act redundantly, we also tested Cos2-AA (S572A S931A). This genomic transgene was constructed directly without a transcriptional terminator cassette, along with an analogous wild-type cos2 transgene. Both transgenes rescued cos2 mutant clones (Fig. 5C,G) and cos2 homozygous mutant animals fully (Fig. 5I), producing normal adult wing morphologies and normal patterns of Hh target gene expression in wing discs. Furthermore, Cos2-AA supported strong ptc-lacZ induction by activated GAP-Fu in smo cos2 mutant clones with no significant increase in Ci-155 levels, just like Cos2-S572A (Fig. 6D,H). We also tested the response to a different activated Fu kinase transgene, Fu-EE, with similar results. Fu-EE, like GAP-Fu, produced a small increase in Ci-155 levels in the presence of the wild-type Cos2 transgene but no clear increase in the presence of Cos2-AA (supplementary material Fig. S5A,B), providing further evidence that Cos2 phosphorylation is required for Fu kinase to increase Ci-155 levels.

**Su(fu) and Cos2 do not appear to be redundant targets for responses to Fu kinase activity**

As Su(fu), as well as Cos2, becomes hyper-phosphorylated during Hh signaling in a Fu-dependent manner (Lum et al., 2003; Ho et al., 2005), it was thought that Su(fu) phosphorylation probably contributes to Hh signal transduction, specifically in response to
Functions of Cos2, Fu and Su(fu) in Ci-155 processing and silencing in the absence of Hh

Cos2 is generally regarded as the major mediator of Ci-155 processing (Zhang et al., 2005), whereas the role of Fu is less clear. Here, we find that three class II \( \mu \) alleles (encoding truncated proteins) strongly impair Ci-155 processing in a cell-autonomous manner, whereas even \( \mu M^{\text{F}} \), which encodes only 80 amino acids of the N-terminal kinase domain, still permits a low level of Ci-155 processing. All of these \( \mu \) alleles encode proteins lacking a C-terminal Cos2-binding domain (Robbins et al., 1997; Monnier et al., 2002), suggesting that a low level of Ci-155 processing can occur in the absence of Fu but efficient processing requires Fu to bind to Cos2. Our evidence specifically argues against previous assertions that Fu is absolutely required for Ci-155 processing (Method and Basler, 2000) and that some Fu proteins that cannot bind Cos2 are nevertheless able to promote efficient Ci-155 processing in the absence of Hh (Wang and Holmgren, 1999). The requirement for Fu in Ci-155 processing may be explained by the recent finding that Fu, rather than Cos2, is principally responsible for recruiting PKA to Ci-155 (Ranieri et al., 2014).

Consistent with our deductions from \( \mu \) alleles, we found that a Cos2 variant with strongly impaired Fu binding (Cos2\( \Delta \mu \)) supported low-level Ci-155 processing (detected by a sensitive hh-\( \text{lacZ} \) repression assay) but not efficient Ci-155 processing (measured by Ci-155 staining) when Cos2\( \Delta \mu \) was expressed at physiological levels. Surprisingly, Ci-155 processing appeared normal when Cos2\( \Delta \mu \) was strongly overexpressed. Given the failure of excess Cos2 to rescue the processing defects of \( \mu M^{\text{F}} \), we suggest that some Fu protein is recruited by Cos2\( \Delta \mu \) to Ci-155 processing complexes when Cos2\( \Delta \mu \) is overexpressed, likely due to some residual direct binding between Fu and Cos2. Ci-155 processing by excess Cos2\( \Delta \mu \) was not detectably impaired by eliminating Su(fu), suggesting that Su(fu) does not contribute to Fu recruitment even under conditions of greatly reduced Cos2-Fu binding, despite the potential for Su(fu) to bind to both Fu and Ci-155. It appears therefore that Su(fu) has no detectable role in Ci-155 processing, in contrast to evidence of an important role in Gli2/3 processing in mammals (Kise et al., 2009; Humke et al., 2010).

Three domains on Ci-155 that bind Cos2 have been identified biochemically but Ci-155 processing was disrupted in wing discs only when two of these (the zinc fingers and the CORD domain) were deleted simultaneously, with no discernible negative consequence of deleting the third (CDN) region (Wang et al., 2000; Wang and Jiang, 2004; Zhou and Kalderon, 2010). Surprisingly, deletion of the CORD domain, especially in combination with the CDN region, appears to enhance Ci-155 processing (Zhou and Kalderon, 2010). It was therefore suggested that Cos2 binding to CORD might compete with alternative CORD binding interactions, which might otherwise reduce processing, perhaps by masking PKA, CK1 or GSK3 phosphorylation sites. A key test of that idea involved Cos2-S182\( \Delta \), which cannot bind the CORD region but still associates normally with other regions of Ci-155 (Zhou and Kalderon, 2010). Here, we found that Cos2-S182\( \Delta \) expressed at physiological levels supported only low levels of Ci-155 processing. Moreover, excess Ci-155 spared from processing induced low levels of ptc-\( \text{lacZ} \), whereas a similar processing defect due to Cos2\( \Delta \mu \) resulted in no ectopic ptc-\( \text{lacZ} \). Cos2\( \Delta \mu \) also retained Ci-155


domain of Ci-155 promotes both Ci-155 processing and Ci-155 silencing. Our results also contradict prior assertions that phosphorylation of specific Cos2 sites (S572 and S931) by Fu is essential for Ci-155 activation in response to Hh.

DISCUSSION

We have investigated the role of Cos2 in Hh signaling for the first time using variants expressed at normal levels in Drosophila tissues. This approach is crucial because Cos2 collaborates with several direct binding partners and its actions have been found to be extremely dose sensitive. Our results provide definitive evidence for prior proposals that Cos2 association with Fu is essential for Ci-155 processing (in the absence of Hh) and for Fu kinase activation (in response to Hh), and suggest that Cos2 binding to the CORD
in the cytoplasm more effectively than Cos2-S182N in wing discs treated with the nuclear export inhibitor LMB. Hence, it appears that Cos2 binding to CORD normally promotes Ci-155 processing and also limits the nuclear access and transcriptional activity of Ci-155 when Ci processing is impaired. This suggests that Cos2-CORD interaction may be regulated during Hh signaling in order for Ci-155 to be fully activated.

**Functions of Cos2-Fu association**

Recently, the mechanism of Fu kinase activation has come into focus. Fu kinase activation depends on phosphorylation of putative Fu and CK1 sites in the activation loop of Fu (Shi et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhou and Kalderon, 2011). It is promoted by association of Fu molecules and requires the region of Fu that binds to Cos2, suggesting that activated Smo clusters Cos2-bound Fu molecules to stimulate their cross-phosphorylation and consequent full activation. Here, we provide further evidence for that model by showing that Cos2 deficient for binding to Fu (Cos2ΔFu) fails to support strong Ci-155 activation in response to Hh or activated Smo.

We found that Cos2 must also bind to Fu to stabilize Fu. What might be the purpose of Fu stabilization by Cos2? One possible purpose is to prevent spurious Fu activation. Fu is activated by aggregation and a positive-feedback loop of cross-phosphorylation. By ensuring that excess Fu is unstable and almost all Fu molecules are associated with Cos2, Fu activation can be limited to circumstances where Cos2 molecules are aggregated. Conversely, stabilization of Fu by Cos2 allows the relatively low abundance Cos2 protein to compete better with other potential Fu partners for Fu binding, thereby priming Fu for Cos2-dependent activation. A second potential purpose of Fu stabilization by Cos2 concerns Ci-155 processing. If Cos2 and Fu each recruit kinases to Ci-155 and an effective processing complex must contain multiple components (Ci-155, Cos2, Fu, PKA, CK1 and GSK3) stabilization of Fu by binding to Cos2 would ensure that productive associations of Fu with PKA, CK1 or GSK3 are not distributed throughout the cell but concentrated in Ci-Cos2-Fu complexes. It also seems likely, though currently untested, that the presence of Fu enhances Cos2 association with Ci-155. Otherwise it would be hard to understand why excess Cos2 does not impair Ci-155 processing by forming a high proportion of Ci-155 complexes lacking Fu, or how excess Cos2ΔFu can process Ci-155 efficiently despite extremely low levels of Fu.

**Mechanism of Ci-155 activation by Fu kinase**

As both Su(fu) and Cos2 bind directly to Ci-155 and both have been shown to limit nuclear accumulation and activity of Ci-155 under some conditions, it has been suggested that Fu kinase might overcome these inhibitory actions by phosphorylating one or more of these components directly. Su(fu) is phosphorylated in response to Hh and activated Fu (Lun et al., 2003; Ho et al., 2005), but alteration of the identified phosphorylation sites had no measurable effect on either the silencing activity of Su(fu) or on the ability of Hh or Fu to oppose that silencing (Zhou and Kalderon, 2011). By contrast, the two identified sites of Hh-stimulated Fu-dependent phosphorylation on Cos2 appeared to play a significant role (Ruel et al., 2007; Ranieri et al., 2012). It was previously argued that Cos2 S572 phosphorylation contributed to Smo activation, promoted Cos2 degradation, reduced association with Ci-155 and blocked Ci-155 processing (Liu et al., 2007; Ruel et al., 2007; Zhou and Kalderon, 2011). Here, we found that an S572A substitution in Cos2 did not impair Ci-155 processing or silencing in the absence of Hh or any aspect of the response to Hh, allowing the development of morphologically normal and fertile adults.

We did find some support for the assertion that Ci-155 stabilization by activated Fu depends on S572 phosphorylation (Zhou and Kalderon, 2011), seemingly consistent with the finding that Cos2-S572D associates poorly with Ci-155 in co-precipitation experiments (Ruel et al., 2007). However, here we found that physiological levels of Cos2-S572D supported efficient Ci-155 processing. In addition, the impaired Ci association of Cos2-S572D seen by co-precipitation of tissue culture cell extracts (Ruel et al., 2007) was not observed using *in vitro* binding experiments that employed isolated Cos2-binding domains of Ci (Zhou and Kalderon, 2010). Hence, it remains unclear whether the S572D substitution is a good mimic of phosphorylation or exactly how S572 phosphorylation might affect Cos2 binding to Ci-155 or to other partners in order to impair Ci-155 processing.

Phosphorylation of Cos2 on S931 was suggested to be important for high-level Hh signaling (Ranieri et al., 2012). This idea was supported by transcriptional reporter assays in tissue culture cells that used transfected Cos2 variants together with carefully titrated doses of Smo and Fu. Increased transcriptional response for phosphomimetic alterations and impaired responses for Ala substituents in these experiments were ascribed principally to alterations of S931, although the most clear-cut differences were seen only when both S572 and S931 were altered in analogous fashion (Ranieri et al., 2012). We found that both Cos2-S931A and Cos2-AA (S572A S931A) behaved exactly like wild-type Cos2 in silencing Ci-155 in the absence of Hh, supporting a normal Hh response at the AP border of wing discs and allowing the development of morphologically normal fertile adult flies. The only minor deficit noted was a failure of Cos2-AA to support a small increase in Ci-155 levels in response to activated Fu, exactly as seen for Cos2-S572A.

There is some evidence that the response to Hh at the AP border of wing discs may include some redundancy. For example, it appears that Fu kinase activity can inhibit Ci-155 processing (via Cos2-S572D phosphorylation) but Fu kinase is not necessary for Hh to inhibit Ci-155 processing fully (Zhou and Kalderon, 2011). We therefore tested whether altering Fu phosphorylation sites on both Su(fu) and Cos2 might reveal some redundant actions. However, we saw no abnormalities in Hh responses in cos2 mutant clones at the AP border of wing discs expressing Cos2-AA and Su(fu) with Ala substituents at phosphorylation sites in place of endogenous Su(fu). Conceivably, a third set of Fu-dependent changes may need to be blocked in order to reveal a contribution of the known Fu phosphorylation sites on Cos2 or Su(fu). For the present, it is clear that key targets of Fu kinase remain to be identified.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mutagenesis and cloning**

A 6.5 kb fragment of genomic Cos2, extending between two KpnI sites in the plasmid vector pCaSpeR4 had been used to rescue Cos2 function in flies (Sisson et al., 1997). We introduced modifications in order to incorporate this fragment into an ATT vector, add an excisable transcription termination cassette in the first intron, and modify Cos2-coding sequence, all by using oligonucleotide-mediated mutagenesis (QuickChange, Stratagene), as detailed in the methods in the supplementary material.

**Cell culture, transfection, immunoprecipitation and western blot analysis**

Kc cells were kept at 25°C in Schneider's Drosophila media +5% FBS +1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco). Three 10 cm plates were seeded with 1×10⁷ cells and were given fresh media after 24 h. The cells were transfected
3–4 h later with Actin-HA-Fu together with Actin-Flag-Cos2, Actin-HA-Fu or Actin-Flag- Cos2Ffu (8 μg each) using a calcium phosphate protocol (Invitrogen). Cells were given fresh medium 24 h later and were harvested after 48 more hours. The cells were lysed at 4°C in 1 ml lysis buffer [50 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 1.25 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 0.5 mM Na2VO3, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors (mini complete, Roche)]. The lysates were incubated with mouse anti-Flag antibody conjugated to agarose beads (Sigma) for 2 h at 4°C. The immunoprecipitates were washed three times for 10 min in lysis buffer. The western blots were probed with mouse anti-Flag and rabbit anti-HA antibody (ab9110, Abcam). Secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor-680 and Alexa Fluor-800 were visualized with LI-COR Infrared, and the bands were quantified using LI-COR Odyssey Software.

Immunohistochemistry

Wing disc clones were generated by heat-shock late first or early second instar larvae for 1-2 h at 37°C. Late 3rd instar larvae were dissected into 4% paraformaldehyde on ice and rocked at 25°C for 30 min. For measurements of Ci-155 nuclear access, discs were dissected in cold PBS and treated with 100 mM LMB (or no LMB as a control) in Schneider’s Drosophila cell media for 2 h prior to fixation. Fixed samples were rinsed with 1 × PBST (1 × PBS +0.1% Triton X-100+0.05% Tween 20) and placed in the blocking buffer [5% goat serum, 0.5% BSA, 50 mM Tris (pH 7.0), 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% BSA] for 2 h at 4°C. The discs were stained with rabbit (MP Biomedicals) and mouse (Promega) anti-β-galactosidase antibody for lacZ products, mouse monoclonal 4A6 antibody to Myc (Millipore), rat monoclonal 2A1 antibody to Ci, mouse monoclonal antibodies 17E11 to Cos2 and 4D9 to En (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, USA), mouse monoclonal antibody 5D6 to Cos2, and rabbit antibody to Fu (Ascano et al., 2002). Secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor-488, -546, -594, -647 or -680 (Molecular Probes). A Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope was used to examine the fluorescent staining.

Measurements from fluorescent images

In Fig. 1, the areas within a rectangle were quantified and intensity plots for Ci-155 staining were constructed using Image J software (NIH, Bethesda, MD). The y-axis shows the average fluorescence intensity over the height of the rectangle at each point on the x-axis.

In Fig. 4, the average fluorescent intensity (I) of a fixed area within GFP-expressing clones and the normal AP border (no clones) was measured using Image J software. Five separate clones (from at least three wing discs) were measured for each genotype, together with five samples at the AP border and of anterior territory with no clone (background). The signal due to each clone was calculated relative to the signal due to Hh at the AP border as (Iclone−background)/(IAP Border−background) before deriving the mean signal and standard error for each clone genotype.

Fly crosses

Transgenic flies and genotypes for all experiments are described in the methods in the supplementary material.
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Supplemental Methods

Mutagenesis and Cloning

The following modifications were made to a 6.5-kb fragment of genomic Cos2, extending between two KpnI sites in the plasmid vector pCaSpeR4. First, an SphI restriction site was introduced in place of the KpnI site upstream of the Cos2 transcription unit. Next, an AvrII site was introduced near the beginning of intron 1 sequence. Mutations of interest [ΔFu (removes codons for amino acids 540-560 (Ruel et al., 2007)), S182N (Ho et al., 2005), S572A, S572D, S931A, S572A S931A (Ranieri et al., 2012)] were then introduced into the modified Cos2 fragment using the following oligonucleotides:

KpnI to SphI: AGA CTC GAG GAA TTC
AvrII in intron1: CCA GGT GAG TTT ACT
ΔFu: GAT CTG GAC GAC AAG ATA [deletion] TAT CTA TCC AAG
S182N: CGC GGC CAA GGC AAA AAC TAC ACA CTC TAC
S572A: AAG GCG GTT ATG CAA GCC CAA GAC CGC GAG ATT
S572D: AAG GCG GTT ATG CAA GAC CAA GAC CGC GAG ATT
S931A: ATC ACG GGC CAC CGC GCC ATC GAC ACG AGC GAC
S931D: ATC ACG GGC CAC CGC GAC ATC GAC ACG AGC GAC

The restriction sites or amino acid modifications are in italics, and the underlined bases represent changes from the original sequence of the Cos2 fragment. An FRT-flanked transcription terminator cassette FC22 contained on a 3.5-kb NheI fragment (Struhl and Basler, 1993) was cloned into the AvrII site of each construct. The modified genomic Cos2 fragments were then sub-cloned into the pUASattB vector (Bischof et al., 2007) between SphI and KpnI restriction sites and inserted at att sites at cytological locations 86F and 53B2 (Rainbow Transgenic Services, Inc.).

Gateway technology was used to make a UAS-CosΔFu construct for germline transformation and expression vectors for tissue culture transfection (Invitrogen). The ΔFu mutation was introduced using site-directed mutagenesis into the coding sequence of Cos2 that had previously been cloned.
into a pENTR/ D-TOPO vector (Marks and Kalderon, 2011) using the oligonucleotide shown above. The resulting entry vector was transferred to the pTW destination vector from the Drosophila Gateway Vector collection by LR recombination (Invitrogen) to produce pUAST-CosΔFu, which has N-terminal triple Flag tags and was introduced into the Drosophila germline by P-element transformation. Drosophila Gateway vectors pAHW, pAFW, pAMW and pAGW were used to make expression vectors for tissue culture transfection from the Entry clones containing sequences for Cos2, CosΔFu and Fu. The Cos2 proteins were tagged with Flag and Fu was tagged with HA at N-terminal ends.

Germline Excision of Transcriptional Terminator and Genotyping
Animals of genotype yw hs-flp; smo\textsuperscript{2} FRT42D cos\textsuperscript{2}/ P[y\textsuperscript{*}]CyO; (g\textsuperscript{>term}>Cos2-WT, S572A, S572D, S931A, S572AS931A, S182N and ΔFu) / TM6B were given a heat shock (37\textdegree C, 1 h) during the late third instar stage. Adult female progeny were collected 7 days later, and crossed to males with second and third chromosome balancers. Individual male progeny were collected to establish stocks, which were tested for an FRT excision event using two sets of primers 1) 231 and 864\textsubscript{r} and 2) 231 and dsRed\textsubscript{bk} (231: ACA ATT CCA GAT GCC ATT GG, 864\textsubscript{r}: CTG CAA CAG CCC CTC CTT GG, dsRed\textsubscript{bk}: TGG AAC TGG GGG GAC AGG ATG). Once stocks were established with excised transcriptional terminators and transferred to stocks of the form yw hs-flp; smo\textsuperscript{2} FRT42D cos\textsuperscript{2}/ P[y\textsuperscript{*}] CyO; gCos-X / TM6B the identity of each transgene was verified by PCR and sequencing.

Fly Crosses
Females of the genotype fu\textsuperscript{M1} / FM7 or fu\textsuperscript{RX2} / FM7 or fu\textsuperscript{W3} / FM7 were crossed to yw hs-flp; C765-GAL4 ptc-lacZ / TM6B males to generate fu\textsuperscript{M1} or fu\textsuperscript{RX2} or fu\textsuperscript{W3} / Y; C765-GAL4 ptc-lacZ / + wing discs.
Females of the genotype w fu\textsuperscript{M1}; P[Fu\textsuperscript{*}] FRT40A Sp / CyO; C765 hh-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw; Sp / CyO; (UAS-Ci or UAS-Ci\textDelta CDN\textDelta CORD) / TM6B males to generate w fu\textsuperscript{M1} / Y; (Sp or P[Fu\textsuperscript{*}] FRT40A Sp) / CyO discs that express Ci or Ci\textDelta CDN\textDelta CORD ubiquitously.
Females of the genotype w fu\textsuperscript{M1}; P[Fu\textsuperscript{*}] FRT40A Sp / CyO; C765 hh-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw;
ubi-GFP Flp38 FRT40A / CyO; (TM2 or UAS-Cos2) / TM6B males to generate fu mutant clones marked by two copies of ubi-GFP in male wing discs with or without expression of UAS-Cos2.

Females of the genotype w fuM1; P[Fu+] FRT40A Sp / CyO; (FRT82B Su(fu)LP) C765 hh-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw; smo2 Flp38 FRT40A / CyO; UAS-Ci (Su(fu)Lp) / TM6B males to generate fu smo mutant clones, detected by the absence of Fu staining, in male wing discs with or without Su(fu).

Females of the genotype yw hs-flp, UAS-GFP; smo2 FRT42D tub-Gal80 hsCD2 P[Smo+] / CyO; C765 ptc-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw hs-flp; FRT42D cos22 / CyO; (UAS-Cos2 or UAS-CosDeltaFu) / TM6B males to generate positively marked cos2 mutant clones expressing Cos2 or CosDeltaFu.

Females of the genotype yw hs-flp, UAS-GFP; smo2 FRT42D tub-Gal80 hsCD2 P[Smo+] / CyO; (FRT82B Su(fu)LP) C765 hh-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw hs-flp; smo2 FRT42D cos22 / CyO; (UAS-Cos2 or UAS-CosDeltaFu) (FRT82B Su(fu)LP)(UAS-Ci or UAS-CiDeltaCDNACORD)/ TM6B males to generate positively marked smo cos2 mutant clones expressing UAS-Cos2 or UAS-CosDeltaFu and UAS-Ci or UAS-CiDeltaCDNACORD with or without Su(fu) to examine Ci processing to a repressor of hh-lac.

Females of the genotype yw; FRT 42D cos22 / P[y+] CyO; ptc-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw hs-flp; smo2 FRT42D cos22 / P[y+] CyO; gCos2 (WT, S572A, S572D, S931A, S572AS931A, DeltaFu, S182N) to examine rescue of cos2 mutant wing discs and whole flies. Females of the genotype yw hs-flp; FRT42D P[y+] ubi-GFP / CyO; C765 ptc-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw hs-flp; smo2 FRT42D cos22 (UAS-GAP-Fu) / CyO; TM2 or gCos2 (WT, S572A, S931A, S572AS931A, DeltaFu, S182N) / TM6B males to generate negatively marked cos2 mutant clones in a wing disc expressing gCos2 (WT, S572A, S572D, S931A, S572AS931A, DeltaFu, S182N) ubiquitously.

Females of the genotype yw hs-flp, UAS-GFP; smo2 FRT42D tub-Gal80 hsCD2 P[Smo+] / CyO; C765 ptc-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw hs-flp; smo2 FRT42D cos22 (UAS-GAP-Fu or UAS-SmoD1-3) / CyO; TM2 or gCos2 (WT, S572A, S931A, S572AS931A, DeltaFu, S182N) / TM6B males to generate positively marked smo cos2 mutant clones expressing gCos2 (WT, S572A, S931A, S572AS931A, DeltaFu, S182N) ubiquitously with or without UAS-GAP-Fu or UAS-SmoD1-3.
Females of the genotype yw hs-flp, UAS-GFP; smo\(^2\) FRT42D tub-Gal80 hsCD2 P[Sm\(\text{o}^+\)] / P[y\(^+\)] CyO; C765 hh-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw hs-flp; smo\(^2\) FRT42D cos\(2^2\) UAS-Ci\(\Delta\Delta\)/CyO; TM2 or gCos2 (WT, ΔFu, S182N) / TM6B males to generate positively marked smo cos2 mutant clones expressing gCos2 (WT, ΔFu, S182N) ubiquitously with UAS-Ci\(\Delta\Delta\) to examine Ci processing to a repressor of hh-lacZ.

Females of the genotype yw flp; FRT42D P[y\(^+\)] ubi-GFP / CyO; FRT82B Su(fu)
\(^{LP}\) C765 ptc-lacZ / TM6B were crossed to yw hs-flp; smo\(^2\) FRT42D cos\(2^2\) gCos2 (WT or AA) / P [y\(^+\)] CyO; Su(fu)
\(^{LP}\) UAS-Su(fu)-5A / TM6B males to generate negatively marked cos2 mutant clones in a wing disc expressing gCos2 (WT or AA) ubiquitously in discs expressing Su(fu)-5A in place of endogenous Su(fu).
Supplementary Figure Legends

**Figure S1.** Hh-independent cell-autonomous elevation of Ci-155 in fu mutant clones.

(A, B) Ci-155 (red) was strongly elevated in (A) fuRX2 and (B) fuW2 anterior clones. (C) Ci-155 (red) was similarly increased in anterior fuM1 smo2 mutant clones, marked by loss of Fu (green) staining. Boxed areas in (C, C’, C”) are magnified below with arrows indicating mutant clones. (D, E) Full-length Ci-155 (red) and (D’, E’) ptc-lacZ reporter of Ci activity (green) in wing discs from male wild-type (WT) or fuA mutant larvae. Arrows indicate the anterior (left) boundary of ptc-lacZ expression. Plots of Ci-155 staining intensity (above D, E) along the AP axis were generated as described in Materials and Methods for the boxed regions. Background posterior Ci-155 levels (red line) and anterior Ci-155 levels in wild-type discs (blue line) are indicated. Ci-155 levels were increased throughout the anterior of fuA mutant discs but were highest within the Hh signaling domain at the AP border, marked by ptc-lacZ staining.

**Figure S2.** Ci-155 processing by excess Cos2 deficient for Fu binding does not require Su(fu).

(A-H) Repression of hh-lacZ (red), indicating Ci processing, was seen in smo cos2 mutant clones (arrows) expressing UAS-CosΔFu, marked by GFP (green) for both (B-D) wild-type Ci and (F-H) Ci-ΔΔ in (B, F) otherwise normal discs, (C, G) Su(fu)/+ discs and (D, H) Su(fu)/Su(fu) discs. Control smo cos2 mutant clones showed (A) no repression using UAS-Ci-WT and (E) only very slight reduction of hh-lacZ expression using UAS-Ci-ΔΔ.

**Figure S3.** Ci-155 processing by Cos2 variants deficient for Fu or Ci CORD binding when expressed at physiological levels.

(A-C) Repression of hh-lacZ (red), indicating Ci processing, was seen in smo cos2 mutant clones (arrows), marked by GFP (green) for wing discs expressing UAS-Ci-ΔΔ and carrying genomic
transgenes for (B) CosΔFu or (C) Cos2-S182N but (A) not in the absence of a Cos2 transgene. (D-F) Cos2 protein (red, D’-F’) was not detectable in cos2 mutant clones (D), marked by loss of GFP (green, D-F) but was partially restored by (E) gCos-WT and (F) gCosΔFu. Levels of Cos2 were measured (see Materials and Methods) in twin-spots of cos2 clones (“WT”: 2 copies of endogenous wild-type gene), set at 2.0, and compared to Cos2 levels from a single copy of wild-type (“gWT”) or CosΔFu (“gΔFu”) transgenes in cos2 mutant clones. Standard error of the mean is shown (n=5). (H-K) Wing discs with cos2 mutant clones marked by loss of GFP (green, H-K) and carrying the indicated transgenes, treated with no LMB for 2h (as a control for results shown in Fig. 3D-G). Ci-155 (red, H’-K’) is largely absent from nuclei (blue Hoechst staining, H”-K”) in all clones, even though Ci-155 levels are greatly increased in the absence of a wild-type Cos2 transgene (H’, J’, K’).

**Figure S4. Smo stabilization by Cos2 variants.**

(A-C) Smo staining (green) in homozygous cos2^2 mutant discs was highest in the posterior compartment and the posterior edge of the AP border, as normal, for discs carrying genomic transgenes for (A) wild-type Cos2 and (C) Cos2-S182N but elevated Smo staining extended much further anterior when (B) CosΔFu replaced endogenous cos2 and was also ectopically elevated in far anterior regions. (A’-C’) Staining with ptc-lacZ (red) marks the AP border (arrows in A”-C”). The two panels on the right in (A”-C”) show close-up views of Smo staining (green) and ptc-lacZ (red) around the AP border.

**Figure S5. Cos2 phosphorylation sites do play a role in Ci-155 stabilization by Fu.**

(A, B) In anterior smo cos2 clones (arrows) expressing UAS-FuEE, marked by GFP (green), Ci-155 (white) was slightly elevated in discs carrying (A’) the wild-type Cos2 genomic transgene gCos-WT but not (B’) gCos2-AA.
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Figure showing fluorescence images of cos2/cos2 animals with various constructs.

- **gCos-WT**
  - Images A, B, C show Smo (green), ptc-lacZ (red), and Merge.

- **gCosΔFu**
  - Images A', B', C' show Smo (green), ptc-lacZ (red), and Merge.

- **gCosS182N**
  - Images A'', B'', C'' show Smo (green), ptc-lacZ (red), and Merge.
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