Enthesis regeneration: A role for Gli1+ progenitor cells
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Summary: Tendon enthesis progenitor cells are capable of healing enthesis injuries in immature mice; this healing capacity is lost in mature mice.
Abstract: The tendon enthesis originates from a specific pool of hedgehog-active Gli1+ progenitor cells that differentiate and produce mineralized fibrocartilage. The current study investigated the regenerative capacity of this cell population by comparing the responses of early postnatal and mature entheses to injury. Lineage tracing studies demonstrated that the original Gli1+ cell population had the capacity to heal immature entheses after injury but this capacity was lost after the cells differentiated into mature fibrochondrocytes. To further examine the involvement of Gli1+ cells and hedgehog signaling in enthesis healing, Gli1 expression was examined via lineage tracing approaches and the effect of Smo deletion was examined in the injured entheses. Immature injured entheses retained high levels of Gli1 expression, a marker of hedgehog activation, consistent with non-injured controls. In contrast, injured mature entheses had few Gli1+ cells early in the healing process, with limited recovery of the cell population later in the healing process. These results suggest that the presence of activated hedgehog signaling in enthesis cells early in the healing process may enhance healing of enthesis injuries by mimicking developmental processes.
Introduction

The mechanical function of the tendon-to-bone attachment (the “enthesis”) relies on the formation of a functionally graded extracellular matrix. Development of this attachment requires synergy between a number of biochemical signals and cell types (Lu and Thomopoulos, 2013; Thomopoulos et al.). Unfortunately, the mature enthesis heals via a scar-mediated process that does not recapitulate the developmental program, resulting in a mechanically insufficient attachment (Galatz et al., 2015; Thomopoulos et al., 2015; Voleti et al., 2012). This lack of enthesis regeneration during healing results in failed healing clinically (Galatz et al., 2004). However, tendon injuries sustained in utero heal through a regenerative process that mimics normal development (Beredjiklian et al., 2003; Herdrich et al., 2010). Additionally, musculoskeletal injuries in young animals and children heal more readily than in adults (Bullard et al., 2003). Therefore, a better understanding of enthesis healing in immature animals may provide insights to improve healing in mature animals.

Enthesis injuries are typically accompanied by a significant decrease in the mineralized tissue within and underlying the tendon attachment (Meyer et al., 2004). This loss of mineral contributes to the poor mechanical function of the healed tissue (Meyer et al., 2004). The hedgehog signaling pathway is a master regulator of endochondral mineralization and an attractive therapeutic target for enhanced tendon-to-bone healing. We recently identified a population of cells in the neonatal enthesis positive for Gli1, a transcription factor that is a downstream target of the activated Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway (Dahmane et al., 1997; Lee et al., 1997) but in some cases also functions independently of Hh signaling (Aberger and Ruiz, 2014; Palle et al., 2015). This cell population and Hh pathway activation are required for the development of mineralized fibrocartilage in the enthesis (Breidenbach et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2013; Schwartz et al., 2015). However, in mature mineralized fibrocartilage, this cell population terminally differentiates and no longer expresses Gli1, likely negating the potential for enthesis regeneration after injury.

The current study investigated the potential for the Gli1+ cell population to regenerate enthesis fibrocartilage after injury. A healing process that progresses towards recreating the natural morphology of the enthesis without first producing disorganized scar is defined as regenerative. A healing process that produces disorganized scar in response to injury is defined as scar-mediated. An enthesis injury model was developed and applied to early postnatal and mature Hh reporter mice. Lineage tracing was used to determine the involvement of Gli1+ progenitor cells in the healing process. The Gli1+ cell population was labelled before creating the injury in one set of experiments to track the participation of this cell lineage in healing and the Gli1+ cell population was labelled after the injury in a second set of experiments to track the potential activation of Gli1 during healing.
Results/Discussion

Enthesis injury model: A needle punch enthesis injury model was developed and used to create injuries in immature (P7) and mature (P42 and older) mouse supraspinatus entheses. The injury transected the mineralized enthesis fibrocartilage, including the region populated the Gli1+ cell population (Fig. 1). Due to the small size of the murine enthesis, cells from adjacent tissues may have participated in the healing response. However, these cells were not targeted by Gli1CreERT2; using the mTmG fluorescent reporter model, infiltrating cells from the bone marrow or other sources could be distinguished from the native enthesis cell population.

To validate the model, needle punch injuries were created in the entheses, animals were allowed to heal 1-6 weeks, and the humeral heads and supraspinatus tendons were visualized using microCT. Bony defects were apparent in the immature (4 out of 9) and mature (7 out of 9) enthesis groups 3 weeks after injury (Fig. 1, P7). If microCT visualization demonstrated that the defect was outside of the enthesis, the sample was excluded from further analysis. There was no difference in soft tissue volume at the enthesis between the injured and contralateral control limbs in immature animals; in contrast, the mature enthesis injury group had significant scar volume compared to contralateral controls (Fig. 1C).

Cells from the Gli1+ progenitor lineage participated in regenerating the immature enthesis: To investigate the role of cells from the Gli1+ lineage in enthesis healing, mice were labeled with tamoxifen (TAM) on P4 and received enthesis injuries using a 28G needle on P7 (immature) or P42 (mature). By labeling the Gli1+ enthesis progenitor cells prior to creating the injury, the lineage of these cells and their participation in healing could be visualized. Large numbers of Gli1+ (green) cells were observed adjacent to the defect site in the immature group at both 7 and 21 days post injury (Figs. 2A and 2E; note that healing at these timepoints was not yet complete, so a void remained at the injury site). Clusters of Gli1+ cells were observed near the borders of the injury, suggesting that these cells either proliferated or migrated to the injury during the healing process. In contrast, very few cells from the Gli1+ lineage were observed in the mature defect sites (Figs. 2B and 2F, red cells). By 21 days of healing (Figs. 2E and 2F), only a small defect remained in the immature enthesis (~10% the diameter of the original injury), with a well-organized enthesis morphology surrounding it. In contrast, the healing mature enthesis consisted of disorganized scar, without the columnar arrays of Gli1+ cells seen in the healing immature enthesis. To further explore the behavior of the Gli1+ lineage cells, Ki67 immunostaining was performed to label proliferating cells. Native enthesis cells do not proliferate rapidly (Dyment et al., 2015; Schwartz et al., 2015); however, some proliferative cells were
observed in healing regions of injured enthesis. In immature entheses, proliferating cells (green) corresponded to Gli1+ lineage cells (red) one week after injury (Fig. 2I). In contrast, although many proliferating cells were observed in and around the healing regions of mature entheses, very few of these cells were from the Gli1+ lineage, and likely represented the fibrovascular scar healing response typically seen in adult tendon-to-bone healing (Galatz et al., 2015) (Fig. 2J).

These results suggest that the cells from the enthesis Gli1+ progenitor lineage, which are established during late embryonic development, retain regenerative capacity through early postnatal timepoints. Cells from this lineage contributed to healing, but only when the injury was sustained before maturation of the enthesis. When the injury was sustained after Gli1 lineage cells were terminally differentiated, these cells were unable to proliferate and participate in regenerating the enthesis. However, as healing in the immature enthesis occurred concurrently with the normal postnatal development and growth of the tissue, it remains unclear whether a regenerative program was initiated due to injury or whether the normal developmental program drove the healing response.

Gli1 expression was activated early to regenerate the enthesis in immature animals: Active hedgehog signaling is required for the development of functional enthesis fibrocartilage (Schwartz et al., 2015). Furthermore, Ihh protein may be increased during tendon-to-bone healing (Carbone et al., 2015). Using lineage tracing, we investigated whether Gli1 expression, a downstream target of Hh signaling, was activated during enthesis healing and whether signaling patterns differed between immature and mature entheses. To accomplish this, mice from both immature (P7) and mature (P42) enthesis groups were labeled with TAM 3, 7 or 14 days after injury. All tissues were harvested 3 weeks post injury. By labeling the Gli1+ lineage cells after creating the injury, the activation of Hh signaling due to healing could be visualized. In the immature group, Gli1+ lineage cells were observed adjacent to the injury and throughout the enthesis at all timepoints after injury (Figs. 3A-C). The number of Gli1+ lineage cells was consistent with the degree of labeling in contralateral controls (Figs. 3D-F). This result is consistent with previous results, which showed that Gli1 expression encompasses the entire region of developing mineralized fibrocartilage during the first 4 weeks of postnatal development (Schwartz et al., 2015). As this cell population is actively forming the enthesis fibrocartilage during the healing time period, it is not surprising that the same cells are capable of participating in healing an enthesis injury. Importantly, the presence of an enthesis injury did not alter the cell phenotype as it related to Hh signaling levels. Quantification of Gli1+ lineage cells demonstrated consistently high levels of positive cells throughout the 14-day healing period (Fig. 3M).
In mature entheses, fewer Gli1+ lineage cells were observed 3 days after injury relative to contralateral controls (Figs. 3G, 3J). This is in sharp contrast to immature enthesis injuries, where activation of Gli1 signaling was maintained at high levels equal to contralateral controls (Fig. 4M). The absence of Gli1+ lineage cells after injury in mature entheses may be due to changes in the phenotype of the small Gli1+ cell population present in the mature healthy enthesis. Alternatively, these Gli1+ cells may have died and been replaced by infiltrating inflammatory cells or fibroblasts. However, as Gli1- (red) cells were still evident in regions that corresponded to areas of Gli1+ (green) cells in the contralateral controls, this case is unlikely. By 7 and 14 days after injury, Gli1 lineage cells were again observed in the injured enthesis (Figs. 3H, 3I), reaching levels comparable to contralateral controls by 14 days (Fig. 3J). Furthermore, genetic deletion of Smo in tendon and enthesis cells led to impaired healing and a reduction of enthesis cellularity 6 weeks after injury in mature entheses (Fig. S2), demonstrating the requirement of Hh signaling in enthesis healing.

The injured mature enthesis retained a small number of Gli1+ lineage cells, but was unable to regenerate: The majority of the original enthesis cell lineage terminally differentiates, stops expressing Gli1, and forms the mineralized fibrocartilage in the mature enthesis (Dyment et al., 2015; Schwartz et al., 2015). This cell population, identified by Gli1+ expression on P6 and their location in the mineralized fibrocartilage, did not contribute to healing mature enthesis injuries in the current study. These cells were not correlated with proliferation markers and were replaced by non-Gli1 lineage cells, indicating a scar-mediated healing response. However, there was a small population of Gli1+ cells in mature entheses located at the border between the non-mineralized and mineralized fibrocartilage. These cells can theoretically be activated to participate in repair and regeneration of the enthesis. To explore whether this small cell population had progenitor cell characteristics, immunostaining was performed for Notch1, an important stem cell regulator involved in skeletogenesis (Chen et al., 2014; Mead and Yutzey, 2012). In the mature enthesis, Notch1 staining (green) was limited to the small number of remaining Gli1+ cells (red) (Fig. 4), and was notably excluded from the fully differentiated cells originating from a Gli1+ lineage in the mineralized fibrocartilage. In contrast, Notch1 staining was widespread in the early postnatal enthesis and overlapped with the Gli1+ cell population. These results suggest that the small group of Gli1+ cells in the mature enthesis may retain characteristics of skeletal progenitor cells, and could potentially be exploited in future therapeutic strategies. To probe this potential, mature animals (7-9 weeks old) were injected with TAM 3 days prior to enthesis injury and allowed to heal for 1 or 3 weeks. At both timepoints, clusters of Gli1+ (green) cells were observed adjacent to the defect site in the enthesis (Figs. 4C and 4D). This was in
contrast to contralateral controls (Figs. 4E and 4F), which contained mostly well distributed single Gli1+ cells. Ki67 staining for proliferation coincided with these clusters of Gli1+ cells (Fig. S1). Despite the overall scar-mediated healing in these adult enthesis injury, this result suggests that this small Gli1+ cell population may retain the ability to proliferate during the healing process and participate in healing. Future treatment approaches for enthesis injuries could focus on promoting this population of cells while concurrently suppressing the scar-mediated healing response. Furthermore, future studies should evaluate this response in older animals, as the current study used young adult animals for the “mature” group.

Conclusions: The Gli1+ cell lineage, which is critical for mineralized fibrocartilage development, participates in enthesis remodeling when the injury is sustained during early postnatal development. In the mature enthesis, this cell lineage is present, but the majority of cells are not expressing Gli1, and these cells lose the capacity to participate in repair and remodeling of an injury. Furthermore, in immature entheses, the number of Gli1+ cells was not affected during the healing process. In mature entheses, the number of Gli1+ cells was reduced during the initial healing process. Therefore, healing occurs via different mechanisms in mature entheses compared to immature entheses. The decrease in the size of the Gli1+ cell population at the mineralization front in mature entheses was associated with a decrease in mineralization and impaired healing of the injury compared to younger animals. Temporally controlled activation of the hedgehog pathway following mature enthesis injury may therefore be beneficial to healing by more closely mimicking patterns seen during development.

Materials and Methods
Animal model: The use of animals was approved by the Animal Studies Committee at Washington University. To evaluate Hh pathway activity in tendon healing, Gli1-CreERT2 mice (Ahn and Joyner, 2004) were crossed with Rosa26-mT/mG mice or Ai14 (Jackson Labs) to enable fluorescent identification of Cre-positive cells and ScxCre (Blitz et al., 2009) mice were crossed with Smoflo (Long et al., 2001) mice to delete Hh signaling in tendon and enthesis cells (Schwartz et al., 2015). For reporter experiments (Gli1-CreERT2 ;mT/mG and Gli1-CreERT2 ; Ai14 mice), animals (N=37 males, N=37 females) underwent a unilateral fibrocartilage injury at 1 wk of age (immature group, N=31) or 6-9 wks of age (mature group, N=43). For deletion experiments (ScxCre;Smoflo mice), animals (N=7 males, N=8 females) underwent a unilateral fibrocartilage injury at P42. Animals were euthanized 1 or 3 weeks after injury for reporter experiments and 6 weeks after injury for deletion experiments.

Needle punch enthesis injury model: A small incision was made in the right shoulder. The limb was externally rotated to bring the supraspinatus insertion into the surgical field. A
needle was inserted into the humeral head to make a punch defect into the supraspinatus enthesis, which completely bisected the mineralized fibrocartilage into the marrow cavity. When establishing the injury model, 28 gage needles were used to create P7 injuries and 23 gage needles were used to create P42 injuries. Two sizes were used to account for the different sizes of the humeral heads in P7 compared to P42 animals. Representative results from this injury model are shown in Fig. 1. All subsequent injuries (Fig. 2-4, S1-S2) were created with 28 gage needles, regardless of animal age. This allowed for better consistency in injury creation and healing evaluation on histologic sections. Furthermore, the use of a smaller needle in the P42 animals reinforces the conclusions of the paper, as the injury was of identical size to the P7 animals, and not scaled to the larger shoulders of the P42 animals. The skin incision was closed with suture and animals were allowed to heal for 1 or 3 weeks.

**Tamoxifen labeling:** Mice were injected subcutaneously with 100-200 μg/g body weight TAM to probe Hh activation in a temporally controlled manner: on P4 (to label the Gli1+ enthesis progenitor population prior to injury), 3 days prior, or 3, 7, or 14 days post injury.

**Micro-computed tomography:** Supraspinatus muscle-tendon-humerus samples were isolated, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, and washed with PBS. Samples were scanned using a Scanco µCT50. Images were generated using Osirix software (Rosset et al., 2004). Soft tissue volume, spanning a 1 mm axial region centered on the proximal end of the humeral head and aligned with the tendon and shaft of the humerus, was determined using Scanco software.

**Histology and Immunofluorescence:** Samples were decalcified using 14% EDTA, washed with PBS, equilibrated with 30% sucrose, and embedded in OCT (Sakura Finetek). 8 μm cryo-sections were prepared and mounted for epifluorescence imaging using Fluoromount II (EMS) to stain nuclei. Immunofluorescence staining was performed using anti-Ki67 (Abcam #16667, 1:200) or anti-Notch1 (Abcam, #65297, 1:100). Frozen sections were permeabilized with 0.5% TritonX-100, stained with primary antibody in 5-10% serum overnight, incubated with AlexaFluor488 or 647 (Abcam #150077, #150083, 1:400) for 1 hour, and mounted. At least 3 slides were imaged from each animal for analysis.
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Figures

Figure 1. A) Three dimensional and B) cross-sectional views from a representative sample analyzed with microCT to illustrate the enthesis injury (white arrows) in a mature enthesis. The color scale indicates mineral content (blue=low, red=high), scale = 1 mm. C) The scar volume was significantly larger in mature entheses 3 weeks post injury relative to contralateral controls. No difference in soft tissue volume was observed in the immature enthesis group relative to contralateral controls. * p<0.05, two-tailed paired t-test, mean +/- standard deviation, N=9 per group.
**Figure 2.** The enthesis progenitor population participated in remodeling immature but not mature entheses. Gli1CreERT2;mTmG mice were injected with TAM on P4 to label the potentially Hh-responsive cell lineage (green) that populates the mature mineralized fibrocartilage. Mice were injured on P7 (A,C,E,G,I) or P42 (B,D,F,H,J) and euthanized 7 (A-D) or 21 (E-H) days later. Clusters of cells populating the injury regions (*) in A and E are green, indicating that they are part of the original enthesis cell lineage (contralateral controls in C,G). In contrast, cells populating the injury regions (*) in B and F are predominantly red, indicating they are not from the original enthesis cell lineage. A-H scale = 100 μm. To determine the fraction of Gli1 lineage cells that were proliferating, Gli1CreERT2 mice were crossed with Ai14 mice and stained for Ki67. Proliferating cells (green) co-localized with Gli1Cre expression (red) in injured immature entheses (I) but not in mature entheses (J). I-J scale = 50 μm. N=5-7 per group.
Figure 3. Gli1 expression is suppressed in mature healing entheses. A-F) Entheses of Gli1CreERT2; mTmG mice injured on P7 (A-C) with controls (C-F). G-L) Entheses of Gli1CreERT2; mTmG mice injured on P42 (G-I) with controls (J-L). TAM was injected 3 (A,G), 7 (B,H), or 14 days (C,I) after injury to label the Gli1+ (green) cell population. Clusters of Gli1+ cells were observed near the defect (*) at all timepoints after injury in immature entheses (A-C) but few Gli1+ cells were observed 3 days post injury in mature entheses. Scale = 100 μm. M) Quantification of the number of Gli1+ cells in the injured enthesis normalized to the contralateral control after 3 (H3), 7 (H7), and 14 (H14) days of healing. * p<0.05 relative to contralateral controls, two-tailed paired t-test, mean +/- standard deviation, N=4-7 per group.
Figure 4. The small remaining Gli1+ cell population in the mature enthesis may retain a regenerative capacity. Notch1 immunostaining (green) was overlaid with current expression of Gli1CreERT² (red) from P7 (A) and P42 (B) mice. Gli1CreERT²;Ai14 mice were injected with TAM on P5 (A) or P39 (B) to label the Hh-responsive cell lineage. Notch1 expression was widespread in the early postnatal (P7) enthesis and also co-localized with the Gli1+ cell population (red). Notch1 expression was limited to the non-mineralized fibrocartilage in the
mature enthesis and co-localized with the Gli1+ cell population. Gli1CreERT2;mTmG mice were injected with TAM 3 days prior to injury in order to label the current Hh-responsive Gli1 cell lineage (green) in the mature mineralized fibrocartilage. Mice were injured on the indicated day and euthanized 7 days (C) or 21 days (D) later. The small Gli1+ cell population that remained in the mature enthesis is evident in C) and D). Clusters of green cells from this cell lineage in the injury site in C) and D) suggest these cells proliferated after injury. Scale = 100 μm. N=4 per group. Note that GliCre is indicated in red on A and B and in green in C-F.
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Figure S1. Ki67 staining (purple) was associated with clusters of Gli1CreER\textsuperscript{T2}-positive cells (green) at the mature healing enthesis, demonstrating that the small number of Gli1+ cells that remain in the mature enthesis were able to respond to injury. Scale = 100 µm.
Figure S2. ScxCre mice were crossed with Smo$^{fl/fl}$ mice to delete Hh signaling in tendon and enthesis cells. Adult ScxCre;Smo$^{fl/fl}$ mice (CKO; B,D,F) had impaired healing and a reduction of enthesis cellularity 6 weeks after injury sustained on P42 compared to wild type (WT) mice (A,C,E). Ki67 staining was reduced in CKO mice compared to WT mice. Scale = 100 µm., N=7-8 per group.