Transient Translational Quiescence in Primordial Germ Cells
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Abstract

Stem cells in animals often exhibit a slow cell cycle and/or low transcriptional activity referred to as quiescence. Here we report that the translational activity in the primordial germ cells (PGCs) of the sea urchin embryo (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus) is quiescent. We measured new protein synthesis with O-propargyl-puromycin, and L-homopropargylglycine, Click-iT technologies and determined that these cells synthesize protein at only 6% the level of their adjacent somatic cells. Knock-down of translation of the RNA-binding protein Nanos2 by morpholino anti-sense oligonucleotides, or knock-out of the Nanos2 gene by CRISPR/Cas9 resulted in a significant, but partial increase (47%) in general translation specifically in the PGCs. We found that the mRNA of the translation factor eEF1A is excluded from the PGCs in a Nanos2-dependent manner, a consequence of a Nanos/Pumilio response element (PRE) in its 3’UTR. In addition to eEF1A, the cytoplasmic pH of the PGCs appears to repress translation and simply increasing the pH also significantly restores translation selectively in the PGCs. We conclude that the PGCs of this sea urchin institute parallel pathways to quiesce translation thoroughly but transiently.
**Introduction**

Primordial germ cells (PGCs) are the newly formed germ line of the embryo. These cells are specified during early development, migrate to the gonad, and then proliferate to form the gonial stem cells of the future eggs and sperm of the adult. In animals for which the PGCs form early in development, usually by acquired maternal determinants (e.g. flies, nematodes, zebrafish), their specification precedes gastrulation and the PGCs become quiescent in terms of cell division and transcription (Williamson and Lehmann, 1996). These cells remain less active than their somatic siblings until tissue rearrangements and organogenesis begins, when they then migrate to the gonad and increase cell division and transcriptional activity.

Echinoderms are sister to the Chordates, and in the sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, the primordial germ cells originate at the 5th cell division (32 cell stage) by two sequential asymmetric divisions as the small micromeres. This early segregation from a somatic fate creates a unique challenge to the embryo; it is one of the earliest germ lines to form in terms of cell cycle number, and how it deals with this disparity in timing of development is of logistical significance. The cell cycle of the sea urchin PGCs is immediately slowed; the cells divide only once more by the end of gastrulation. Associated with the slow cell cycle, these cells also have low transcriptional activity, and retain a large proportion of their maternally derived mRNA (Wessel et al., 2014). These changes occur in the midst of sibling somatic cells that are rapidly dividing to form over 1500 cells, which display high transcriptional activity and dynamic transcriptional changes, dramatic turnover of maternal mRNA and protein, and lively cell and tissue reorganization. We document here that the PGCs also quiesce their protein synthesis and do so transiently in part due to the selective expression of the RNA binding protein, Nanos2. We have thus given this process the moniker of transient translational quiescence (TTQ).

Nanos was first identified in *Drosophila* as a translational repressor (Cho et al., 2006, Irish et al., 1989). It functions through its interaction with Pumilio, which binds RNAs containing a conserved motif usually found in the 3’UTR of mRNAs; this motif is referred to as the Pumilio Response Element (PRE) (Sonoda and Wharton, 1999, Wharton and Struhl, 1991). Only a few mRNAs have been identified as Nanos/Pumilio targets: cyclin B (Asaoka-Taguchi et al., 1999, Dalby and Glover, 1993, Kadyrova et al., 2007, Lai et al., 2011), hid (Hayashi et al., 2004, Sato
et al., 2007), hunchback (Murata and Wharton, 1995, Wreden et al., 1997), fem 3 (Ahringer and Kimble, 1991, Zhang et al., 1997), VegT (Lai et al., 2012) and CNOT6 (Swartz et al., 2014). In the sea urchin Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (the purple sea urchin), three nanos orthologs are present in the genome of the sea urchin, but SpNanos2 (mRNA and protein) is the only Nanos that accumulates specifically in the PGCs at the blastula stage when the quiescence phenotype is detected (Juliano et al., 2010). Our data show that Nanos2, by regulating the mRNA coding for eEF1A, is responsible in part for the dramatic translational quiescence seen in these early born PGCs.

Results

Protein synthesis is transiently quiescent in the PGCs.

In contrast to radioactive amino acids or specific antibodies against translational factors that limit a direct and specific measurement of the translational activity throughout the development, modified chemistries now enables direct imaging and quantification of protein synthesis at high resolution in situ. We tested both a methionine analog, HPG (homopropargylglycine), and a modified translational inhibitor, the OPP (O-propargyl-puromycin) (Starck et al., 2004) and found highly compatible results in both. Both reagents are taken up by cells and are incorporated into the nascent peptide chain for covalent labeling, even after fixation of the embryo. In the sea urchin, the overall rate of protein synthesis is low in unfertilized eggs due to a low cytoplasmic pH but is stimulated rapidly following fertilization, independent of mRNA transcription and ribosome biogenesis (Epel, 1967, Cormier et al., 2001, Brandhorst, 1976). This nearly instantaneous activation can be mimicked by increasing the cytoplasmic pH of the egg from pH 6.8 to pH 7.3 with ammonium chloride (Epel, 1967). As expected, protein synthesis was not detectable in unfertilized eggs by OPP incorporation, but the embryos rapidly revealed robust activity (Fig. S1). This synthetic activity was sensitive to protein synthesis inhibitors, demonstrating the specificity of this component to measure translation in situ in this embryo. To test the translational activity of the PGCs throughout development, these cells were co-labelled with a Vasa antibody to definitely identify the PGCs. Translational activity in the PGCs was found to be significantly reduced (6% ± 2.7) relative to its sibling somatic cells in the animal pole, and is transient – these cells return to normal levels of translational output following gastrulation (i.e. comparable to its precursor siblings and to neighboring cells) within 72 hours.
post-fertilization, demonstrating a transient quiescent activity (Fig. 1 and 2). HPG yields similar results (Fig. S2) and importantly, these in situ results are concordant with the use of radioactive amino acid reagents in this animal (Karp and Weems, 1975). Thus, three different chemistries yield the same biological result. In early dividing cells of the embryo, newly synthesized protein accumulated robustly in the nuclei, a consequence of the significant early stage synthesis of histone proteins (Davidson, 1976). They are translated and incorporate HPG or OPP in the cytoplasm, and then shuttle rapidly to the nucleus, leading to a high nuclear signal (Fig. 1).

**Nanos2 is required for the transient translational quiescence in the PGCs.**

The Nanos2 protein is only detectable by immunolocalization in the PGCs at blastula and gastrula stages (Juliano et al., 2010; Fig. S3), which correlates precisely with the transient translational quiescence (TTQ) phenotype. To test the function of Nanos2 in TTQ, we knocked-down Nanos, using a previously characterized Nanos morpholino to reduce Nanos protein to undetectable amounts (Juliano et al., 2010) and learned that Nanos2 does not influence translation in somatic cells, but dramatically increased the translational activity in the PGCs to 47% that of the somatic cells (Fig. 2). Importantly, vegetal plate cells adjacent to the PGCs also have reduced translational activity relative to other somatic cells (50%), but they maintain their level of translation independent of Nanos protein. This Nanos-independent mechanism observed in the vegetal cells is currently under investigation.

Similar results were obtained when Nanos2 function was inactivated by using the CRISPR/Cas9 approach (Fig. 3). To first test the efficiency of this CRISPR/Cas9 method, we targeted genes of the pigment cell pathway, resulting in albinism in the larvae in over 95% of the embryos ((Oulhen and Wessel, 2016); and data not shown). We then designed multiple gRNAs targeting the single exon Nanos2 gene and monitored efficiency of Nanos2 inactivation functionally, by the accumulation of Vasa protein in the PGCs in gastrulae (Juliano et al., 2010). The CRISPR/Cas9 directed Nanos2 inactivation led to high efficiency mutations (80% of the embryos had 100% of their nuclei mutated) and loss of Vasa expression in the PGCs (Fig. 3). Importantly, targeted gene inactivation of Nanos2 also functionally increased protein synthesis specifically in the PGCs (Fig. 3J). Altogether, these data indicate that Nanos2 is an essential, though not complete, regulator of the TTQ phenotype.
Nanos2 targets the essential translation factor eEF1A, to cause low translation in the PGCs.

To identify potential mechanistic candidates involved in the TTQ, we used a previously published transcriptomic dataset (Swartz et al., 2014). eEF1A mRNA, coding for a translation elongation factor, was identified as a transcript down-regulated in the PGCs (Swartz et al., 2014). When bound to GTP, the protein eEF1A delivers the aminoacylated-tRNA to the A site of the ribosome (Merrick, 2000). Two orthologs of eEF1A exist in mammals, although only one is present in the Sp genome (SPU 000595) (Morales et al., 2006), making it an essential translation factor. By fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), eEF1A mRNA is found at detectable levels throughout early development (data not shown), but is depleted from the PGCs at blastula and gastrula stages (Fig 4). The protein is also present ubiquitously in early stages of development, but is rapidly excluded from the PGCs between blastula and early gastrula (Fig. S4). Of significance, we learned that the morpholino targeting Nanos2 mRNA resulted in the accumulation of eEF1A mRNA specifically in the PGCs (Fig. 4) coincident with the increased translational activity. The 3’ UTR of eEF1A contains a putative PRE sequence (TGTAAT), suggesting that it is a Nanos/Pumilio target and to test if the Nanos2-dependent repression of eEF1A mRNA accumulation relied on this element, a morpholino complementary to the eEF1A PRE was injected to block its interaction with the Nanos/Pumilio complex (Fig. 5), an approach used effectively for other mRNAs containing PREs (Swartz et al., 2014). The results show that the PRE is required to exclude eEF1A mRNA from the PGCs; in the presence of the PRE-blocking morpholino, a nearly four-fold increase in protein synthesis occurred specifically in the PGC (Fig. 5). Of note, even though eEF1A mRNA is present throughout the embryo, and the morpholino was injected in the egg, eEF1A mRNA was not significantly affected in the somatic cells (100% in the control morpholino, versus 94% in the PRE morpholino). Thus, exclusion of eEF1A from the PGCs seems dependent upon the presence of Nanos.

To test the function of eEF1A in the TTQ, we ectopically expressed the protein throughout the embryo (Fig. S5). Overexpression of eEF1A in the blastula (using a 3’UTR lacking the PRE, and thus insensitive to Nanos2 (Oulhen et al., 2013)) does not affect the level of protein synthesis in the somatic cells (Fig. 6). However, the translational activity in the PGCs increased significantly (to 17%) and specifically in the PGCs. Altogether, these data indicate that regulating eEF1A expression, through Nanos2, is essential to maintain the TTQ in the PGCs. Clearly though
eEF1A by itself is not the sole linchpin regulating TTQ in a Nanos2-dependent fashion. Indeed, scanning the transcripts depleted from the PGCs during TTQ (Swartz et al., 2014) reveals several other candidates that may be regulated similarly to eEF1A and contribute to the TTQ phenotype.

**Both the cytoplasmic pH and mitochondrial activity are also reduced in the PGCs.**

Nanos2 is essential, but is not the sole regulator of TTQ. Indeed, protein synthesis in the PGCs is decreased relative to its neighboring somatic cells even before the exclusion of eEF1A (Fig. 7). Moreover, the mRNA coding for the ADP/ATP translocase 1 was also identified as down-regulated in the PGCs (Swartz et al., 2014) and FISH shows a depletion of this RNA in the PGCs, during gastrulation (Fig. 8). We note that the 3’ UTR in the mRNA of this essential mitochondrial factor does not contain a consensus PRE, suggesting a Nanos/Pumilio independent mechanism of mRNA exclusion from the PGCs.

Furthermore, the activity of the mitochondria is also transiently reduced in the PGCs in late blastula and gastrula (Fig. 8). The mitochondria in the PGCs have only 1.7% (+/- 2.3%) the activity of the adjacent somatic cells. This activity is reduced due to a decrease in the number and a decrease in the activity of mitochondria in the PGCs (Fig. S6). Inactivation of the mitochondria could lead to a shift in metabolism from oxidative phosphorylation to a glycolytic dependence, resulting in acidification of the cytoplasm. Sea urchin eggs have a slightly acidic cytoplasm (pH6.8) that represses translation (Epel et al., 1967) so we used the same strategy used in eggs to determine if the proposed acidification was a functional contributor to translational quiescence in the PGCs. We incubated blastulae with cell permeant ammonium chloride, known to activate protein synthesis in a pH dependent process in the egg, and this treatment significantly increased the translational activity in the PGCs, compared to the somatic cells, without affecting the level of eEF1A mRNA in the PGCs (Fig. S7). Thus, the TTQ mechanism in this embryo appears be regulated by at least two parallel pathways: a Nanos2-dependent transcript degradation of essential translation factor(s), and a metabolic shift leading to changes in cellular physiology in the PGCs.
Discussion

Quiescence is a shared character of many stem cells. The paradigmatic quiescent stem cell is the hematopoietic lineage which is maintained at a low cell cycle, but can be stimulated to form new blood cells in response to signaling (Valcourt et al., 2012, Nakamura-Ishizu et al., 2014). Recent research has documented that many tissues of the human have quiescent stem cells (Rezza et al., 2014), including skeletal muscle (Boonsanay et al., 2016, Fukada et al., 2007), the hair follicle (Morris et al., 2004, Goldstein and Horsley, 2012), the intestine (Richmond et al., 2015b, Richmond et al., 2015a) and even the central nervous system (Cheung and Rando, 2013, Webb et al., 2013, Gilboa and Lehmann, 2004). Unfortunately, quiescent stem cells are also common in cancer and are the bane of chemotherapy; a quiescent cancer stem cell escapes many of the cancer treatments intending to kill the rapidly proliferating cells (Cheung and Rando, 2013, Nakamura-Ishizu et al., 2014). The quiescent cancer stem cells can eventually transition out of quiescence leading to cancer recurrence (Epel, 1967, Pattabiraman and Weinberg, 2014, Tanaka and Dan, 1991). Quiescence in these examples though usually means a slow cell cycle, and sometimes a restriction in transcription. The work presented here though is the first we know of to find quiescence in protein synthesis and in mitochondrial activity in situ. In concert with the other quiescent phenotypes found in this same PGC of the sea urchin - cell cycle, transcription, mRNA turnover, migration – it serves as an excellent model for how broad cellular activities can be targeted for activation or quiescence with relatively few targets.

The decrease observed here in mitochondrial activity is particularly curious and recently it was found that Hif1α mRNA is present selectively in the PGCs of this animal at the time of TTQ (Ben-Tabou de-Leon et al., 2013). Hif1α activity is normally associated with a transition to glycolysis and although it is not yet known what function, if any, this transcription factor may have in the quiescence phenotype, it is important to consider that its presence in the PGCs is consistent with a shift in metabolism from oxidative phosphorylation towards glycolysis, consistent with the lack of mitochondrial activity in the PGCs; yet this expression is within a normoxic environment. This protein could help explain the acidification of the PGC by glycolytic activity and perhaps even its developmental fate (Lopez-Iglesias et al., 2015).
The quiescence phenotype of the sea urchin PGCs is transient and once the PGCs end their quiescence the cellular activity of these cells appears to start up where they left off. In this animal, the timing of quiescence is from about 6 hrs post-fertilization when quiescence begins, to about 72 hours when the PGCs leave quiescence and return to cellular activities that approximate their neighbors to restore transcription, translation, mRNA turnover, protein synthesis, cell cycle, and mitochondrial activity. It is important to note that the cellular activity and developmental fate of these cells is restored, and maybe even dependent on, this suspended animation.

How do these PGCs transition out of quiescence? Currently it is not clear, but the turnover of Nanos2 protein and mRNA from the PGC occurs at a time when cellular activity is restored. Therefore it could be a natural turnover of Nanos2 that restores the cellular activity, but we do not know if any new transcription or translation of Nanos2 occurs following its initial burst shortly after PGC formation. We are currently working on methods to extend the life of Nanos2 in the PGCs to determine if the quiescence phenotype is prolonged, and if such persistence has any effect on the cell fate of the PGCs, but we do know that removal of Nanos2 is eventually lethal to the PGCs. Perhaps elevated protein synthesis in the PGCs uses up stored resources generally, or the aberrantly translated protein includes over expression of apoptotic machinery tipping the balance towards cell death. It needs to be kept in mind though that Nanos is not the only regulator of the phenotype so that even removal of Nanos2 and an increase in protein synthesis (to 47% that of the somatic cells) is sufficient to induce lethality.

Downregulation of general translation machinery is a new mechanism that helps us to understand how PGCs function in this animal, and how quiescence may be manifest. Transcriptome analyses (Swartz et al., 2014) indicate that several RNAs coding for ribosomal proteins are also depleted from the PGCs, which may contribute to the overall 94% decrease in protein synthesis in the PGCs. The 17% increase in newly synthesized proteins when eEF1A is restored suggests that several other factors involved in protein synthesis are also depleted or changed in the PGCs.
Experimental procedures

Animals

*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* adults were housed in aquaria with artificial seawater (ASW) at 16°C (Coral Life Scientific Grade Marine Salt; Carson, CA). Gametes were acquired by either 0.5M KCl injection or by shaking. Eggs were collected in ASW or filtered seawater and sperm was collected dry. Embryos were cultured in filtered seawater at 16°C.

Nascent protein labeling

Protein synthesis was visualized using the Click-iT protein synthesis assay kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) with either OPP, O-propargyl-puromycin (C10457), or HPG, L-homopropargylglycine (C10428). Briefly, the embryos were incubated for 30 minutes with the OPP used at 1:4000, or HPG used at 1:2000, and fixed with PFA 4% in ASW. The nascent proteins were then labeled according to the manufacturer's instructions. At the end of the Click-iT reaction, the embryos were either washed overnight in PBS at 4°C before being imaged, or incubated in the blocking buffer for an hour at room temperature, and then incubated with a primary antibody overnight at 4°C for immunofluorescence. Images were captured using a LSM 510 laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc.; Thornwood, NY) and fluorescence was quantified using Metamorph. For each embryo, 3 cells were quantified per cell type and averaged into a value representing the protein synthesis per cell type and per embryo. Box and whisker plots of statistical analysis were obtained with Excel (using the minimal, the quartile 1, the median, the quartile 3 and the maximal values).

EdU Labeling

The Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit (C10340) was used to label the PGCs (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The modified thymidine analogue EdU is efficiently incorporated into newly synthesized DNA and subsequently fluorescently labeled. Briefly, embryos were soaked in 10 μM EdU in ASW from fertilization until first cleavage, washed six times with ASW and allowed to develop until the desired stage.
Immunofluorescence

Embryos were cultured as described above and samples were collected at indicated stages of development for whole mount antibody labeling. Immunofluorescence were accomplished as described (Juliano et al., 2010). For use of Nanos2 antibody, embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences; Hatfield, PA)/ASW for 10 minutes at room temperature, extracted in 100% MeOH (−20°C) for 1 minute, washed 3 times with PBS-Tween, and stored at 4°C. For Vasa and eEF1A antibodies, embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/ASW overnight at 4°C, washed 3 times with PBS-Tween, and stored at 4°C. Embryos were blocked for an hour in PBS-Tween, 4% sheep serum and incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody. The Sp nanos2 affinity-purified antibody was diluted 1:500. The Sp Vasa affinity-purified antibody directed against its N term domain was diluted to 1:200 (Voronina et al., 2008). eEF1A1 antibody (ab175274) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA) and used at dilution 1:500. For each immunofluorescence, an anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488, an anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 405 or an anti-rabbit Rhodamine was used as the secondary conjugated antibody (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), diluted by 1:500 in blocking buffer, for two hours at room temperature. Images were captured using a LSM 510 laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc.; Thornwood, NY). Fluorescence was quantified using Metamorph.

Western blot

To test the eEF1A1 antibody, 50 embryos were pelleted for each time point, resuspended in the loading buffer, and DTT (Roche; Indianapolis, IN) was added at a final concentration of 5mM. Samples were incubated at 100°C for 5 minutes, spun at 14K RPM for 2 minutes, and then loaded onto Tris-glycine, 4–20% gradient gels (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA). After transfer to nitrocellulose (Pall Corporation; Pensacola, FL), blots were probed with eEF1A1 antibody diluted to 1:2000 in PBS - 0.05%Tween - 2%BSA. For visualization, blots were probed with an anti-rabbit-HRP secondary antibody diluted 1:5000 in Blotto (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories; West Grove, PA), and visualized by standard ECL.
Whole-mount \textit{in situ} hybridization (WMISH)

WMISH was performed as described previously (Juliano et al., 2006). Approximately 1 kb antisense probe template was PCR-amplified from cDNA using a reverse primer tailed with the T7 promoter. The template for eEF1A probe was amplified using the following primers; F: GGTTCCTCGACAAGCTGAAAGG and R: taatcgactcactataggAGGAATCAGTTT GGCAATG. The template for the ADP/ATP translocase 1 was amplified using: F: ATGGGGCATCGATCAGGAAGTCGTC and R: taatcgactcactataggTTAAAATACAAGGA GATTCTTG. Digoxygenin-labeled antisense probes were transcribed using the Roche DIG RNA labeling kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Embryos were fixed with MOPS-buffered PFA and hybridized for at least 5 days at 50°C with 70% formamide and 0.5 ng/µl probe. Hybridization was then visualized using tyramide fluorescence amplification (TSA plus system, PerkinElmer). A non-specific DIG-labeled RNA probe complementary to neomycin \textit{resistance gene} (Roche; Indianapolis, IN) was used as a negative control.

Microinjections

Microinjections of zygotes were performed as previously described (Cheers and Ettensohn, 2004). In brief, eggs were de-jellied with acidic sea water (pH 5.0) for 10 min, washed with filtered sea water three times, rowed with a mouth pipette onto protamine sulfate-coated 60×15 mm petri dishes, fertilized in the presence of 1 mM 3-AT, and injected using the Femto Jet ® injection system (Eppendorf; Hamburg, Germany). 1×90 mm glass capillaries with filaments (Narishige; Tokyo, Japan) were pulled on a vertical needle puller for injections (Narishige; Tokyo, Japan). Injected embryos were cultured in sea water at 16 °C.

Plasmid constructs and RNA \textit{in vitro} synthesis

For the GFP \textit{Sp eEF1A} construct, \textit{Sp eEF1A} ORF was amplified using the following primers surrounded by the SpeI restriction site: F1: 5’-cgactagtgcctagaaaaagccatatacatc-3’ and R1: 5’-cgactagtctcattcttgccagcctcctggg-3’. After digestion by SpeI, this ORF was cloned into a GFP plasmid (Oulhen et al., 2013) containing \textit{Sp nanos} 5’UTR, GFP ORF followed by \textit{Sp nanos}
3’UTR ΔGNARLE (enabling the accumulation of the RNA in every cell of the blastulae). *Sp* e*EF1A* ORF was fused to the C terminal of the GFP ORF. Capped sense RNA were synthesized using the mMessage mMachine T7 Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) yielding RNA concentrations between 0.5 and 2µg/µl. Injection solutions contain: 20% glycerol, 1×10^{12} copies of a GFP RNA. Approximately 2 µl of each RNA mixture (<5% of egg volume) was injected into each fertilized egg.

**Morpholino approach**

Morpholinos against *Sp* nanos2 (gtgactaaagtgcgtggaaactcga) (Juliano et al., 2010) and against the PRE of *Sp* e*EF1A* (catacactgtttcattttcatac) were purchased from Gene tools (Philomath, OR). *Sp* Nanos2 morpholino was injected at 500 µM stock concentration and *Sp* e*EF1A* PRE morpholino was injected at 1mM stock concentration. Morpholino injection solutions include 20% glycerol and 1 mM 10,000 MW Dextran conjugated to Texas Red ® (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). A non-relevant morpholino against the sea star *Patiria miniata* dysferlin (5’-tcgacacaatcaccatcagcgacat-3’) was used as a control.

**CRISPR/Cas9 approach**

The plasmid pCS2-3xFLAG-NLS-SpCas9-NLS (a gift from Yonglong Chen; Addgene plasmid #51307), was linearized with NotI and transcribed with SP6. Four gRNA templates directed against *Sp* Nanos2 were designed according to CRISPRscan priorities (CRISPRscan.org): Sp nanos2.190 (taatacgactcataGGTGACTGGCTCGAGACgttttagagctagaa), Sp nanos2.250 (taatacgactcataGGATCTCAGCGATGTTCAGgttttagagctagaa), Sp nanos2.295 (taatacgactcataGGAGGAAGGCGAGCCAACAAgttttagagctagaa) and Sp nanos2.319 (taatacgactcataGGAGGTGGTGCTACGGGTGTgttttagagctagaa). The gRNAs were synthesized by T7 RNA polymerase using the MegaShortScript T7 transcription kit (AM1354, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA), and purified using the miRNeasy mini kit (217004) (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). These RNAs were mixed (400 ng/ul of each gRNA and 500ng/ul of Cas9 mRNA), injected into freshly fertilized eggs, and cultured as described (Cheers and Ettensohn, 2004). The genomic DNA of injected embryos was extracted with 10 µL of QuickExtract™ DNA Extraction Solution (http://www.epibio.com/), according to manufacturer’s instructions.
Mitochondria analysis

The abundance of the mitochondria was tested using the MitoTracker Green FM (M7514, ThermoFisher Scientific): a green-fluorescent mitochondrial stain which appears to localize to mitochondria regardless of mitochondrial membrane potential. The mitochondrial activity was observed using the MitoTracker Red CMXRos (M7512, ThermoFisher Scientific): a red fluorescent dye which accumulation depends upon the membrane potential. For each stage, embryos were incubated with 200nM of MitoTracker green or MitoTracker Red, for 15 minutes at 16°C.
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Figure 1: Translation is transiently reduced in the PGCs at blastula stage. At different time points after fertilization: 5.5 hours post fertilization at cleavage stage (A,B,C), 18 hours, at blastula stage (D,E,F), or 3 days, at larva stage (G,H,I), embryos were treated with OPP. Protein synthesis is represented in red and Vasa antibody (green) is used as a marker to localize the PGCs. Arrows indicate PGCs and transient quiescence. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Scale bar, 20 µm.
Figure 2: Nanos is essential to maintain a translational quiescence in the PGCs. Fertilized eggs were injected with either a control morpholino or Nanos morpholino, and treated with OPP at blastula stage (18 hours post fertilization) to visualize protein synthesis (red). Vasa immunofluorescence (green) indicates the location of the PGCs. For each morpholino, the intensity of OPP was measured in the animal pole, the vegetal pole, and the PGCs, the results are presented as percentages compared to the animal pole (G). 35 blastulae were quantified for the control morpholino, and 29 for the Nanos morpholino. Significance was assessed for each area of the blastula between control and Nanos morpholino with the use of Student’s t test ($P<0.001$). Arrows indicate PGCs. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Scale bar, 20 µm.
Figure 3: The CRISPR approach supports the function of Nanos in the TTQ. Fertilized eggs were injected with either the Cas9 mRNA alone (Control) or the Cas9 mRNA mixed with all four gRNAs directed against Nanos (Nanos CRISPR). These injected embryos were used to test the expression of Vasa protein in the PGCs (red) during gastrulation (A,B,C,D). DNA was labelled with Hoechst (blue). Sp Nanos2 gene was sequenced after genomic DNA extraction (E). Embryos injected with the Nanos CRISPR (Na and Nb) show several representative examples of mutations and deletions, compared to the control (C). One of the embryos even had a 117 nucleotide excision (not shown). Only a partial sequence of Sp Nanos2 is shown in this Figure, corresponding to the region targeted by the gRNAs (represented in red). Finally, some injected embryos were also treated with HPG (F,G,H,I) at blastula stage (18 hours post fertilization) to visualize protein synthesis (red). Vasa immunofluorescence (green) indicates the location of the PGCs. For each embryo, the intensity of the HPG was measured in the animal pole, the vegetal pole, and the PGCs, the results are presented as percentages compared to the animal pole (J).
blastulae were quantified for the Control, and 10 for the Nanos CRISPR. Significance was assessed for each area of the blastula between Control and Nanos CRISPR with the use of Student’s $t$ test ($P<0.001$). Arrows indicate PGCs. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Scale bar, 20 µm.
Figure 4: eEF1A mRNA depletion in the PGCs requires Nanos. Fertilized eggs were injected with either a Control morpholino or Nanos morpholino, and fixed at blastula stage for eEF1A in situ hybridization (red) followed by an immunofluorescence using Vasa antibody (green). DNA was stained with Hoechst (blue). Images were magnified in the area surrounding the PGCs (E,F,G,H for the Control morpholino, and M,N,O,P for Nanos morpholino). The ratio of eEF1A mRNA present in the PGCs versus the somatic cells was quantified using 13 blastulae for the Control morpholino, and 11 for the Nanos morpholino and presented as percentages (Q). Significance was assessed between the Control and the Nanos morpholino with the use of Student t test ($P<0.001$). Arrows indicate the PGCs. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Scale bar, 20 µm.
Figure 5: eEF1A mRNA depletion in the PGCs depends on its PRE. Fertilized eggs were injected with either a control morpholino or a morpholino targeting the eEF1A PRE. Embryos were fixed at blastula stage for eEF1A in situ hybridization (red) followed by an immunofluorescence using Vasa antibody (green). The ratio of eEF1A mRNA present in the PGCs versus the somatic cells was quantified using 10 blastulae for the control morpholino, and 12 for the PRE morpholino and presented as percentages (E). Significance was assessed between the control and the PRE morpholino with the use of Student t test ($P<0.005$). Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Arrows indicate the PGCs. Scale bar, 20 µm.
Figure 6: Overexpression of eEF1A protein specifically increases protein synthesis in the PGCs. Fertilized eggs were injected with mRNA coding for either GFP or GFP eEF1A, and treated with OPP at blastula stage before fixation. OPP represents the protein synthesis (red) and Vasa antibody is used to localize the PGCs (blue). The protein synthesis in the PGCs and in the somatic cells was quantified using 11 blastulae for GFP, and 16 for GFP eEF1A. Significance was assessed between the GFP and the GFP eEF1A with the use of Student t test ($P<0.05$). Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Arrows indicate the PGCs. Scale bar, 20 µm.
Figure 7: TTQ starts before the exclusion of eEF1A protein from the PGCs. Early blastula were treated with either HPG (A to D), or OPP (I to L). After the click it reaction (red), the DNA was stained with Hoechst (blue) and eEF1A protein was followed by immunofluorescence (green). A’ to L’ represent the magnification of the corresponding blastulae A to L. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Arrows indicate the PGCs. Scale bar, 20 µm.
Figure 8: Mitochondrial activity is reduced in the PGCs. Fluorescent in situ hybridization shows that the RNA coding for the ADP/ATP translocase 1 (A to C) is depleted from the PGCs during gastrulation. The embryos were co-labelled using an antibody against Vasa protein (A’ to C’). Embryos were labelled with Mitotracker red to observe the mitochondrial activity (red), and with Edu (green) to stain the PGCs. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Arrows indicate the PGCs. Scale bar, 20 µm.
Figure S1: OPP specifically labels protein synthesis in sea urchin embryos. Unfertilized eggs, UF (A,B,C) and 2 cell stage embryos (D,E,F) were incubated with OPP. For each stage, controls are shown using no OPP (G to L), or using OPP in presence of 1mM emetine (M to R), a translational inhibitor. After the click it reaction, protein synthesis was only detected in the 2 cell stage embryos treated with OPP. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Scale bar, 20 μm.
Figure S2: Protein synthesis, measured with OPP and HPG, is reduced in the PGCs. Embryos at blastula stage were treated with either OPP (red in A and A’) or HPG (green in B and B’) for 30 minutes. After the Click-iT reaction and the vasa immunofluorescence (green in A’ and red in B’), embryos were imaged. Protein synthesis is barely detectable in the PGCs. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Arrows indicate the PGCs. Scale bar, 20 μm.
Figure S3: Expression of Sp Nanos2 protein during the early development of the sea urchin embryos. Immunofluorescence using Sp Nanos 2 antibody (A to H). Images were taken using the same microscope settings (laser intensity, pin-hole opening) at 400x magnification. The corresponding brightfield images are shown from I to P. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Arrows indicate the PGCs. Scale bar, 20 μm.
**Figure S4: eEF1A protein is transiently excluded from the PGCs between blastula and early gastrula development.** Embryos were fixed at different time points to test the expression of the protein eEF1A during the development. Using an antibody against eEF1A, the immunofluorescence shows the exclusion of the eEF1A protein (green) from the PGCs labelled with Edu (red). By Western blot (G), the antibody recognizes one main protein band in unfertilized eggs (UF), morula (M), hatched blastula (HB), mesenchyme blastula (MB), gastrula (G), prism (Pr) and 3 day larvae (L). The immunofluorescences (A, B, C) were used to quantify (H) the eEF1A protein exclusion from the PGCs compared to the somatic cells using 9 blastulae and 13 gastrulae. Significance was assessed Student $t$ test ($P<0.001$). Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Scale bar, 20 μm.
Figure S5: The protein GFP eEF1A is expressed throughout the blastula. An mRNA coding for a GFP eEF1A fused to a 3’UTR lacking the PRE was injected in fertilized eggs. The expression of the GFP eEF1A was observed at the blastula stage (B). Un-injected blastulae were used as a control (A). Scale bar, 20 μm.
Figure S6: Mitochondrial activity is reduced in the PGCs. Embryos were co-labelled with Mitotracker red and Mitotracker green. Both mitochondrial activity (A to C), and mitochondrial abundance (A’ to C’) are reduced in the PGCs. A, A’ and A” represent the same embryo. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Scale bar, 20 μm.
Figure S7: The transient translational quiescence is pH dependent. Blastulae were treated with 10 mM NH4Cl pH 8 for 45 minutes, OPP was added after 15 minutes of treatment, for an additional 30 minute co-incubation with NH4Cl. Control blastulae were cultured in absence of NH4Cl, but were also treated with OPP. The nuclei are represented in blue (Hoechst), protein synthesis in red, and vasa immunofluorescence in green. The ratio of OPP between the PGCs and the somatic cells was quantified using 11 blastulae for the control, and 12 for the NH4Cl treatment (I). Significance was assessed between the control and the NH4Cl treated embryos with the use of Student t test (P<0.001). The level of eEF1A mRNA was also quantified in the PGCs, in the presence or absence of NH4Cl (J). No statistical significance was detected in the eEF1A mRNA levels between control and ammonium chloride treated embryos. Approximately one hundred embryos were visualized and representative embryos are presented. Scale bar, 20 μm. between blastula and gastrula with the use of...