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**ABSTRACT**

Organ growth occurs through the integration of external growth signals during the G1 phase of the cell cycle to initiate DNA replication. Although numerous growth factor signals have been shown to be required for the proliferation of cardiomyocytes, genetic studies have only identified a very limited number of transcription factors that act to regulate the entry of cardiomyocytes into S phase. Here, we report that the cardiac para-zinc-finger protein CASZ1 is expressed in murine cardiomyocytes. Genetic fate mapping with an inducible Casz1 allele demonstrates that CASZ1-expressing cells give rise to cardiomyocytes in the first and second heart fields. We show through the generation of a cardiac conditional null mutation that Casz1 is essential for the proliferation of cardiomyocytes in both heart fields and that loss of Casz1 leads to a decrease in cardiomyocyte cell number. We further report that the loss of Casz1 leads to a prolonged or arrested S phase, a decrease in DNA synthesis, an increase in phospho-RB and a concomitant decrease in the cardiac mitotic index. Taken together, these studies establish a role for CASZ1 in mammalian cardiomyocyte cell cycle progression in both the first and second heart fields.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Early development of the heart is governed by hyperplastic growth, in which cardiac cells undergo mitogen-dependent activation during the G1 phase of the cell cycle (Ahuja et al., 2007). During early stages of heart development, cardiomyocytes of the first and second heart fields are highly proliferative, resulting in substantial growth of the embryonic heart. The overall rate of cardiomyocyte proliferation gradually declines concomitant with the onset of cardiomyocyte terminal differentiation (Soonpaa et al., 1996; Christofèl et al., 2000; Pasumarthi and Field, 2002; Sedmera et al., 2003; Ikenishi et al., 2012). After this period, the vertebrate heart continues to grow largely through hypertrophy and by recruitment and proliferation of cells from the neural crest and the epicardium (Li et al., 1996; Creazzo et al., 1998; Mann et al., 2001; Ahuja et al., 2007; Kelly, 2012; Maillet et al., 2013). Understanding the transcriptional mechanisms of cardiomyocyte proliferation is crucial for uncovering pathologies and treatments for congenital heart disease. Past studies have shown that cardiomyocyte hyperplastic growth occurs in response to input from a large network of growth factor signaling pathways (Lavine et al., 2005; Ahuja et al., 2007; Bersell et al., 2009; Heallen et al., 2011; Porrello et al., 2011; Xin et al., 2011, 2013; Eulalio et al., 2012; von Gise et al., 2012; Wadugu and Kuhn, 2012). However, many basic questions regarding the mechanisms underlying how these growth factors regulate the cardiomyocyte cell cycle remain unanswered. Central to this issue, it is not known which cardiac-specific transcription factors act to drive or commit cardiomyocytes into the next round of division.

CASZ1 is a para-zinc-finger transcription factor that has been shown to be expressed during and required for vertebrate heart development, with depletion of Casz1 in Xenopus embryos leading to the failure of a small subset of progenitor cells to differentiate into cardiomyocytes, resulting in aberrant cardiac morphogenesis and eventual death (Vacalla and Theil, 2002; Liu et al., 2006; Christine and Conlon, 2008; Amin et al., 2014; Sojka et al., 2014). The evolutionary role of Casz1 in heart development is further emphasized by genome-wide association studies showing genetic association of the CASZ1 locus with blood pressure and hypertension (Levy et al., 2009; Takeuchi et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2015). Consistently, it has been demonstrated that CASZ1 has an essential role in blood vessel assembly and lumen formation (Charpentier et al., 2013a,b). Together, these studies implicate a potential link between Casz1 and cardiovascular dysfunction. However, the genetic requirement and endogenous role for Casz1 in mammalian cardiac development remain to be established.

Here we report that Casz1 is expressed in cardiomyocytes during the earliest stages of mammalian heart development, and using genetic fate mapping we show that Casz1-positive cells give rise to derivatives of both the first and second heart field, including cardiomyocytes in the left and right ventricles and the left and right atria. Through the generation of a conditional null allele, we demonstrate that Casz1 is essential for early mammalian heart development and define a role for Casz1 in the proliferation of cardiomyocytes during chamber formation. We go on to demonstrate an essential role for Casz1 in the cardiomyocyte cell cycle, showing that loss of Casz1 leads to a prolonged or arrested G1 phase that is associated with a marked reduction in DNA synthesis, an increase in phospho-RB, and a decrease in the cardiac mitotic index. Taken together, our results demonstrate a role for Casz1 in the G1-to-S phase progression of cardiomyocytes.

**RESULTS**

**Casz1 is expressed in the developing myocardium**

To address the role of Casz1 in mammalian heart development, we cloned full-length Casz1 from adult mouse heart tissue and...
conducted a detailed expression analysis. We found that Casz1 is first expressed in the cardiac crescent (E7.5, Fig. 1A) and continues to be expressed in the heart during cardiac looping (E8, Fig. 1B,F; E8.5-E9.5, Fig. 1C,D,G,H), when we observed Casz1 expression in the future left and right ventricles, in both the compact layer and the trabeculae, and in the primitive atria (E9.5, Fig. 1D,H). Sectioning of heart tissue at these stages further revealed Casz1 expression in both the myocardium and endocardium (E8.5, Fig. 1G). By E11.5 we found expression of Casz1 in the heart but also in other tissue types, including the limb bud, nasal placode, somites, telencephalon, hindbrain and, consistent with recent reports, the eye (Konstantinides et al., 2015; Mattar et al., 2015) (Fig. 1E).

We observed that CASZ1 protein is expressed in a pattern similar to that of Casz1 mRNA. Our data demonstrate that CASZ1 is expressed in defined subdomains of the nucleus (Fig. 1I-L). Given that it is not technically possible to investigate nuclear domains in cardiac tissue in vivo, we examined the compartmentalization of CASZ1 in human primary endothelial cells (HUVECS), a cell type previously shown to express CASZ1 (Charpentier et al., 2013b). From these studies we demonstrate that CASZ1 colocalizes with promyelocytic leukemia (PML), a defining protein of PML bodies (supplementary material Fig. S1). PML bodies are well-defined nuclear subdomains found to associate with a distinct set of genomic loci; however, these loci are undefined and, moreover, there is no
known function for PML in cardiovascular development or disease (Matera et al., 2009).

CASZ1 protein is expressed in the tropomyosin (TMY)-positive cardiomyocytes (Fig. 1-L) and its expression is mutually exclusive to that of Tie2 (Tek)-positive derived endothelial cells (Fig. 1M) and Wt1-positive derived epicardial cells (Fig. 1N). We further find that CASZ1 is co-expressed in second heart field cells with the second heart field marker ISL1 (Cai et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2007) (Fig. 1O,P). Collectively, these data demonstrate that CASZ1 is expressed in the nucleus of cardiomyocytes and, by inference, in PML bodies of the first and second heart fields during the early stages of cardiogenesis.

**Casz1-expressing cells give rise to first and second heart field derivatives**

To determine whether cardiomyocytes that express *Casz1* contribute to derivatives of the first and second heart field, we performed genetic lineage tracing of *Casz1*-expressing cells. To permanently label this population of cells and its descendants, we generated a tamoxifen-inducible CreERT2 allele (*Casz1CreERT2*) by homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and subsequently passed the *Casz1CreERT2* through the germline (supplementary material Fig. S2). Treatment with tamoxifen results in Cre-driven recombination and, in the presence of a tomato reporter (*R26R<sup>tdT</sup>*) in the identification of *Casz1*-expressing cells and their progeny. Co-staining with the cardiomyocyte marker TMY enabled lineage identification of tagged cell populations. From this lineage analysis we identified cardiomyocytes derived from *Casz1*-expressing cells (supplementary material Fig. S1C,D; Fig. 2A-J) at E12.5 in the left (Fig. 2I,J) and right (Fig. 2A,B,G,H) ventricular walls, the interventricular septum (Fig. 2C,D), the compact layer (Fig. 2G,H) and the trabeculae (Fig. 2E,F). We found no evidence that *Casz1*-expressing cells can give rise to cardiac fibroblasts or cardiac endothelium; however, we note that the recombination frequency of the *Casz1<sup>CreERT2</sup>* allele with the current tamoxifen regime is low and therefore we cannot formally rule out this possibility. Collectively, our studies show that E8.5 *Casz1*-expressing cells give rise to cardiomyocytes derived from both the first and second heart fields.

**Casz1 is essential for cardiac development**

To determine the requirement for *Casz1* in cardiac development, we mapped the cardiac transcriptional start site(s) of *Casz1* (supplementary material Fig. S3B). Our analysis identified two transcriptional start sites in embryonic heart: one 275 bp upstream and the other 139 bp upstream from the predicted translational start site. Using these data we generated a conditional floxed null allele (*Casz1<sup>flox-neo</sup>*) by homologous recombination in ESCs. Successful targeting of the selection cassette generated a *Casz1<sup>flox-neo</sup>* allele in which exon 6 is flanked with loxP sites (supplementary material Fig. S3A). Exon 6 was chosen because it is a crucial coding exon located downstream of both *Casz1* transcriptional start sites and contains the CASZ1 nuclear localization signal. Furthermore, in the event that cryptic splicing occurs to any of the next three exons it would lead to the introduction of a frameshift and, if the protein were made, it would lack a nuclear localization signal and all zinc-finger domains (supplementary material Fig. S3).

The presence of the *Casz1<sup>flox-neo</sup>* allele in ESCs was confirmed by Southern blot, PCR and genomic sequence analysis (supplementary material Fig. S3C,D; data not shown). ESCs harboring *Casz1<sup>flox-neo</sup>* were used to generate chimeric mice, which passed *Casz1<sup>flox-neo</sup>* through the germline. The PGKneo cassette (Liu et al., 2003) was removed by mating F1 heterozygous mice to mice expressing FlpE recombinase (Rodriguez et al., 2000) and *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>* progeny were mated to an *Nkx2.5-Cre* line to generate *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>*:*Nkx2.5<sup>Cre/+</sup>* (Moses et al., 2001). The *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>*:*Nkx2.5<sup>Cre/+</sup>* mice were mated to *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>* mice to generate a cardiac-specific conditional *Casz1* mutation: *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>*:*Nkx2.5<sup>Cre/+</sup>*. Expression of Cre from the *Nkx2.5-Cre* allele was confirmed by mating to *R26R<sup>tdT</sup>* reporter mice (Madsen et al., 2010) (supplementary material Fig. S4), and loss of *Casz1* in *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>*:*Nkx2.5<sup>Cre/+</sup>* in cardiac but not neural tissue (dorsal root ganglia) was confirmed by immunohistochemistry (supplementary material Fig. S3E-H).

We observed that heterozygotes containing the *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>*:*Nkx2.5<sup>Cre/+</sup>* alleles are viable, fertile and display no obvious phenotypic abnormalities. By contrast, no homozygous mice for the floxed allele, *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>*:*Nkx2.5<sup>Cre/+</sup>* were recovered postnatally, indicating that loss of *Casz1* in the developing heart is embryonic lethal.

Analysis of timed intercrosses of *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>*:*Nkx2.5<sup>Cre/+</sup>* mice failed to identify viable homozygous *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>*:*Nkx2.5<sup>Cre/+</sup>* embryos subsequent to E14.5 (Fig. 3). Gross examination of *Casz1<sup>fl</sup>*:
*Nkx2.5* Cre/+ embryos demonstrated that E12.5 mutants are indistinguishable from wild-type littermates (Fig. 3A,B). However, at E13.5, *Casz1f/+;Nkx2.5 Cre/+ embryos exhibit inflated pericardial sacs, severe edema and blood hemorrhaging, which are consistently indicative of circulatory distress (Fig. 3C,D) (Conway et al., 1996). Collectively, these results demonstrate an essential requirement for *Casz1* in mammalian heart development between E10.5 and E12.5.

**Casz1 is required for growth of the cardiac chambers**

Histological examination showed that *Casz1f/+;Nkx2.5 Cre/+ and wild-type hearts were indistinguishable at E10.5 (mean ventricular wall thickness of 15.4±0.44 µm versus 16.1±0.70 µm, respectively; n=3, P=0.42) (Fig. 3I-J,M). However, by E12.5, severe thinning of the myocardium and an associated decrease in wall thickness were observed in *Casz1f/+;Nkx2.5 Cre/+ embryos as compared with wild-type littermate controls [mean of 22.6±1.2 µm (n=2) versus 14.3±0.91 µm (n=4); P<0.005], indicating that *Casz1* is required in the ventricular myocardium. In addition, we observed an underdeveloped interventricular septum and decreased trabeculation (Fig. 3K-L,N). By E13.5, *Casz1f/+;Nkx2.5 Cre/+ hearts were hypoplastic, with narrower ventricular lumens and membranous ventricular septal defects (supplementary material Fig. S5). Together, these results demonstrate that *Casz1* is essential for development of the cardiac chambers and further imply that *Casz1* is essential for cardiomyocyte growth.

**Casz1 embryonic nulls phenocopy *Casz1f/+;Nkx2.5 Cre/+ embryos**

To test whether *Casz1* is required for embryogenesis prior to E12.5 we generated a complete embryonic null allele of *Casz1* by mating *Casz1f/+* mice to a Sox2-Cre driver (Hayashi et al., 2002) to create *Casz1f/+;Sox2-Cre/+*. Extensive studies have established that Sox2-Cre is expressed and functions in all cells of the epiblast (Fig. 4A,B) (Hayashi et al., 2002; Barrow et al., 2007; Arnold et al., 2009; Delgado-Esteban et al., 2013). We found that *Casz1f/+;Sox2-Cre/+ mice, as with *Casz1f/+;Nkx2.5 Cre/+*, do not survive beyond E14.5. Histological examination at E12.5 confirmed that *Casz1f/+;Sox2-Cre/+* homozygotes have a cardiac phenotype indistinguishable from that of *Casz1f/+;Nkx2.5 Cre/+* mice (mean ventricular wall thickness of 41.2±2.1 µm versus 25.8±1.6 µm, respectively; n=2, P<0.0005) (Fig. 3I-N and Fig. 4E-I). These results demonstrate that an initial and essential requirement for *Casz1* in the mouse embryo is in the developing heart and confirm that loss of *Casz1* in cardiac tissue leads to hypoplastic growth and embryonic lethality.

**Casz1 is essential in the second heart field**

Cells from the second heart field give rise to the right ventricle, the interventricular septum, the outflow tract, both atria, and the atrial septum (Kelly, 2012). Our data demonstrated that CASZ1 is co-expressed with the second heart field marker ISL1 at E10.5 (Fig. 10,P), and our fate mapping studies identified descendants of *Casz1*-expressing cells within second heart field derivatives (Fig. 2A,B,G,H). We further observed that loss of *Casz1* in *Nkx2.5* Cre/+ positive cells leads to a hypoplastic right ventricle. Based on these observations we hypothesize that *Casz1* is required for second heart field development.

To test this hypothesis we generated mice that lack *Casz1* in the second heart field by crossing *Casz1f/+* mice to an Isl1-Cre driver (Srinivas et al., 2001) to create *Casz1f/+;Isl1-Cre/+*. Gross examination of *Casz1f/+;Isl1-Cre/+* embryos showed that they are viable and...
indistinguishable from heterozygous and wild-type littermates, at least until E14.5 (Fig. 5G,H). At E14.5, Casz1f/f;Isl1Cre/+ hearts have a normal left ventricle (mean of 82.6±3.7 µm versus 79.7±5.4 µm in ventricular wall thickness of n=2, P=0.66) (Fig. 5J) while displaying reduced thickness in the right ventricle (mean of 64.5±1.7 µm versus 37.9±4.3 µm; n=2, P<0.005) (Fig. 5I). Although there were significant differences in thickness of the ventricular free wall, we did not detect any ventricular septal defects (Fig. 5A-F). Consistently with right ventricular hypoplasia, no Casz1f/f;Isl1Cre/+ mice were recovered postnatally, indicating that Casz1 is required for the growth of the second heart field.

**Regulation of cell growth by Casz1**

The observation that the loss of Casz1 in cardiac tissue leads to a hypoplastic heart and a concomitant decrease in chamber wall thickness led us to hypothesize that Casz1 functions to regulate cardiomyocyte proliferation. To test this hypothesis, we determined the number of cardiomyocytes in wild-type and Casz1f/f;Nkx2.5Cre/+ mice. We found that cardiomyocytes, as marked by expression of TMY, were significantly reduced in Casz1 cardiac null versus wild-type ventricles at E12.5 (mean of 868±69 versus 638±60 cardiomyocytes; n≥3, P<0.05) (Fig. 6A-F; supplementary material Fig. S6). We note that the cardiac hypoplasia observed in Casz1 cardiac null hearts is specific to the cardiomyocytes and does not affect the epicardium or endothelial cells at E12.5 (Fig. 6P,Q). Furthermore, the reduction in cell number is not associated with programmed cell death (supplementary material Fig. S8). Consistently, cardiomyocytes in Casz1 cardiac null hearts continue to express the cardiomyocyte markers TMY and cardiac troponin T (cTNT; also known as TNNT2) and we could detect higher order structures including sarcomeres with Z-disks (Fig. 6R-U). Together, these studies support a role for Casz1 in cardiomyocyte growth.

To validate our findings, we performed a comparative expression analysis of the developing heart using RNA isolated from E10.5 hearts (n=3 cardiac null; n=3 controls), a period before we can detect any cardiac abnormalities in Casz1f/f;Nkx2.5Cre/+ embryos. RNA-seq data were processed for differential expression analysis using Cufflinks (Trapnell et al., 2012); raw data and full analysis are available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GSE55394.
that the three largest categories of genes downregulated as a result of the loss of Casz1 were ‘regulation of growth’, ‘regulation of systems processes’ and ‘cardiac muscle development’ (supplementary material Fig. S7). Thus, these analyses suggest that Casz1 functions to regulate the growth of the cardiac chambers.

**Casz1 is required for cardiomyocyte G1-to-S phase transition**

Our phenotypic analysis, cell quantification and transcriptional profiling are all consistent with a role for Casz1 in cardiomyocyte cell division. To gain insight into the mechanisms of Casz1-mediated cardiomyocyte growth, we conducted a detailed analysis of cell proliferation. Our results show a significant reduction in EdU incorporation in myocardial cells in the developing myocardium of Casz1 cardiac null ventricles versus controls (mean of 19.5±0.9% versus 13.6±0.8%; n=3, P<0.0001) (Fig. 6G-J,M). The cardiomyocyte mitotic index in E12.5 Casz1+/Nkx2.5Cre/+ ventricles (mean of 19.6±1.1% versus 13.7±0.98%; n=3, P<0.005) and Casz1+/Isl1Cre/+ right ventricles (mean of 19.3±1.1% versus 13.5±2.1%; n=2, P<0.05) was also reduced, as assessed by phospho-histone H3 (pHH3) staining (Fig. 6K,L,N,O). Thus, Casz1 acts to control cardiomyocyte proliferation in both the first and second heart fields.

To further define the mechanism by which Casz1 functions in cardiomyocyte cell division, we conducted cell cycle profiling of cardiac nuclei from control and Casz1 cardiac null heart tissue (Fig. 7A). Our results indicate that cardiac nuclei null for Casz1 display a significant increase in cells in G1 phase (52.3% versus 60.1% in n=4 hearts) and a concomitant decrease of cells in S phase (26.1% versus 19.4%), while displaying no alteration in the percentage of cells in G2 phase (21.5% versus 20.5%).
Consistently, we observe a significant increase in Casz1 cardiac null cardiomyocytes expressing the phosphorylated form of the tumor suppressor protein retinoblastoma 1 (RB), a highly effective inhibitor of the G1-to-S transition (mean of 3.5±0.3% versus 5.3±0.7%; P<0.05) (Fig. 7B-D) (Mulligan and Jacks, 1998).

Taken together, our results show that a loss of Casz1 leads to a prolonged or arrested G1 phase, a decrease in DNA synthesis, an increase in phospho-RB, and a decrease in the cardiac mitotic index. Thus, these results support a role for Casz1 in the cardiomyocyte G1-to-S cell cycle transition.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we report that Casz1 is expressed in mammalian cardiomyocytes and by genetic fate mapping studies demonstrate that Casz1-positive cells give rise to cardiomyocytes in the first and second heart field. We show through our phenotypic analysis of cardiac conditional null Casz1 embryos that Casz1 is essential for the proliferation of cardiomyocytes in both heart fields and define a role of Casz1 in cardiomyocyte proliferation.

We note that these findings differ from those reported for a Casz1 gene trap line of mice that were generated from an ESC line carrying a βgeo cassette integrated into the ninth intron of Casz1 (Liu et al., 2014). Mice homozygous for the insertional embryonic arrest at E16.5, a time point in development much later than those reported here for mice in which Casz1 was depleted in both the primary and secondary heart fields (Casz1<sup<f/f></f>,Nkx2.5<sup<Cre<sup/></sub></sub></f<></f></sup>) in those depleted of Casz1 in the second heart field (Casz1<sup<f/f></f>,Isl1<sup<Cre<sup/></sub></sub></f<></f></sup>) or in a complete embryonic null (Casz1<sup<f/f></f>,Sox2<sup<Cre<sup/></sub></sub></f<></f></sup>). Given that the Casz1 conditional allele reported here lacks a nuclear localization signal and all zinc-finger domains, and since the trapped allele and our panel of Casz1 mutants are in the same genetic background, it is unclear why the trapped allele is less penetrant, although it remains formally possible that the allele disrupted by the βgeo insertion occurs in an alternatively spliced form of Casz1 and/or is hypomorphic.

**CASZ1 and the G1-to-S phase transition**

During early G1, cells respond to mitotic signals to pause or initiate DNA replication (Bertoli et al., 2013). Our analysis of the cardiomyocyte mitotic index coupled with our cell cycle profiling demonstrates a decrease in Casz1 cardiac null cells progressing through S phase. The failure to progress through S phase and the decrease in cardiomyocyte number in Casz1 null hearts are not associated with programmed cell death, and we show that at the period when we observe decreased cardiomyocyte numbers Casz1 null cardiomyocytes maintain their structural integrity and higher order structure (Fig. 6).

Given that we observe a decrease in DNA replication in Casz1 null hearts, as shown by EdU, and a concomitant increase in phospho-RB, our data strongly imply that Casz1 acts at the G1-to-S phase transition.

We note in our cell cycle profiling that an appreciable number of cells pass through the G1-to-S phase. Since it is not possible to isolate a pure cardiomyocyte population from our Casz1 null embryos these cells most likely represent other cardiac cell populations, including cardiac fibroblasts and endothelial cells. However, it is formally possible that some of these cells represent cardiomyocytes that have not reached the G1-to-S transition, i.e. a period after which they require Casz1.

One of the major checkpoint controls of the cell cycle is the ‘commitment point’ or ‘restriction point’ that is associated with the G1-to-S phase transition. This point in the cycle, which is also known as ‘start’ in yeast, is the point beyond which a cell will pass through the cycle without external input (Bertoli et al., 2013). Based on our findings, we presently favor a model in which CASZ1 is necessary for cardiomyocytes to pass the restriction point and commit to the next round of division. We note this role of CASZ1 must be stage specific as we fail to see any phenotypic consequences of removing Casz1 during the initial stages of cardiac specification and determination (E6-E8). This observation would imply that this checkpoint control operates under a separate, as yet unidentified mechanism in the early stages of heart development.

In the future, it will be of importance to identify the Casz1-dependent pathway during these early stages of cardiac development and determine what role, if any, CASZ1 plays in adult homeostasis and injury repair.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics statement**

Research was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of North Carolina and conforms to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.
Generation of Casz1 mutant mice
BAC clones containing Casz1 genomic DNA from mouse strain 129 were isolated by established methods (Testa et al., 2003). Plasmid pBS-DTRX-Casz1loxP-cre was constructed to contain the PGKneo expression cassette flanked by two FRT sites and containing a loxP site inserted into intron 5. loxP sites were introduced into the Casz1 locus flanking exon 6 (Liu et al., 2003). Deletion of exon 6 introduces a +2 frameshift into the translational reading frame leading to premature STOP codons, resulting in the elimination of CASZ1 protein expression. Furthermore, alternate splicing to the next three exons would result in proteins that are out of frame. The targeting vector contained 2.2 kb of homologous DNA upstream of the first loxP and 1.8 kb of homologous DNA (right arm) downstream of the PGKneo cassette (Liu et al., 2003). The targeting vector was linearized with NotI and electroporated into 129/Ola ESCs. G418-resistant colonies were picked, expanded and screened for homologous recombination by Southern blot analysis of HindIII-digested ESC genomic DNA using 5′ and 3′ probes containing sequences outside of those in the targeting vector. Homologous recombinant ESCs were injected into C57BL6/J blastocysts and chimeric male mice were obtained. Male chimeras were mated to C57BL6/J females to establish a mouse line carrying the and chimeric male mice were obtained. Male chimeras were mated to C57BL6/J females to establish a mouse line carrying the Casz1fllox/lox allele. The frt-flanked PGKneo cassette was removed by mating F1 heterozygous mice to mice expressing the FLP recombinase (Jackson Labs stock #003800) (Rodriguez et al., 2000). The resulting Casz1fllox/neo (Casz1Δfl) allele was bred to homozygosity on a C57BL6 background. Casz1 conditional knockout mice and their control littermates were obtained by breeding female Casz1fllox/neo mice to male Casz1fllox/− mice expressing Nkx2.5loxp-Nkx2.5loxp mice were obtained from Robert Schwartz (Moses et al., 2001). Sox2+Cre mice were obtained from Larysa Pevny (Hayashi et al., 2002). Isl1+Cre mice were obtained from Li Qian (Srinivas et al., 2001).

In situ hybridization
In situ hybridization was carried out as previously described (Wilkinson, 1992). Specifically, sense and antisense probes were generated using a digoxigenin (DIG) RNA Labeling Kit (Roche). Probes were hybridized overnight at 65°C onto E8.5-E10.5 embryos. DIG-labeled probes were detected by anti-digoxigenin-AP Fab fragments (Roche) and precipitated by BM Purple AP substrate (Roche). Embryos and embryonic hearts were dissected free from surrounding tissues in PBS. Specimens were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. In situ probes used were Nkx2.5 (gift from Benoit Bruneau; Stennard et al., 2003) and Casz1 (see supplementary material Table S1 for sequences). Tissues were photographed, embedded in 4% low-melt agarose (Promega) and sectioned using a Leica VT1200S vibratome to 30 µm thickness. The sections were mounted on slides and imaged on an Olympus BX61 microscope.

RLM-RACE
5′ RLM-RACE was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (FirstChoice RLM-RACE Kit, Ambion #AM1700). Mouse adult heart RNA was isolated using standard Trizol (Ambion) extraction.

Histological sectioning and immunohistochemistry
Embryos were fixed in 4% PFA and either paraffin embedded or frozen in OCT (VWR). Paraffin sections (8 µm) were dewaxed, rehydrated and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) using standard methodology. Histology sections were imaged on an Olympus BX61 fluorescence microscope. Digital images were utilized for measurement using ImageJ (NIH) software. Paraffin sections and cryosections (10 µm) were washed in PBS containing 1% Triton X-100 (PBS-T), blocked in PBS-T containing 10% FBS, and incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-CASZ1 (Santa Cruz, #SC-135453) 1:500; mouse anti-cardiac troponym (TMY) (DSHB, clone CH1) 1:50; mouse anti-Islet1 (DSHB, clone 59-AD5) 1:50; rabbit anti-filamin (Epitomics, #2242-1) 1:100; mouse anti-GFP (Clontech, #632381) 1:1000; rabbit anti-phosphate histone H3 (Millipore, #06-570) 1:200; mouse anti-cardiac troponin T (DSHB, clone RV-C2) 1:50; mouse anti-sarcromeric myosin (DSHB, clone MF20) 1:50; rabbit anti-caspase 3 (Cell Signaling, #9661) 1:100; rabbit anti-phospho-RB (Cell Signaling, #9308) 1:100; mouse anti-PML (Santa Cruz, #SC-966) 1:500; rabbit anti-cleaved caspase 3 (Asp175; Cell Signaling, #9661) 1:50; or with Alexa Fluor 488-phalloidin (Molecular Probes, #A12379) 1:100. CASZ1 antibody staining required antigen retrieval. Briefly, sections were treated in 10 mM sodium citrate pH 6.0/0.05% Tween 20 for 20 min, washed in 1× PBS and subjected to processing as described above. The following day, sections were washed in PBS-T then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with secondary antibodies: Alexa 488 goat anti-mouse IgG, H+L (Molecular Probes, #A10011) 1:1000; Alexa 488 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes, #A21206) 1:1000; Alexa 546 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes, #A11010) 1:1000; Alexa 546 goat anti-mouse IgG (Molecular Probes, #A11030) 1:1000. Sections were washed in PBS-T, incubated with 200 ng/ml DAPI (Sigma) and mounted with Permafluor (Thermo Scientific). Stained sections were imaged on Zeiss 700 confocal microscope and ImageJ was used for analysis.

Cell culture
HUVECs (Lonza) were maintained and immunostained as previously described (Charpentier et al., 2013b).

Reporter crosses
Tie2-Cre (Kisanuki et al., 2001) mice were crossed to R26R<sup>Cre</sup> reporter mice (Muzumdar et al., 2007). Wt1/ires/GFP-Cre mice were previously reported (Wessels et al., 2012). Time-mated females were harvested at E14.5 and processed for immunostaining with anti-GFP as detailed above. Nkx2.5-Cre mice (Moses et al., 2001) were crossed to R26R<sup>cre</sup> reporter mice (Madisen et al., 2010). Time-mated females were harvested at E7.5, E9.5, E12.5 and E14.5. Sox2-Cre mice (Hayashi et al., 2002) were crossed to R26R<sup>cre</sup> reporter mice (Soriano, 1999) and time-mated females were harvested at E10.5. All embryos were imaged with a Leica MZ 16F dissection microscope.

β-galactosidase staining
Embryos were fixed in X-Gal fixative (0.2% glutaraldehyde, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM EGTA, 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.3) for 40 min on ice, washed in detergent (0.1 M phosphate buffer, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.01% sodium deoxycholate, 0.02% NP-40, pH 7.3), and incubated in X-Gal staining solution (1 mg/ml X-Gal in dimethylformamide, 5 mM potassium ferricyanide, 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 0.1 M phosphate buffer, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.01% sodium deoxycholate, 0.02% NP-40, 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4) at 37°C overnight.

Tamoxifen injections
Tamoxifen (Sigma #T5648) was dissolved in 1:9 ethanol (200 proof) and sunflower oil to make a 0.03 mg/µl solution. Time-mated females were subject to intraperitoneal (IP) injection with 3 mg of tamoxifen at E8.5 and embryos were harvested at E12.5. No reporter activity was observed in the absence of tamoxifen. Embryos were fixed in 4% PFA, incubated in Scale U2 solution (Hama et al., 2011) at 4°C for 3 weeks, imaged with a Leica MZ 16F dissection microscope, washed in 1× PBS, immersed in 30% sucrose and frozen in OCT. Cryosections were processed and immunostained as detailed above.

Imaging and statistical analysis
A Leica MZ 16F dissection microscope with a Retiga 4000RV camera was used for whole-mount imaging and an Olympus BX61 with a Retiga 4000R camera was used for color imaging. Higher magnification images were captured using a Zeiss 700 laser scanning confocal microscope. All images and figures were edited and created in either ImageJ or Photoshop CS4 (Adobe). All statistical calculations were performed using Prism 5 (GraphPad). P-values for statistical significance were obtained using a Student’s t-test for single variable between control and test samples.

Cardiomyocyte counting assay
Embryos from time-mated females were recovered at E10.5 and E12.5, fixed in 4% PFA and embedded for cryosection. Sections were stained for TMY and with DAPI. For statistical analysis, nine transverse sections were analyzed comprising three sections (representing the anterior, mid and postcardiac).
posterior heart) from three different embryos per genotype. The number of TMY-positive and DAPI-stained cardiomyocytes were counted from six 40× fields per section. Counts of total cells positive for TMY and DAPI were averaged from 54 sections. Data are shown as mean±s.e.m. ImageJ was used for analysis and cell counts. Data were compared for statistical significance by Student’s t-test.

**Proliferation assays**

For 5-ethyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) analysis, time-mated females were subjected to IP injection with 250 µg EdU (Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit, Invitrogen C10338) 3 h prior to sacrifice. Embryos were recovered at E12.5, fixed with PFA and embedded for cryosectioning (n=3-3). EdU staining was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For statistical analysis, nine transverse sections were analyzed comprising three sections (representing the anterior, mid and posterior heart) from three different wild-type embryos and two Casz1f/f;Nkx2.5Cre/+ embryos. The number of EdU-positive cardiomyocytes among total cardiomyocytes (TMY-positive cells) was counted from four 40× fields per section (two fields per ventricle).

For mitotic index analysis, counts of total cells positive for TMY and total cells positive for pH3 were performed on transverse sections using the same statistical analysis of wild-type and Casz1 mutant hearts at E12.5 (n=3) from four 63× fields per section (two fields per ventricle). Mitotic index was calculated by dividing total cells positive for TMY and pH3 by total cells positive for TMY. Sections were processed for immunostaining as detailed above. Data are shown as mean±s.e.m. ImageJ was used for analysis and cell counts. Data were compared for statistical significance using a Student’s t-test.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

A standardized procedure for SEM (Hullinger et al., 2012) of the heart was utilized (Pexider, 1986). Briefly, the pericardial cavity membrane was excised before the embryos were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (EM grade, Electron Microscopy Sciences), as previously reported (Tandon et al., 2013), in 1× PBS at 4°C overnight. Embryos were washed in 1× PBS, dehydrated into 100% ethanol and subject to critical point drying. Dried specimens were mounted ventral side up and ion sputtered with gold palladium to ~40 nm thickness before being scanned with a Zeiss Supra 25 FESEM microscope. SEM photomicrographs were taken in standard orientations and magnifications.

**RNA-seq**

E10.5 hearts were collected from three Casz1f/f;Nkx2.5Cre/+ and three Casz1f/f;Nkx2.5Cre−/− embryos. RNA was isolated using standard Trizol extraction. RNA-seq libraries were generated with TruSeq adaptor barcodes from these six samples using standard library preparation protocols (Illumina) by the Vanderbilt Genomic Core. Samples were run over three lanes of a HiSeq2500 (Illumina) to generate on average 103.7 million 50 bp single-end reads per sample. Samples were assessed for quality using Fastqc 0.10.1 and then mapped to the mouse genome (build mm10) using TopHat version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tallied per annotated gene (RefSeq genes downloaded from version 2.0.8 with default parameters (Trapnell et al., 2009, 2012). Aligned reads were then tale...


Supplementary Figure 1. CASZ1 is expressed in PML bodies

Immunofluorescent staining reveals that CASZ1 is co-expressed with PML in the nucleus of HUVECS.
Supplementary Figure 2. Generation of a Casz1<sup>CreERT2-neo</sup> lineage tracing allele

(A) Gene targeting strategy for Casz1<sup>CreERT2</sup> allele. (B) Germline transmission was validated by Southern blot using a 5’ external probe. Heterozygous mice containing the Casz1<sup>CreERT2</sup> allele are viable, fertile, and display no obvious phenotypic abnormalities. (C) Fate mapping experimental design – pregnant females were injected with a single dose of tamoxifen at E8.5 and embryos were harvested at E12.5. (D) Image of an E12.5 Casz1<sup>CreERT2/+;R26R<sup>tdT</sup>/+</sup> embryo. (E) Enlarged image of the heart in (D) shows Casz1-expressing cells in the embryonic heart. E – EcoRI cut sites; FRT sites – white triangles; loxP sites – black triangles.
Supplementary Figure 3. Generation of a conditional Casz1 allele

(A) Conditional gene targeting strategy for Casz1<sup>flox</sup> allele. loxP sites were introduced into the Casz1 locus flanking exon 6. (B) 5’ RLM-RACE identified 2 transcription start sites in the Casz1<sup>flox-neo</sup> allele.
murine heart. +RT indicates cDNA sample treated with reverse transcriptase (RT); -RT indicates control cDNA sample not treated with RT. (C) Germline transmission was validated by Southern blot using a 5’ external probe. (D) Mice were genotyped by PCR for the presence of the flox allele. Immunofluorescent staining for CASZ1, TMY and DAPI confirms depletion of CASZ1 in the myocardium in Casz1f/f;Nkx2.5Cre/+ E13.5 hearts (E,F), but is maintained in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) (G,H). H – HindIII cut site; FRT sites – white triangles; loxP sites – black triangles; blue bar represents location of a nuclear localization signal; green bars indicate location of C2H2 zinc-fingers; red stop sign indicates the location of a premature stop codon subsequent to the frameshift following exon6 removal. Scale bars indicate 20 µm (Bergmann et al.).
Supplementary Figure 4. Nkx2.5<sup>Cre</sup> lineage analysis

Gross morphology of Nkx2.5<sup>Cre/+;R26R<sup>tdT</sup>+</sup> embryos at E7.5 (A,E) and E9.5 (B,F), and hearts at E12.5 (C,G) and E14.5 (D,H). Cre recombination is observed in the cardiac crescent at E7.5 (E), the looped heart at E9.5 (F), the ventricles, the OFT and the atria of the four-chambered heart at E12.5 (G) and similarly in the fetal heart at E14.5 (H). EXE, extraembryonic tissue; CC, cardiac crescent; LH, looped heart; PME, pharyngeal mesoderm; LV, left ventricle; LA, left atria; RV, right ventricle; RA, right atria; OFT, outflow tract.
Supplementary Figure 5. *Casz1* cardiac null embryos exhibit severe cardiac defects

Histological analysis of WT (A) and *Casz1*<sup>+/f</sup>;*Nkx2.5*<sup>Cre/+</sup> (B) hearts at E13.5 highlights the enlarged right atria observed in the SEM data. At E13.5, the cardiac hypoplasia and ventricular septal defect is more pronounced and further shows that the *Casz1*<sup>+/f</sup>;*Nkx2.5*<sup>Cre/+</sup> heart is misshapen and does not form an apex for either the left or the right ventricle as seen in the wild-type (highlighted by the arrows in each panel).
Supplementary Figure 6. Casz1 is required for myocardial development

(A–L) Immunofluorescent staining for TMY of anterior, mid and posterior sections highlights a decrease in differentiated cardiomyocytes in Casz1^f/f;Nkx2.5^Cre/+ hearts at E10.5 (A,B,E,F,I,J) and E12.5 (C,D,G,H,K,L). A, anterior; P, posterior.
Supplementary Figure 7. RNA-seq analysis

(A) Gene Ontology (GO) Analysis – significant cellular processes downregulated in Casz1^{f/f};Nkx2.5^{Cre/+} E10.5 hearts. (B) Cell growth genes downregulated in Casz1^{f/f};Nkx2.5^{Cre/+} hearts.
**Supplementary Figure 8. Loss of Casz1 does not lead to programmed cell death**

Immunofluorescent staining for cleaved caspase-3, TMY and DAPI (blue) to identify apoptotic cells shows that $Casz1^{f/f};Nkx2.5^{Cre/+}$ hearts do not have an increase in programmed cell death compared to controls. As a positive control, sections of the neural tube (NT) were also analyzed. Data represents 2 independent experiments. LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle; IVS, interventricular septum.
### Supplemental Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer Sequence</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Casz1 Genotyping</strong></td>
<td>Forward: 5’ – CACAGGAACTGTCTCCACTGTC – 3’</td>
<td>Flox: 571 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5’ – GTTTCTGTTGCTGGGTTAGGT – 3’</td>
<td>WT: 471 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cre Genotyping</strong></td>
<td>Forward: 5’ – TGGGCCAGCTAAAACATGCTT – 3’</td>
<td>236 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5’ – GGTGTATAAGCAATCCCCAGA – 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R26R&lt;sup&gt;td&lt;/sup&gt; Genotyping</strong></td>
<td>Forward: 5’ – GCCATTAAAGCAGCGTATCC – 3’</td>
<td>126 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5’ – CTGTTCCCTGTACGGCATGG – 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Casz1 5’ RACE Outer Primer</strong></td>
<td>Reverse: 5’ – GGATGTATTCCTCGTATTTGGAGA – 3’</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Casz1 5’ RACE Inner Primer</strong></td>
<td>Reverse: 5’ – AGCTGATCTTTCTTGCCAGCTCAT – 3’</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Casz1 5’ Southern Probe</strong></td>
<td>Forward: 5’ – CTGAAAGCCTCCGCTGAGATGG – 3’</td>
<td>486 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5’ – CTGACACTCGTCGCTGAGATGG – 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Casz1 In Situ Probe</strong></td>
<td>Forward: 5’ – GAGAAACCTTCCTCTCTGAGGACT – 3’</td>
<td>904 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5’ – CTGGAATATATATTTCTGGTAAATG – 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>